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We propose and demonstrate a simple and easy-to-implement projective-measurement protocol to determine the radial index \( p \) of a Laguerre–Gaussian \( (LG)_p \) mode. Our method entails converting any specified high-order \( LG_p \) mode into a near-Gaussian distribution that matches the fundamental mode of a single-mode fiber (SMF) through the use of two phase screens (unitary transforms) obtained by applying a phase-retrieval algorithm. The unitary transforms preserve the orthogonality of modes before the SMF and guarantee that our protocol can, in principle, be free of crosstalk. We measure the coupling efficiency of the transformed radial modes to the SMF for different pairs of phase screens. Because of the universality of phase-retrieval methods, we believe that our protocol provides an efficient way of fully characterizing the radial spatial profile of an optical field. © 2018 Optical Society of America
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Laguerre–Gaussian (LG) modes, \( LG_p \), characterized by the azimuthal index \( l \) (an integer that quantifies the amount of orbital angular momentum (OAM) carried by a single photon [1]) and by the radial index \( p \) (a non-negative integer that quantifies the hyperbolic momentum charge of a single photon [2]), are solutions of the paraxial wave equation in cylindrical coordinates [3]. The LG modes are orthonormal and form a complete basis set. Both the azimuthal and radial degrees of freedom are theoretically unbounded (unlike polarization, which is two dimensional). This unbounded nature is potentially useful for applications such as classical and quantum communication [4–8], including quantum key distribution (QKD) [9,10], by allowing for high-dimensional encoding, which leads to increased information capacity [11,12]. To date, most of these applications have employed the \( LG_0 \), i.e., the OAM modes of lowest radial order, for which efficient means of detection and characterization are available [13–18]. The radial modes, \( LG_p \), have been under-utilized for such applications because of the absence of efficient methods for detecting and measuring the radial index.

Several interferometric techniques for the sorting of \( LG_p \) modes based on the principle of a universal quantum sorter [19] have recently been demonstrated [20–22]. However, with a larger number of input modes, these techniques become more complicated to implement, as several such interferometers would need to be cascaded. Projective measurements can also be used to measure mode indices, and hence are also useful for performing quantum state tomography [12,23]. Projection entails mapping a specified input mode to a particular output mode (typically a Gaussian), which can then be coupled to a single-mode fiber (SMF) that selects only the fundamental Gaussian component. Previous projective measurements of radial modes have employed flattening the phase front of the incoming field [7,24], and in [25], flattening both the phase and the intensity. While the former scenario results in crosstalk due to incomplete projection of the input modes onto the SMF mode, the latter has reduced crosstalk but with a very low detection efficiency.

LG modes retain their transverse structure as they propagate through free space, through lenses and as they reflect off of mirrors. As a consequence, one cannot use these elements to losslessly project high-order radial modes onto a Gaussian. Multi-plane light conversion (MPLC), which employs a series of phase planes and propagation, has been shown to, in principle, be able to perform any arbitrary spatial transformation [26]. The MPLC concept has been used in [27] to map several LG modes to Gaussian spots at mode-index-dependent positions at the output. In [28], a similar mapping as in [27] was performed for a few LG modes by utilizing multiple scattering. However, while the former case requires several phase planes to achieve low crosstalk, the latter configuration suffers from high scattering losses (around 99%).
Here, we present a simple and easy-to-implement protocol for measuring the radial mode spectrum of an optical field by transforming high-order radial modes to the fundamental mode of a SMF. We use two phase screens (to introduce a spatially varying transverse phase structure), placed at the object plane and at the Fourier plane of a lens, respectively, to transform a particular radial mode \(\text{LG}_p^0\) to the fundamental mode of a SMF, assumed here to be a Gaussian (\(\text{LG}_0^0\)). The required pair of phase screens for each input mode is calculated through the use of a phase-retrieval algorithm. The transformations performed by phase screens are in principle lossless and, hence, unitary. Since all the other elements before coupling to the SMF are also unitary, the orthogonality of modes is maintained before coupling to the SMF, which guarantees that modes with radial indices other than those for which the phase screens were designed will not couple to the SMF. Thus, through our protocol, one can measure the radial index of a mode (and thereby determine the radial mode spectrum of an optical field) with negligible crosstalk.

Figure 1(a) shows a schematic representation of our projection protocol. The transformation of a \(\text{LG}_p^0\) mode (where \(p > 0\)) to a Gaussian mode is an example of a “synthesis problem,” as we have \textit{a priori} knowledge of the intensity distributions in the object plane as well as the Fourier plane [29]. We use the Gerchberg–Saxton (GS) phase-retrieval algorithm, an iterative error-reduction algorithm, [30,31] to calculate the required phase screens \(\Phi_1(x, y)\) and \(\Phi_2(x, y)\). The SLM1, placed at the object plane of a lens, introduces a phase \(\Phi_1(x, y)\) on the input field \(\text{LG}_p^0\) [or \(f(x, y)\)]. At the Fourier plane of the lens, the intensity distribution becomes similar to the intensity distribution of the \(\text{LG}_0^0\) mode [or \(g(x, y)\)], but with an incorrect phase profile. The SLM2, placed at the Fourier plane, subsequently introduces another phase, \(\Phi_2(x, y)\), which corrects these residual phase errors and flattens the phase. As phase transformations in the absence of losses are unitary, the orthogonality of these projected modes remains preserved before the SMF. Due to restrictions on the aperture size of our SLM, we choose the first three high-order radial modes \(\text{LG}_p^0\) with \(p = \{1, 2, 3\}\) to test our protocol.

