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Temperature and vegetation effects on soil organic carbon quality along a forested mean annual temperature gradient in North America
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Abstract

Both climate and plant species are hypothesized to influence soil organic carbon (SOC) quality, but accurate prediction of how SOC process rates respond to global change will require an improved understanding of how SOC quality varies with mean annual temperature (MAT) and forest type. We investigated SOC quality in paired hardwood and pine stands growing in coarse textured soils located along a 22 °C gradient in MAT. To do this, we conducted 80-day incubation experiments at 10 and 30 °C to quantify SOC decomposition rates, which we used to kinetically define SOC quality. We used these experiments to test the hypotheses that SOC quality decreases with MAT, and that SOC quality is higher under pine than hardwood tree species. We found that both SOC quantity and quality decreased with increasing MAT. During the 30 °C incubation, temperature sensitivity (Q10) values were strongly and positively related to SOC decomposition rates, indicating that substrate supply can influence temperature responsiveness of SOC decomposition rates. For a limited number of dates, Q10 was negatively related to MAT. Soil chemical properties could not explain observed patterns in soil quality. Soil pH and cation exchange capacity (CEC) both declined with increasing MAT, and soil C quality was positively related to pH but negatively related to CEC. Clay mineralogy of soils also could not explain patterns of SOC quality as complex (2:1), high CEC clay minerals occurred in cold climate soils while warm climate soils were dominated by simpler (1:1), low CEC clay minerals. While hardwood sites contained more SOC than pine sites, with differences declining with MAT, clay content was also higher in hardwood soils. In contrast, there was no difference in SOC quality between pine and hardwood soils. Overall, these findings indicate that SOC quantity and quality may both decrease in response to global warming, despite long-term changes in soil chemistry and mineralogy that favor decomposition.
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Introduction

Soil organic carbon (SOC) quality depends on the capacity of soil microbes to utilize organic substrates
(Ágren & Bosatta, 1996) and on the availability of C compounds to decomposers (Rovira & Vallejo, 2002). Changes in climate and vegetation type are expected to alter the quality and quantity of detrital inputs to soil and also the soil chemical and physical processes that regulate SOC quality. However, despite advances in our understanding of the specific mechanisms leading to SOC formation (Stevenson, 1994; Six et al., 2002), there is a surprisingly poor understanding of how SOC quality relates to climate and vegetation factors. This knowledge gap compromises our ability to predict the response of SOC storage to global change because positive effects of warming on SOC quality could result in a positive feedback on future warming, while warming-related reductions in SOC quality could result in the opposite negative feedback. Further, vegetation is anticipated to change in response to the direct and indirect effects of rising atmospheric CO2 and temperature (Bachelet et al., 2001; Walther et al., 2002). While it is well-established that species-related variation in plant tissue quality can alter decomposition rates of fresh detritus (Melillo et al., 1989; Berg & Matzner, 1997), the relationship between initial quality of detrital inputs and SOC quality remains poorly understood (Scott & Binkley, 1997; Giardina et al., 2001). Overall, few studies have evaluated how mean annual temperature (MAT) and vegetation type affect SOC quality.

It has been hypothesized that SOC can be formed along multiple pathways: (1) accumulation of lignin-derived compounds; (2) accumulation of nitrogen-associated polyphenols derived from microbial utilization of both labile and recalcitrant compounds; and (3) physical protection of detrital C from decomposition through binding of C compounds onto the exchange sites of clay minerals and through stable aggregate formation (Stevenson, 1994; Jastrow & Miller, 1997; Six et al., 2002). Chemical protection of SOC occurs because only specialized microbial groups have developed enzymes capable of attacking lignin, lignin-like compounds or compounds formed through the condensation and/or polymerization of polyphenol-derived quinones (Srebotnik et al., 1994; Stevenson, 1994; Waldrop & Firestone, 2004). Physical protection of SOC in clay- and silt-dominated aggregates occurs because enzymes do not have access to protected SOC (Balesdent et al., 1990; Six et al., 2001). As a result, SOC is only slowly decomposed relative to fresh litter (Trumbore, 1997; Gaudinski et al., 2000; Giardina & Ryan, 2000; Paul et al., 2001).

A critical but rarely examined question in global change science is the extent to which climate regulates SOC quality. The potential mechanisms driving the influence of MAT on SOC quality include increased litter production (Giardina et al., 2005; Raich et al., 2006), accelerated litter decomposition (Meentemeyer, 1982), accelerated stabilization of the products of decomposition (Dalias et al., 2001; Thornley & Cannell, 2001), and in the long-term weathering losses of clay minerals with a high affinity for C (Birkeland, 1999).

