

1. Aleksandrov, N. and Hambly, B. M.: A dual approach to multiple exercise option problems under constraints, *Mathematical Methods of Operations Research* 71 (2010), no. 3, 503{533.
2. Andersen, L. and Broadie, M.: A primal-dual simulation algorithm for pricing multi-dimensional American options, *Management Science* 50 (2004), 1222{1234.
<https://doi.org/10.1287/mnsc.1040.0258>
3. Bender, C.: Dual pricing of multi-exercise options under volume constraints, *Finance and Stochastics* 15 (2011), no. 1, 1{26.
4. Bender, C.: Primal and dual pricing of multiple exercise options in continuous time, *SIAM Journal on Financial Mathematics* 2 (2011), no. 1, 562{586.
5. Bender, C. and Schoenmakers, J.: An iterative method for multiple stopping: convergence and stability, *Advances in Applied Probability* 38 (2006), no. 3, 729{749.
<https://doi.org/10.1239/aap/1158684999>
6. Bender, C., Schoenmakers, J., and Zhang, J.: Dual representations for general multiple stopping problems, *Mathematical Finance* 25 (2015), no. 2, 339{370.
7. Brigo, D. and Mercurio, F.: Interest rate models-theory and practice: with smile, in
ation
and credit, Springer, 2007.
8. Broadie, M. and Cao, M., Improved lower and upper bound algorithms for pricing American options by simulation, *Quantitative Finance* 8 (2008), 845{861.
9. Carriere, J. F.: Valuation of the early-exercise price for options using simulation and non-parametric regression, *Insurance: Mathematics and Economics* 19 (1996), 19{30.
10. Cheng, X. and Joshi, M.: Sub-simulation-free upper bounds for bermudan derivatives,
Preprint, available at SSRN: <https://ssrn.com/abstract=3086959> (2017).
11. Clement, E., Lamberton, D., and Protter, P.: An analysis of a least squares regression method for american option pricing, *Finance and Stochastics* 6 (2002), no. 4, 449{471.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s007800200071>

12. Davis, M. HA. and Karatzas, I.: A deterministic approach to optimal stopping, *Probability, Statistics and Optimisation* (ed. FP Kelly). New York Chichester: John Wiley & Sons Ltd (1994), 455{466.
13. Glasserman, P.: *Monte Carlo Methods in Financial Engineering*, Springer, New York, 2004.
14. Haugh, M. and, Kogan L.: Pricing American options: A duality approach, *Operations Research* 52 (2004), 258{270.
<https://doi.org/10.1287/opre.1030.0070>
15. Jin, Z., Yin, G. and Yang, H. (2011). Numerical methods for dividend optimization using regime-switching jump-diffusion models. *Mathematical Control and Related Fields*, 1: 21-40.
16. Kolodko, A. and Schoenmakers, J., Iterative construction of the optimal Bermudan stopping time, *Finance and Stochastics* 10 (2006), 27{49.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s00780-005-0168-5>
17. Longstaff, F. A. and Schwartz, E. S.: Valuing American options by simulation: a simple least squares approach, *The Review of Financial Studies* 14 (2001), 113{147.
18. Meinshausen, N. and Hambly, B. M.: Monte carlo methods for the valuation of multiple-exercise options, *Mathematical Finance* 14 (2004), no. 4, 557{583.
19. Rogers, L. C.: Monte Carlo valuation of American options, *Mathematical Finance* 12 (2002), 271{286.
20. Schoenmakers, J.: A pure martingale dual for multiple stopping, *Finance and Stochastics* 16 (2012), no. 2, 319{334.
21. Stentoft, L.: Convergence of the least squares monte carlo approach to american option valuation, *Management Science* 50 (2004), no. 9, 1193{1203.
22. Tsitsiklis, J. and Van Roy, B.: Optimal stopping of Markov processes: Hilbert space theory, approximation algorithms, and an application to pricing nancial derivatives., *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control* 44 (1999), 1840{1851.
<https://doi.org/10.1109/9.793723>

