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Abstract

The 3T3-L1 cells differentiate into fat cells that have many properties of native adipocytes including: substantial lipid accumulation, insulin sensitivity, and the ability to secrete endocrine hormones. A substantial expense in using these cells is fetal bovine serum (FBS), a critical component of efficient adipogenesis. Our recent studies on STAT 5 proteins have revealed that these transcription factors are phosphorylated and translocate to the nucleus immediately after the initiation of differentiation. Studies by several other laboratories also suggest that STAT 5 proteins can have pro-adipogenic properties. Growth hormone (GH) and prolactin (PRL) are both potent activators of STAT 5A and STAT 5B proteins. Since, FBS has high concentrations of GH; we examined the ability of GH to replace FBS as a component of the differentiation cocktail for 3T3-L1 cells. Our studies revealed that FBS was not required for the adipogenesis of 3T3-L1 cells if GH or PRL was added to the differentiation cocktail. Adipogenesis was judged by Oil Red O staining and expression of adipocyte marker genes. Hence, we have developed a substantially less expensive method for differentiating 3T3-L1 cells without FBS, thiazolidinediones, or expensive cytokines.
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The 3T3-L1 cell line differentiates under the controlled conditions of cell culture from preadipocytes to adipocytes that have the morphological and biochemical properties of fat cells [1,2]. More recent studies have demonstrated that 3-isobutyl-1-methylxanthine (MIX), dexamethasone (DEX), and fetal bovine serum (FBS) are all required components of the differentiation cocktail for the complete adipogenesis of 3T3-L1 cells [3] and for the adipogenesis of non-precursor cells ectopically expressing PPARγ or C/EBPs [4–7].

It is well recognized that several transcription factors are induced during adipocyte differentiation and play a critical role in the regulation of adipocyte gene expression including PPARγ, members of the C/EBP family, and members of the forkhead transcription factor family [8,9]. It has also been shown that some signal transducers and activators of transcription (STATs), a family of latent transcription factors, can affect adipocyte gene expression. Our previous studies have demonstrated that the expression of STAT5A and STAT5B is induced during differentiation of 3T3-L1 adipocytes [10]. STAT 5 proteins are also induced in the differentiation of human preadipocytes [11]. We have also shown that the induction of both STAT5 proteins correlates with lipid accumulation and the expression of PPARγ [12]. These studies are supported by in vivo experiments that demonstrate that both STAT5A and STAT5B knock-out mice have smaller fat pads than wild-type mice and mice lacking both STAT 5 genes have fat pads one-fifth the size of wild-type mice [13]. In addition, constitutively active STAT 5 can replace the requirement for growth
hormone in the adipogenesis of 3T3-F442A preadipocytes [14]. More recent studies have shown that ectopic expression of STAT 5A can promote adipogenesis in 3T3-L1 cells [15] and in two different non-precursor cell lines [16]. Both of these studies also demonstrated that STAT 5 proteins are activated, or tyrosine phosphorylated, early after the induction of differentiation and prior to the increase in expression of STAT 5 proteins that occurs with adipogenesis.

Growth hormone (GH) and prolactin (PRL) are both potent activators of STAT 5A and STAT 5B proteins. Since, FBS is known to have high concentrations of GH and stimulation of mature 3T3-L1 adipocytes with FBS can result in the nuclear translocation of STAT 5 proteins [17]; we examined the ability of GH and PRL to replace FBS as a component of the differentiation cocktail. Adipogenesis was judged by Oil Red O staining and expression of adipocyte marker genes. In summary, we have developed a less expensive method for differentiating 3T3-L1 cells and have clearly demonstrated that STAT 5 activators can promote the adipogenesis of 3T3-L1 cells.