Two contrasting hypotheses have emerged for the net effect of these temperature-dependent processes on SOC quality, with implications for whether soils will feedback positively or negatively on future warming. Shang & Tiessen (2000) proposed that SOC formed at high MAT is less stable than SOC formed at low MAT, such that a positive slope would characterize the relationship between SOC decomposition rates and MAT. Alternatively, aboveground net primary production (NPP) and belowground C allocation both increase with MAT across biome types (Gower et al., 1994; Shaver et al., 2000; Giardina et al., 2005), such that soils at warm sites receive greater inputs of both labile (e.g. sugars) and decay resistant (e.g. lignin) compounds. Despite higher inputs, however, total SOC concentrations within taxonomic soil orders appears to be relatively constant across MAT (Sanchez, 1976). Therefore, we hypothesize that larger above and belowground litter inputs combined with higher litter decomposition rates should result in reduced quality of detrital inputs to mineral soils. When coupled with higher stabilization rates at warmer sites (Dalias et al., 2001; Thornley & Cannell, 2001), lower quality inputs should reduce SOC quality at warm sites (Fig. 1).

Vegetation also can influence SOC quality by altering the relative abundance of labile and recalcitrant C compounds returned to soil (Berg, 1986; Melillo et al., 1989; Guo & Gifford, 2002). Although clear differences in litter chemical composition exist across plant taxa (e.g. broadleaf deciduous and evergreen conifer), the effect of vegetation type on SOC quality, particularly in relation to temperature, remains unclear (Smolander et al., 2005). The 'decay filter' hypothesis postulates that initial differences in detritus quality disappear soon after initiation of the decomposition process, and so initial differences should have little influence on SOC quality (Melillo et al., 1989). Alternatively, initial differences in litter quality may relate inversely to SOC quality because of enhanced microbial processing of higher quality and higher nitrogen (N) content litter, increased production of decay resistant compounds, and/or variation in microbial species composition (Giardina et al., 2001; Joffre & Ágren, 2001; Russell et al., 2007). Rapid utilization of labile polymers characterizing high-quality litter has been observed to diminish with time, leaving behind microbially derived stable compounds and residual detritus that are more recalcitrant than the residual detritus of initially lower quality litter (Prescott et al., 2000). Possible causal
relationships between recalcitrant substrates, microbial activity and climate may relate to the N content of the substrate (Berg & Meentemeyer, 2002). However, we are aware of no study that has examined the response of SOC quality to vegetation type along a gradient in MAT.

The purpose of this study was to investigate how SOC quality varies in relation to MAT and the extent to which this relationship with MAT is modified by forest type. The extended range of MAT at which forests occur in North America and the occurrence of hardwood and monospecific pine stands across this range provide a unique opportunity to investigate how temperature and forest type influence SOC quality. In this study, we developed a 22°C gradient in MAT that had the following characteristics: (i) relatively uniform coarse-textured soil; (ii) paired hardwood and pine sites at each MAT sampling point along the gradient; and (iii) relatively constant growing season soil water balance because both mean annual precipitation (MAP) and MAT were linearly and positively related.

We relied on 80-day laboratory incubations to quantify SOC quality. In this widely used method, SOC quality is kinetically defined through measurements of CO$_2$ release from incubated soils (Paul & Clark, 1996; Townsend et al., 1997; Paul et al., 2001, 2006). Lab incubation experiments provide insights about microbial utilization of SOC and hence SOC quality without the confounding influences of root respiration and climatic variation that are encountered in the field (Collins et al., 2000). To examine the effects of incubation temperature on SOC quality, we quantified CO$_2$ release from soils incubated at both high (30°C) and low (10°C) laboratory incubation temperatures (LIT).

We hypothesized that SOC quality decreases with increasing MAT because the quantity of detrital inputs and microbial decomposition of those inputs both increase with MAT. As a result, a smaller, lower quality fraction of a larger amount of detritus enters soils at warm sites. Further, greater SOC stabilization at higher MAT (greater N availability, warmer temperatures, and

Fig. 1 Representation of how temperature and carbon inputs are hypothesized to affect soil organic carbon (SOC) quality along a mean annual temperature (MAT) gradient. Carbon inputs to soil are characterized by similar proportions of readily decomposable (D$_r$) and decay-resistant (D$_d$) compounds, while total ecosystem detritus inputs increase with temperature. The amount of CO$_2$ released through respiration is higher at high temperature due to increased microbial activity. Formation of SOC depends on the rate at which microbial degradation takes place. Different utilization pathways depend on initial C substrate quality, which help to determine SOC quality. The resulting SOC quality, described as the relative amount of labile (SOC$_L$) and stable (SOC$_S$) compounds derives from the combined effects of temperature and substrate quality on microbial activity. At low MAT, lower amounts of D$_r$ and D$_d$ undergo decomposition and microbial activity is lower. In contrast, at high MAT microbial activity is enhanced and D$_r$ compounds are rapidly utilized. Consequently, soils store higher amounts of SOC$_L$ at low MAT than at high temperature, and conversely, at high MAT, soils store more SOC$_S$, and so SOC quality decreases with increasing MAT.
accelerated stabilization reaction rates) may further promote the accumulation of low-quality SOC at warm sites. In addition, we hypothesized that SOC quality would be lower under hardwoods than pine because the high-quality, high-N detritus from hardwoods results in high microbial use efficiency, enhances C stabilization, and suppresses the production of lignin degrading enzymes. Finally, because soil chemical properties can exert a strong influence on SOC content and turnover (Torn et al., 1997), we examined soil clay mineralogy, pH and CEC across our gradient and related their variation to SOC content and decomposition.