For our two-dimensional problem, the uniqueness of a phase-retrieval solution is ensured due to the non-factorability of polynomials of two-or-more complex variables [32]. We define an error metric \(\epsilon\) in the Fourier domain to study the convergence of the GS algorithm to this solution with the number of iterations \(N_{\text{iter}}\). In many cases, if a solution exists, the algorithm converges within a few iterations. However, the algorithm can also stagnate close to the local minimum of \(\epsilon\) without converging any further [29]. To study the efficiency of the algorithm at calculating the required pair of phase screens for each input mode, we define \(\epsilon\) in terms of \(C\), the coherent mode-overlap efficiency between the projected \(\text{LG}_p^0\) mode and the mode of the SMF, as follows:

\[
\epsilon = 1 - C = 1 - \left| 2\pi \sum_{\rho} \rho F_\rho^+(\rho) g_\rho(\rho) \right|^2 .
\]

Here, \(\rho\) is the Fourier domain radial coordinate, \(F_\rho(\rho)\) is the normalized radial mode at the Fourier plane after the application of the relevant pair of phase screens \(\Phi_1\) and \(\Phi_2\), and \(g_\rho(\rho)\) is the desired mode at the Fourier plane (normalized Gaussian). The modes are normalized such that \(2\pi \sum_{\rho} |F_\rho(\rho)|^2 = 2\pi \sum_{\rho} |g_\rho(\rho)|^2 = 1\). \(C\) also represents the fraction of input power that couples into the SMF after the phase corrections.

Figure 1(b) shows the variation of \(\epsilon\) with \(N_{\text{iter}}\), where \(N_{\text{iter}}\) is the number of iterations, for the different input radial modes (\(\text{LG}_p^0\) to \(\text{LG}_3^0\)). Without the phase corrections, or when \(N_{\text{iter}} = 0\), \(\epsilon\) is unity. As the unconverted high-order radial modes are orthogonal to the SMF mode. As \(N_{\text{iter}}\) increases, \(\epsilon\) (\(C\)) decreases (increases) for all modes until it stagnates close to 0.18 after approximately 35 iterations. This non-negligible error represents an overall loss of power coupled into the SMF. However, as we show later in the Letter, this loss of power is not as consequential, as there is negligible crosstalk due to the preserved orthogonality of modes in our protocol [see Fig. 5(a)].

Figure 2 shows a schematic of the experimental setup used to test the protocol. Two Hamamatsu liquid-crystal-on-silicon...
(LCOS) SLMs (model X10468-02), with a pixel size of 20 μm and an active area of 600 × 800 pixels, are used as the phase screens. The source is a He-Ne laser spatially filtered through the use of a SM600 fiber (single mode for 633 nm wavelength), and collimated while ensuring uniform illumination of all pixels on SLM1. The LG0 modes (p = {0, 1, 2, 3}) are generated by phase-only modulation of SLM1. The amplitude and phase of the LG mode are imposed on the first order of diffraction of the grating function impressed on SLM1, in accordance with the approach given in [33]. For convenience, the first phase screen [see Figs. 3(a)–3(d)] is implemented along with the mode generation on SLM1 itself. The azimuthally averaged intensity distributions for each input radial mode at the image plane and the Fourier plane, recorded using a CCD camera, were found to differ from the theoretical intensities by a root-mean-square (rms) error of less than 10% (see Data File 1 for the actual values), thereby confirming that the generated radial modes are of good fidelity. After the second phase correction impressed by SLM2 [see Figs. 3(e)–3(h)], the transformed modes are coupled via an imaging system and a microscope objective to a SMF-coupled photodetector. Figures 3(i)–3(l) show the recorded intensities at the Fourier plane (or SLM2) of the various input modes after the application of the corresponding pair of phase screens.

The coupling efficiency of a given mode to the SMF is taken to be the ratio of the power coupled into the fiber to the total power incident on the microscope objective L8. We achieved an approximate coupling efficiency of 70% for an input Gaussian mode to the SMF through proper alignment and addition of compensating aberrations on both SLMs. The coupling efficiencies of different input radial modes (from LG0 to LG0) for various pairs of phase screens formed the “crosstalk matrix.” It should be noted that we include the LG0 mode in our basis set for completeness and for examining the crosstalk.