Materials and methods

Materials. Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s media (DMEM) were purchased from Life Technologies. Bovine and fetal bovine (FBS) sera were purchased from Sigma and Invitrogen, respectively. Porcine growth hormone and sheep prolactin were purchased from Sigma. FAS monoclonal antibody was purchased from BD Transduction laboratories. Both the PPARγ monoclonal antibody and the STAT 5A polyclonal antibody were purchased from Santa Cruz. HRP-conjugated secondary antibodies were purchased from Jackson Immuno-research. Enhanced chemiluminescence (ECL) kit was purchased from Pierce. Nitrocellulose and Zeta Probe-GT membranes were purchased from Bio-Rad.

Cell culture. Murine 3T3-L1 preadipocytes were plated and grown to 2 days post-confluence in DMEM with 10% bovine serum. Medium was changed every 48 h. Cells were induced to differentiate by changing the medium to DMEM containing 10% fetal bovine serum, 0.5 mM of 3-isobutyl-1-methylxanthine, 1 μM dexamethasone, and 1.7 μM insulin. After 48 h this medium was replaced with DMEM supplemented with 10% FBS, and cells were maintained in this medium until utilized for experimentation. Cells that were induced to differentiate in the absence of FBS contained either 0.3% BSA or 5% bovine serum and were never exposed to FBS.

Preparation of whole cell extracts. Monolayers of 3T3-L1 preadipocytes or adipocytes were rinsed with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and then harvested in a non-denaturing buffer containing 150 mM NaCl, 10 mM Tris, pH 7.4, 1 mM EGTA, 1 mM EDTA, 1% Triton X-100, 0.5% Igepal CA-630 (Nonidet P-40), 1 μM PMPSF, 1 μM pepstatin, 50 trypsin inhibitory milliunits of aprotinin, and 10 μM leupeptin, and 2 mM sodium vanadate. Samples were extracted for 30 min on ice and centrifuged at 15,000 rpm at 4 °C for 15 min. Supernatants containing whole cell extracts were analyzed for protein content using a BCA kit (Pierce) according to the manufacturer’s instructions.

Gel electrophoresis and Western blot analysis. Proteins were separated in 5%, 7.5%, 10% or 12% polyacrylamide (acrylamide from National Diagnostics) gels containing sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) according to Laemmli [18] and transferred to nitrocellulose membrane in 25 mM Tris, 192 mM glycine, and 20% methanol. Following transfer, the membrane was blocked in 4% fat-free milk for 1 h at room temperature. Results were visualized with HRP-conjugated secondary antibodies and enhanced chemiluminescence.

Oil Red O staining. Cell monolayers were rinsed twice with phosphate-buffered saline and fixed overnight in Baker’s formalin at 4 °C. After fixing, the Baker’s was aspirated and a filtered 60% Oil Red O solution in distilled water, made from a stock of 0.70 g Oil Red O in 200 ml isopropanol, was added to the plates. The plates were placed on an orbital shaker for 1 h and then rinsed with 70% ethanol to remove background Oil Red O stain.

RNA analysis. Total RNA was isolated from cell monolayers with Trizol according to manufacturer’s instructions with minor modifications. For Northern blot analysis, 20 μg of total RNA was denatured in formamide and electrophoresed through a formaldehyde/agarose gel. The RNA was transferred to Zeta Probe-GT, cross-linked, hybridized, and washed as previously described [19]. aP2/422 cDNA was labeled by random priming using the Klenow fragment and [γ-32P]dATP.

Results

We have recently shown that STAT 5 proteins are phosphorylated rapidly after the induction of adipogenesis of 3T3-L1 cells when the standard induction cocktail is used [16]. STAT 5 proteins remain phosphorylated for 3 h after the induction of adipogenesis which precedes the induction in expression of numerous adipocyte transcription factors, including PPARγ. As shown in Fig. 1, the induction of PPARγ occurs after STAT 5 activation and prior to the increase in STAT 5 expression which occurs during adipogenesis of 3T3-L1 cells [10].