Methods

Site description

For our soil incubation experiments, we sampled soils from 26 closed-canopy hardwood- and pine-dominated stands located along a 22 °C gradient in MAT (Table 1). Each stand was considered mature for the region, with age varying inversely with MAT. Most sites were located within USDA National Forest Experimental Forests or University Experimental Forests with known management histories. Exceptions include the two pine sites and one hardwood site in Michigan, which are located on land that had been owned and managed by MeadWestvaco Inc., again with a known record of management. Climate data were available for nearly all sites, and where data were not available, climate data for nearby (<70 km) sites were used.

Each point on our MAT gradient included paired pine-dominated stands and maple-dominated (Minnesota and warmer) or aspen-dominated (Michigan and cooler) hardwood stands (Table 1). At the southern sites, aspen does not occur and hence only pine-dominated and maple-dominated stands were sampled. Overstory maple species do not occur at the Colorado site, so only soils from pine-dominated and aspen-dominated sites were sampled. For Michigan and Minnesota sites, both aspen and maple stands were sampled. With the exception of Colorado, hardwood sites were represented by uneven-aged stands of red maple (Acer rubra) and sugar maple (Acer saccharum) in association with other hardwoods including oak (Quercus spp.) at all sites and hickory (Carya spp.) for the southern sites. For Michigan and the cooler sites, hardwood sites also included even-aged aspen stands. Composition of the even-aged pine stands varied along our MAT gradient, and included Pinus contorta (Colorado), P. resinosa (Minnesota and Michigan), P. virginiana (Kentucky) and P. taeda (South Carolina and Georgia). Within a region, we attempted to hold slope, aspect and elevation constant. For Colorado we chose sites that were approximately 3000 m a.s.l., south-east in aspect and with <10% slope. For other sites on the gradient, we chose sites that were level and had no aspect. Across the gradient, MAP varied from 474 mm yr⁻¹ in Colorado to 1332 mm yr⁻¹ in South Carolina. Notably, while MAP is higher at warmer sites, evaporation and transpiration losses are greater at the warmer sites. As a result, calculated growing season water balance is relatively constant across the gradient.

Soil sampling

Soil sampling was conducted by depth with no separation into soil horizons. Specifically, soil samples were collected from the top 20 cm of the mineral soil by inserting a 10 cm diameter soil auger into the soil after carefully removing organic horizon material (forest floor). Soils were shipped in a cooler to the USDA Forest Service Forestry Lab in Houghton, MI, immediately after sampling (<2 days). Soils were sieved fresh through a 2-mm sieve to remove roots and rocks. All sieved soils were then dried to constant moisture at 30 °C in a forced air oven for analysis and storage.

Soil chemical-physical characterization

Water holding capacity (WHC) of air-dried samples was measured by saturation of a known amount of dry soil (0% WHC) placed in a funnel with filter. A known mass of soil was used to fill the funnel to a known volume to reach a target bulk density of 1 Mg m⁻³. Water was added to soil until saturation, and soil weight after all free water had drained (ca. 6 h) represented 100% WHC. Soil pH was measured with a pH meter using 20 g of soil mixed in 20 mL of H₂O and 200 μL of 1 M CaCl₂ solution. Cation exchange capacity (CEC) was calculated as the sum of charge equivalents of exchangeable ions. Total C and N estimates for air-dry soils were obtained using an Elemental Combustion System 4010 Mass Spectrometer (Costech, Valencia, CA, USA).

Soil texture was calculated using the hydrometer procedure, by which the relative proportion of sand and clay are determined gravimetrically according to the settling time of soil suspension, while the proportion of silt is obtained by difference (Carter, 1993). Clay mineral composition was determined by isolating the clay fraction through sedimentation in a column after dispersion in 10 mL of 3% sodium hexametaphosphate followed by flocculation in 2 N MgCl₂ and repeated washing with deionized water (Gee & Bauder, 1986). Subsequently, oriented clay samples were obtained by adding the separated material to glass slides using an eye-dropper and then air-drying. Mineralogy was
Table 1 Site location and soil characteristics of the pine and hardwood stands sampled along the 22 °C mean annual temperature (MAT) gradient