Figure 4 shows the calculated intensities and phase fronts of different input modes (LG0, LG0, LG0, and LG0) at the Fourier plane after the application of phase screens calculated for the LG0 mode. Essentially, one obtains nearly Gaussian amplitude and a flat phase at the Fourier plane only when the applied phase screens correspond to the particular input mode.

![Fig. 3](image-url) (a)–(d) Phase screens on SLM1 and (e)–(h) on SLM2 for input radial modes LG0 to LG0 (from left to right). In calculating these phase screens, the GS algorithm was applied for 200 iterations (well beyond the stagnation point). (i)–(l) Captured intensities at SLM2 after applying the corresponding phase screens to the various input modes LG0 to LG0 (from left to right). In all cases, the transformed intensity closely resembles the near-Gaussian mode of a SMF, although some distortion is evident in panel (j).

Since the coupling efficiency of the transformed modes to the SMF depends on both intensity and phase distributions, the improperly transformed modes have negligible coupling efficiency to the SMF (or equivalently, negligible crosstalk). Hence, the coherent mode-overlap efficiency, or C in Eq. (1), between the transformed modes and the SMF mode is the pertinent measure for the efficacy of these phase screens.

Figure 5(a) shows the predicted crosstalk matrix, which is obtained by calculating the overlap integral of each transformed radial mode with the SMF mode for different pairs of phase screens. The diagonal elements of the matrix have high values (larger than 0.8), implying high conversion efficiency for the radial modes with the correct pair of phase screens. The off-diagonal elements, on the other hand, have low values (less than 0.02), implying negligible crosstalk. Further, in agreement with Fig. 1(b), the conversion efficiency of radial modes [diagonal elements of Fig. 5(a)] decreases monotonically with increasing radial index, from 99% for LG0 to approximately 81% for LG3. We also obtain theoretical mutual information (IIPP) of 1.6812 bits per transmitted photon, which is close to 2, the maximum for a four-dimensional space. In contrast, for the phase-and-intensity flattening method of [25], IIPP was significantly lower at 0.134194. Comparing the diagonal terms of the measured crosstalk matrix [shown in Fig. 5(b)] with 5(a), we see that the coupling efficiencies for all the modes, with the correct pair of phase screens is lower than the calculated result. Also, except for LG3 (or p = 3), the measured crosstalk values

![Fig. 4](image-url) Calculated (a)–(d) intensities and (e)–(h) phases of input modes LG0 to LG0 (from left to right) at the Fourier plane after the application of phase corrections corresponding to LG0 mode. The intensities are normalized to the maximum intensity, and the phases are given in units of π radians. Note that only when the input mode corresponds to the phase screens on the two SLMs does the transformed mode have the correct amplitude (c) and (flat) phase (g) distributions to couple to the Gaussian mode profile of a single-mode fiber.

![Fig. 5](image-url) Crosstalk matrices (a) from calculations and (b) obtained experimentally. Here, p is the radial index of the input mode, whereas p is the radial index corresponding to the pair of phase screens. See Data File 2 for the actual values.
(or the off-diagonal terms) are less than 15%. In addition, although not shown here, the crosstalk degrades substantially (greater than 60%) for mode \( LG_0 \) onwards.

The lower coupling efficiency measured overall, and the observed crosstalk for radial indices larger than 1 could be due to a combined effect of the following factors: (1) calibration errors at each pixel on the SLMs (correct calibration of each pixel is a stringent requirement for SLM2; SLM1 has a grating for mode generation, and, therefore, any calibration errors therein would manifest in diffraction efficiency, and not as significantly in the profile of the generated mode); (2) imperfect optics, and the presence of residual aberrations such as astigmatism and spherical aberration in the imaging systems before and after SLM2; and (3) crosstalk between the pixels on the SLMs (called the fringing effect in [34]), which becomes significant whenever the phase aberration in the imaging systems before and after SLM2; and, therefore, any calibration errors therein would reduce the crosstalk between adjacent pixels during phase wrapping.

To summarize, we have proposed and provided a proof-of-principle demonstration of a new protocol for determining the radial mode decomposition of an optical field. The protocol utilizes two phase transformations, one at the object and the other in the Fourier plane of a lens, to convert high-order radial modes to the fundamental mode of a SMF. The required phase transformations were calculated through the use of the GS algorithm. The implementation is straightforward and does not require complicated setups or optimization. Also, as it utilizes only phase corrections, our procedure for maximizing the coherent mode overlap between the high-order LG modes and the Gaussian mode is intrinsically non-lossy. We believe that by improving on the implementation, as suggested in the preceding paragraph, the performance can be improved further.

The universal nature of the phase-retrieval algorithm suggests the future use of this protocol for measurements of the azimuthal mode structure in addition to the radial modes, or for measurements in other bases, including the Hermite-Gaussian basis. One can essentially increase the dimensionality of space-division multiplexing in communication [7] through the use of this protocol, or integrate it with other available mode-sorting techniques to perform high-dimensional QKD, as very recently done in [35]. In addition, this protocol may be useful for applications such as super-resolution [36] and quantum state tomography [12,23].
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