To study STAT 5 activation during adipocyte differentiation, we induced 3T3-L1 cells to differentiate in the absence of FBS. We supplemented the standard induction cocktail with cardiotrophin-1 (CT-1) a potent activator of STATs 1 and 3 that does not affect the adipogenesis of 3T3-L1 cells [20]. We also supplemented the cocktail lacking FBS with GH. As shown by Oil Red O staining in Fig. 2, cells lacking FBS did not accumulate lipid. Exposure to CT-1 did not result in any changes in lipid accumulation. However, cells lacking FBS that were treated with GH had a marked increase in lipid accumulation as was observed with cells that were exposed to the normal differentiation cocktail (+FBS). These cells were stained 96 h after the induction of differentiation. CT-1 and GH were present only during differentiation, we induced 3T3-L1 cells to differentiate in the absence of FBS contained either 0.3% BSA or 5% bovine serum and were never exposed to FBS.

To confirm that STAT 5 activators could promote adipogenesis, we also examined the expression of aP2/422 mRNA and fatty acid synthase (FAS) protein expression at two different times after the induction of adipogenesis.
differentiation with cocktails lacking FBS that were supplemented with either GH or PRL. A similar pattern was also observed at 96 h. Interestingly, the expression of FAS protein was not different for the four different cocktails at 48 h. However, at 96 h after the initiation of differentiation, there was abundant expression of these adipocyte markers induced by the normal cocktail. In addition, we observed a considerable level of FAS protein in cells that were supplemented with GH or PRL. However, the levels were less than that observed for the normal cocktail. We also examined the expression of PPARγ and STAT5A, two transcription factors known to be induced during adipocyte differentiation. As shown in Fig. 3, the expression of these transcription factors was induced during adipogenesis and the pattern of expression was very similar to what we observed for both ap2/422 and FAS. However, the levels of STAT 3 were not modulated under these conditions.

These experiments suggested that an additional component of the serum can affect the differentiation of 3T3-L1 cells. Hence, we performed a similar experiment, but used 5% calf serum (CS) in cells that were not treated with the normal induction cocktail of 10% FBS. One week after the induction of differentiation, two plates from each condition were harvested to examine the expression of PPARγ and STAT5A. As shown in Fig. 4, cells lacking FBS, but containing 5% CS did not differentiate as judged by expression of PPARγ and STAT5A. However, cells supplemented with either GH or PRL in the presence of 5% calf serum were able to induce expression of these transcription factors at levels observed with normal differentiation cocktail. Also, the levels of STAT 3 expression were not regulated under these conditions.
Discussion

Studies by many laboratories have shown that the expression of STAT 5 proteins correlates with adipogenesis. Our recent studies have also demonstrated that STAT 5 proteins are tyrosine phosphorylated immediately after the initiation of differentiation. Hence, in this study we examined the ability of STAT 5 activators to replace FBS as a necessary component of the induction cocktail needed to induce the adipogenesis of 3T3-L1 cells. We hypothesized that the role of the FBS in inducing differentiation in vitro may be to activate STAT 5 proteins. Our studies revealed that two STAT 5 activators, GH and PRL, could result in lipid accumulation and the expression of adipocyte markers in the absence of FBS. However, the levels of lipid accumulation and fat cell gene expression were lower than observed in cells differentiated with 10% FBS. Yet, these STAT 5 activators could confer adipogenesis of 3T3-L1 in the presence of the 5% calf serum which is significantly less expensive than fetal calf serum. In this experiment, either GH or PRL induced PPARγ to levels observed with the normal induction cocktail. Our results indicate STAT 5 activators can replace the presence of FBS for in vitro differentiation of 3T3-L1 cells (Figs. 2 and 3), but some other factor present in calf serum is needed for these STAT 5 activators to induce maximal expression of adipocyte markers (Fig. 4). These effects appear to be specific for STAT 5 activators, since CT-1, a potent STAT 1 and STAT 3 activator cannot confer adipogenesis in the absence of FBS (Fig. 3). Future studies will focus on what other factor(s) or calf serum components in addition to STAT 5 activators are required to induce fat cell differentiation of 3T3-L1 cells. In conclusion, we have developed a substantially less expensive method for differentiating 3T3-L1 cells without FBS, thiazolidinediones, or expensive cytokines and have clearly demonstrated that STAT 5 activators promote adipogenesis.
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