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>MAT °C</th>
<th>MAP mm yr⁻¹</th>
<th>Forest type</th>
<th>Main species</th>
<th>Clay %</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>CEC meq 100 g⁻¹ soil</th>
<th>C %</th>
<th>N %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CO-P1</td>
<td>Colorado</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>474</td>
<td>Pine</td>
<td><em>P. contorta</em></td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>2.20</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO-P2</td>
<td>Colorado</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>474</td>
<td>Pine</td>
<td><em>P. contorta</em></td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>2.64</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO-A1</td>
<td>Colorado</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>474</td>
<td>Hardwood</td>
<td><em>P. tremuloides</em></td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>26.4</td>
<td>8.07</td>
<td>0.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO-A2</td>
<td>Colorado</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>474</td>
<td>Hardwood</td>
<td><em>P. tremuloides</em></td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>3.48</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MN-P1</td>
<td>Minnesota</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>700</td>
<td>Pine</td>
<td><em>P. resinosa</em></td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>1.45</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MN-P2</td>
<td>Minnesota</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>700</td>
<td>Pine</td>
<td><em>P. resinosa</em></td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MN-A1</td>
<td>Minnesota</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>700</td>
<td>Hardwood</td>
<td><em>P. tremuloides</em></td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>1.60</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MN-H1</td>
<td>Minnesota</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>700</td>
<td>Hardwood</td>
<td><em>Acer spp.</em></td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>1.93</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MI-P1</td>
<td>Michigan</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>771</td>
<td>Pine</td>
<td><em>P. resinosa</em></td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MI-P2</td>
<td>Michigan</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>867</td>
<td>Pine</td>
<td><em>P. resinosa</em></td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MI-A1</td>
<td>Michigan</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>771</td>
<td>Hardwood</td>
<td><em>P. tremuloides</em></td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>1.34</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MI-H1</td>
<td>Michigan</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>867</td>
<td>Hardwood</td>
<td><em>Acer spp.</em></td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>3.38</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MI-H2</td>
<td>Michigan</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>867</td>
<td>Hardwood</td>
<td><em>Acer spp.</em></td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>1.62</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KY-P1</td>
<td>Kentucky</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>850</td>
<td>Pine</td>
<td><em>P. virginiana</em></td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>2.24</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KY-H1</td>
<td>Kentucky</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>850</td>
<td>Hardwood</td>
<td><em>Acer spp.</em></td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>2.10</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KY-H2</td>
<td>Kentucky</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>850</td>
<td>Hardwood</td>
<td><em>Acer spp.</em></td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>2.34</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KY-H3</td>
<td>Kentucky</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>850</td>
<td>Hardwood</td>
<td><em>Acer spp.</em></td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC-P1</td>
<td>South Carolina</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>1332</td>
<td>Pine</td>
<td><em>P. virginiana</em></td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>1.74</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC-P2</td>
<td>South Carolina</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>1332</td>
<td>Pine</td>
<td><em>P. virginiana</em></td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC-P3</td>
<td>South Carolina</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>1332</td>
<td>Pine</td>
<td><em>P. virginiana</em></td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.37</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC-H1</td>
<td>South Carolina</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>1332</td>
<td>Hardwood</td>
<td><em>Acer spp.</em></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>2.06</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC-H2</td>
<td>South Carolina</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>1332</td>
<td>Hardwood</td>
<td><em>Acer spp.</em></td>
<td>16</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>1.76</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC-H3</td>
<td>South Carolina</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>1332</td>
<td>Hardwood</td>
<td><em>Acer spp.</em></td>
<td>13</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>1.47</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC-H4</td>
<td>South Carolina</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>1332</td>
<td>Hardwood</td>
<td><em>Acer spp.</em></td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>1.24</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GA-P1</td>
<td>Georgia</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1270</td>
<td>Pine</td>
<td><em>P. taeda</em></td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GA-H1</td>
<td>Georgia</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1270</td>
<td>Hardwood</td>
<td><em>Acer spp.</em></td>
<td>13</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

With the exception of Colorado, hardwood stands were all uneven-aged red and sugar maple in association with other hardwoods, including oak at all sites and hickory for the southern sites. Where aspen instead of maple was sampled, it was from even-aged stands. For Colorado, samples were taken from Fraser Experimental Forest and Routt National Forest (USDA Forest Service); in Minnesota from Marcel and Cutfoot Experimental Forests (USDA Forest Service), in Michigan from industrial lands and from Michigan Technological University’s Alberta Forestry Center; in Kentucky from the Daniel Boone National Forest (USDA Forest Service) and the University of Kentucky Robinson Forest; in South Carolina from Santee Experimental Forest and Francis Marion National Forests (USDA Forest Service); and in Georgia from the University of Georgia Agricultural Experiment Station.

MAP, Mean annual precipitation.

analyzed on a Scintag diffractometer (Scintag Inc., Cupertino, CA, USA) with a range of 2−362θ, at a scan rate of 2° min⁻¹. Subsequent diffractions of the same samples were conducted after exposure to a vapor of ethylene glycol overnight in oven at 65 °C and heating in a furnace at 120, 350, and 550 °C for 2 h. These different treatments allow discrimination among minerals according to the expandable properties of their structures (Moore & Reynolds, 1989). Glycolation causes expansion of highly expandable smectite, with the characteristic peak shifting from 14 Å to ca. 17 Å, while low-expandable smectite does not present such swelling. Further, the extent to which the peak at 14 Å collapses toward 10 Å and the temperature required for the collapse are indicative of the degree of hydroxyl-Al interlayer filling, with low-expandable smectite being characterized by incomplete collapse toward 10 Å because of the strong electrostatic forces pulling the structural layers together. Distinction between chlorite and kaolinite is obtained by the collapse of the kaolinite peaks after heating at 550 °C, while the distinctive peak of illite is constant at 10 Å across treatments.

Accurate quantification of soil clay minerals is still not possible with current methods (Kahle et al., 2002) because of both intrinsic complexity of the soil mineral phase and because of the numerous assumptions involved in interpreting X-ray diffraction spectra. Lack of standard procedures for conducting these analyses also complicates attempts to quantify mineralogy from these spectra (Ottner et al., 2000; Kahle et al., 2002). For this reason, we present semiquantitative estimates of the relative abundance of the major clay minerals derived...
from software-based (Scintag Inc.) estimates of peak area of X-ray diffraction spectra following subtraction of spectra baseline (Thorez, 1976).

**SOC decomposition rates**

Thirty grams of soil from each of 26 forest stands located along the MAT gradient were placed in 120 mL specimen cups, hydrated, and placed into 1 L mason jars for incubation. Lids with rubber septa for gas sampling were used to seal the jars during 24 h measurement periods that included sampling for initial and final CO$_2$ concentrations. One set of soils was incubated at 10°C, representing average MAT across our gradient, while an identical second set was incubated at 30°C, representing a temperature typically used in incubation studies (Paul et al., 2001). Throughout the experiment, all soils were maintained at constant temperature ($10 \pm 1$ or $30 \pm 1°C$) by conducting incubations in Precision 815 low-temperature incubators (Winchester, VA, USA). We maintained soils at constant moisture ($60 \pm 5\%$ WHC) that in a pre-experiment trial was determined to be near optimal for microbial decomposition (maximum CO$_2$ evolution rates). Moisture levels were maintained by regularly checking soil weight and adjusting with tap water. Open containers of water were placed in the incubators to increase relative humidity and minimize water loss from soil.

We quantified CO$_2$ efflux (g C g$^{-1}$ soil day$^{-1}$) from incubated soils using an Agilent 6890 Gas Chromatograph (Agilent Inc., Palo Alto, CA, USA). Pre-experiment trials were conducted to establish analytical reproducibility and the replications required to achieve accurate means. Before sampling, jars were removed from incubators, equilibrated with ambient air under a fume hood, sealed for baseline CO$_2$ sampling, and immediately returned to 10 or 30°C LIT for 24 h before resampling for head space CO$_2$ concentrations. The baseline CO$_2$ concentration at time zero, used for calculating CO$_2$ efflux during the measurement period, was recorded at each sampling event from a subset of 5–10 jars immediately after closing the jars. Head space sampling involved collection of 50 mL of headspace gas from each jar with a gas-tight syringe. All gas samples were analyzed within 8 h from collection, which occurred at 1, 3, 10, 22, 42, and 80 days. SOC decomposition rates were calculated from gaschromatograph CO$_2$ efflux results and are expressed as mg C g$^{-1}$ soil C day$^{-1}$. The % SOC lost over the entire incubation was calculated as the sum of all C lost over the entire 80-day incubation and was expressed as g C lost g$^{-1}$ soil C $\times$ 100.

**Statistical analysis**

The area of study was divided into six MAT zones, ranging from an MAT = 2 to 20°C. Within each MAT zone, we sampled between two and six replicate stands of forest. For each of these replicate stands, we collected three subsamples that were combined into a single soil sample for that replicate stand. Because stands were geographically separated by other forest types, soil types, land-uses (up to 70 km apart within an MAT zone), we treated the stand as a true replicate ($n = 26$). Within each MAT zone, we sampled both pine and hardwood (maple dominated, aspen dominated, or both), and so were able to add a second qualitative variable to our regression analyses. Specifically, we used simple and multiple linear regression and paired t-tests to examine the effects of MAT, soil texture, soil chemical measures and forest type on CO$_2$ efflux, SOC content, SOC decomposition rate, and % SOC loss. In all cases, an $\alpha$ of 0.05 was used to determine significance. We did not consider the site CO-AI as an outlier despite %C values that were three times higher than the mean. Typically, soil C concentrations are highly variable, and so these values are not surprising. Alternatively, at the time of sampling, part of the O horizon may have been accidentally included into the sample due to the difficulties in distinguishing the transition between Oa and A soil horizons. Reanalysis of SOC content and SOC decomposition rates revealed no measurement errors.

**Results**

**Soil chemical–physical characteristics**

Soil pH declined significantly with MAT (Fig. 2a) from a high of about 6.1 at an MAT of $-2°C$ to a low of 4.3 at an MAT of 20°C ($R^2 = 0.53; P < 0.01; n = 26$). There were no significant effects of forest type on soil pH ($4.90 \pm 0.10$ for pine and $5.10 \pm 0.10$ for hardwood stands; mean $\pm$ 1 SE). Soil CEC also was negatively related to MAT ($R^2 = 0.04; P = 0.36$) with variation within a region often equal to variation across regions (Fig. 2b). Overall, soil clay content varied between 1% and 20% (mean = 8.00%; SE = 1.10; $n = 26$), but in contrast to pH and CEC, soil clay content was unrelated to MAT ($R^2 = 0.04; P = 0.36$) with variation within a region often equal to variation across regions (Fig. 2c). Overall, soil clay content was higher in soils under hardwood stands compared with pine stands (Fig. 2c).

Across sites, clay minerals in soil were composed mainly of illite, chlorite, kaolinite, and high- or low-charge smectite (Fig. 3), though relative abundances of these clay minerals varied with MAT. Expandable, typically high CEC clay minerals were...
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Fig. 2 Relationship between mean annual temperature (MAT) and main soil chemical-physical characteristics along the MAT gradient with (•) for hardwood stands and (△) for pine stands. (a) soil pH = 5.65-0.05 x (MAT), R² = 0.53, P < 0.01, n = 26; (b) soil cation exchange capacity (CEC) = 8.25-0.36 x (MAT), R² = 0.30, P < 0.05, n = 26; (c) soil clay content (not significant). Bar graphs represent the soil characteristics (mean ± SE) according to the forest type.

more abundant at the cold end of the gradient and decreased with increasing MAT. In contrast, kaolinite and low CEC minerals were more abundant in soils from Kentucky, South Carolina, and Georgia. We observed only trace amounts of chlorite in these warm climate soils.

In line with mineralogy, pH and CEC data, SOC content was negatively albeit weakly related to MAT (Fig. 4). Across forest types, SOC content was not significantly related to clay content (R² = 0.08; P = 0.18; n = 26). Across the gradient, hardwood stands (n = 15) stored significantly more SOC than pine (n = 11) stands (2.40 ± 0.44% and 1.54 ± 0.18%, respectively; Fig. 4).

SOC decomposition rates

We observed high reproducibility of soil CO₂ efflux measurements during the incubation experiment. Efflux rates observed in a trial experiment conducted under identical conditions 30 days before the initiation of the current experiment were highly correlated with rates observed in the main experiment (R² = 0.96; P < 0.01; n = 26). To examine linearity, we separately incubated 10 subsamples of a single soil and found that variation in efflux rates across soil subsamples was very low and the linear increase in headspace CO₂ concentration over 24-h trials was very high (R² = 0.99; P < 0.01; n = 10).

Values for SOC decomposition rates of 1.98 and 0.38 mg C g⁻¹ soil C day⁻¹ were observed at day 1 of the incubation at high and low LIT, respectively. At high LIT, rates of SOC decomposition (mg C g⁻¹ soil C day⁻¹) declined sharply in the first 3 weeks of incubation. A more muted pattern was observed for low-LIT soils (Fig. 5). Subsequently, SOC decomposition rates stabilized for both high and low LIT. At high LIT, SOC decomposition rates decreased from an average of 1.56 mg C g⁻¹ soil C day⁻¹ in the first 10 days of incubation to 0.43 mg C g⁻¹ soil C day⁻¹ in the second half of the incubation. At low LIT, values varied from 0.49 to 0.21 mg C g⁻¹ soil C day⁻¹.
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SOC quality along the MAT gradient

Across sites and dates, SOC decomposition rates were negatively related to MAT. The regression slopes describing the relationship between SOC decomposition rate and MAT were negative for each sampling event in our 80-day incubation experiment. By the end of the experiment, %SOC loss was greater for cold climate than warm climate soils, with %SOC loss decreasing linearly with increasing MAT (Fig. 6).

The Q_{10} of SOC decomposition rate response to LIT (calculated here as \( \frac{R_1}{R_2} \times 10^{\frac{10}{[LIT_1-LIT_2]}} \), where \( R_1 \) and \( R_2 \) equal SOC decomposition rates at LIT1 and LIT2) ranged from an initial mean value of 2.00 ± 0.10 to a mean value of 1.50 ± 0.10 at the end of the incubation (Fig. 5). At high LIT, Q_{10} values were strongly related to SOC decomposition rates over the 80-day incubation (Q_{10} = 0.40 × SOC dec. rates + 1.34; \( R^2 = 0.44; P < 0.01; n = 150 \)). No significant relationship was found between Q_{10} and SOC decomposition rates at low LIT (Q_{10} = -0.30 × SOC dec. rates + 1.77; \( R^2 = 0.10; P = 0.90; n = 150 \)). We observed a strong negative relationship between Q_{10} and MAT on days 10 (\( R^2 = 0.68; P < 0.01 \)) and 22 (\( R^2 = 0.29; P < 0.01 \)) of the incubation.

SOC decomposition rates and forest type

Across sites, % SOC loss after 80 day of incubation at high LIT was similar for hardwoods and pine stands (5.60 ± 0.51% and 5.70 ± 0.65%, respectively). At low LIT, %SOC loss was slightly lower for hardwood than for pine stands (2.00 ± 0.13% and 2.38 ± 0.22). At low and high LIT, %SOC loss was inversely related to MAT in both hardwood and pine stands (Fig. 6), as described by the following equations:
At low LIT, 
Hardwood sites: % SOC loss = -0.02 MAT + 0.71 
\((R^2 = 0.47; P < 0.01; n = 15)\). 
Pine sites: % SOC loss = -0.02 MAT + 0.50 
\((R^2 = 0.68; P < 0.01; n = 11)\).

At high LIT, 
Hardwood sites: % SOC loss = -0.04 MAT + 1.68 
\((R^2 = 0.21; P = 0.08; n = 15)\). 
Pine sites: % SOC loss = -0.06 MAT + 1.49 
\((R^2 = 0.65; P < 0.01; n = 11)\).

Discussion

In this study, we tested the hypothesis that MAT negatively influences SOC quality. Our second hypothesis was that SOC quality is higher in soils formed under pine than hardwood-dominated stands. Our analyses of SOC decomposition rates over our 80-day incubations showed a decrease in SOC quality with increasing MAT, with no strong effect of forest type despite higher SOC content under hardwood than pine stands.

Soil chemical and physical properties

Previous work has shown higher SOC stabilization in the presence of expandable, high surface area and high CEC clay minerals such as chlorite or highly expandable smectite (Torn et al., 1997; Eusterhues et al., 2003; Wattel-Koekkoek & Buurman, 2004; Rasmussen et al., 2006). For example, Wattel-Koekkoek et al. (2001) observed that soils rich in smectite, a 2:1 clay mineral with high CEC, can hold more aromatic C compounds than soils rich in kaolinite, a 1:1 clay mineral characterized by low CEC. Among the expandable interlayered mineral phases, the different development of hydroxy-Al interlayer explains the range of CEC and swelling potential across the range of high- and low-expandable smectite. In highly expandable smectite, the presence of charge in tetrahedral sites allows greater expansion and higher affinity for adsorption of organic molecules than in low-expandable smectite (Dontsova & Bigham, 2005).

In line with previous studies (Birkeland, 1999), we observed decreasing presence of chlorite and highly expandable smectite with increasing MAT (Fig. 3). At warm sites, clays were composed mainly of low-expandable smectite and kaolinite. As would be predicted from the change in soil clay mineralogy, where clays with a high capacity to fix C at low MAT were replaced by clays with a much lower capacity to fix C at warm sites, we observed that SOC content decreased with increasing MAT.

Total clay content may also influence SOC content, which tends to be greater in fine-textured soils because of the adsorption of organic molecules onto clay surfaces and aggregate formation (Oades, 1988; Jastrow & Miller, 1997; Six et al., 2001). Studies of SOC pools also have shown that stable SOC is often associated with clay minerals (Torn et al., 1997; Eusterhues et al., 2003), and clay content is sometimes used to model SOC content (Schimel et al., 1985, 1994; Parton et al., 1987). However, we did not observe a relationship between clay minerals and SOC across our sites. This finding may be a result of a limited range of clay content or the coarse-textured nature of our soils.

Total SOC also depends on C inputs to soil and the effects of MAT on soil C decomposition rates. The finding of decreasing SOC content with increasing MAT agrees with Raich et al. (2006), who hypothesized that reduced C storage at high MAT derives from elevated NPP that is more than offset by enhanced decomposition. Our soil chemical data also point to warm climate soils having a lower capacity to stabilize SOC. Reduced SOC content at high MAT sites coincided with clay minerals and soil chemistry that reduce soil capacity to stabilize organic C.

Soil pH declined by ~1.8 pH units while soil CEC also declined by half across our MAT gradient. Variation in pH can reduce the surface charge of minerals, while bivalent cations, especially calcium, can enhance C stabilization through cation bridging (Oades, 1988). Therefore, the combination of lower pH and CEC at high MAT sites may have further reduced the capacity of warm climate soils to stabilize SOC. These changes in mineralogy, pH and CEC across the MAT gradient likely relate to MAT effects on the weathering process. In agreement with these findings, we observed a positive relationship between soil pH and SOC content \((R^2 = 0.25; P < 0.01; n = 26)\). Therefore, the negative effect of MAT on SOC content may be indirect and result from the direct effects of MAT on soil mineralogy, CEC, and pH.

SOC decomposition rates

Consistent with studies of forested (Winkler et al., 1996) and agricultural (Collins et al., 2000) soils, we found a rapid decrease in SOC decomposition rates during the initial phase of incubation. This pattern may be caused by the rapid utilization and consequent depletion of labile SOC due to the activity of soil microorganisms (Townsend et al., 1997; Paul et al., 2001). Alternatively, this pattern may reflect the depletion of C made available during sampling and processing disturbance. The
SOC decomposition rates observed in this study agree with those previously reported in the literature. Rates for our Colorado soils are consistent with 80-day results reported by Giardina et al. (2001) for soils sampled from Colorado aspen (35 mg C g\(^{-1}\) soil C) and pine stands (85 mg C g\(^{-1}\) soil C). On the warm end of our MAT gradient, SOC decomposition rates were slightly lower than those reported by Winkler et al. (1996) for heavily weathered South Carolina soils (approximately 20-30 mg C g\(^{-1}\) soil C 120 day\(^{-1}\)). Overall, our rates fall within the range of rates reviewed by Giardina & Ryan (2000).

**SOC quality and MAT**

In agreement with our first hypothesis (Fig. 1), decomposition rates for SOC in our 80-day experiment were negatively related to MAT. Given the observed changes in clay mineralogy, CEC and pH, we interpret the decline in SOC quality with increasing MAT as having resulted primarily from two factors. First, stabilization reactions proceed more quickly at high temperatures (Dallas et al., 2001; Thornley & Cannell, 2001), resulting in a larger proportion of total SOC occurring in stabilized, chemically protected forms. Second, NPP and litter decomposition rates increase positively with MAT, leading to lower quality detrital inputs to soil and lower biochemical quality of SOC.

For the 30°C incubations, a stepwise multiple linear regression explaining 36% of the variation in decomposition rates revealed that MAT (P < 0.01) and CEC (P = 0.04) were negatively related to decomposition rates. No other variables were significant. For the 10°C incubations, a stepwise multiple linear regression explaining 59% of the variation in decomposition rates revealed that MAT, CEC and pH were all significant predictors of decomposition rate – MAT (P = 0.02) and CEC (P < 0.01) were negatively related while pH (P = 0.02) was positively related to decomposition rate. This positive relationship between decomposition and pH has been observed previously for tropical soils (Motavalli et al., 1995). That SOC decomposition rates also were related negatively to CEC is in line with expected effects of increased bivalent cation supply on SOC stabilization (Oades, 1988). Because CEC and pH declined with MAT, the negative effect of MAT on SOC quality appears to have more than offset any opposing effects of declining CEC or pH on SOC quality.

More rapid stabilization of detrital C at warmer sites due to higher temperatures may be augmented by higher N availability, as SOC decomposition rates may be influenced by reactions between soil N and C compounds. Soil C:N may serve as an index of these reactions, and as many terrestrial ecosystems are N-limited (Vitousek & Howarth, 1991), C:N may also influence microbial decomposition and SOC quality. For surface litter, high C:N typically is associated with low decomposition rates (Melillo et al., 1982; Norby et al., 2001). However, over longer time steps, Berg (2000) suggested that litter with low C:N may lead to higher SOC stabilization, even though initially high N content in litter may enhance short-term decomposition rates. In our study, C:N of SOC was higher and total C content lower for pine than hardwood stands while SOC decomposition rates were similar between forest types, in line with the findings of Giardina et al. (2001) who found that C:N of soils was a poor predictor of soil C decomposition rates. Overall, soil C:N was unrelated to both MAT and soil C decomposition rates at 10 or 30°C (P = 0.19 and P = 0.14, respectively), and was excluded in a stepwise multiple linear regression, indicating that while an important predictor of initial leaf litter decomposition rates, C:N may be a weak predictor of SOC decomposition.

In our study, variation in SOC quality cannot be easily explained by variation in clay mineralogy (Wattel-Koekoek et al., 2001; Rasmussen et al., 2006). Across our sites, low SOC decomposition rates occurred at sites with high MAT where clay minerals have a lower affinity for SOC. Similarly, at cold sites, SOC decomposition rates were highest despite the presence of clay minerals that have higher affinity for SOC.

Temperature sensitivity (Q_{10}) of SOC decomposition across SOC quality (labile to more stable SOC) can be quantified by estimating Q_{10} of decomposition at different points in our incubation. We found that temperature sensitivity at different days of incubation and SOC decomposition rates were positively related at high LIT, indicating that substrate availability can affect the temperature responsiveness of SOC decomposition – in agreement with evidence presented by Giardina & Ryan (2000) and a recent global-scale modeling study (Ise & Moorcroft, 2006). In contrast to high-LIT, at low-LIT substrate is more slowly depleted resulting in smaller relative declines in SOC decomposition rates during the incubation.

Overall, an improved understanding of the mechanisms driving the decline in SOC content with MAT is needed if the effects of global warming on the soil C reservoir, and the time steps of loss, are to be accurately modeled. If reduced SOC content is the direct result of MAT effects on SOC decomposition rate, than warming could have a rapid influence on SOC storage. If, however, SOC content is regulated primarily by soil mineralogy and chemistry, it is possible that warming will not influence SOC content in the absence of longer-term changes in soil mineralogy, CEC and pH. Because SOC quality actually declined with increasing MAT, we
suggest that declining SOC content results from the direct effects of MAT on soil chemical properties.

**SOC and forest type**

Forest types differ in the quality of detritus returned to soils, with important consequences for biogeochemistry and ecosystem function (Binkley & Giardina, 1998). A previous study reported that high quality litter can result in low quality SOC (Giardina et al., 2001). Based on these findings and the observation that in the long-term, high N content litter can negatively affect decomposer communities (Berg & Meentemeyer, 2002), we investigated whether SOC quality is higher under pine than hardwood across an extended range of MAT.

In contrast to Giardina et al. (2001), who during a 16-month incubation experiment observed %SOC loss to be higher in pine than hardwood soils, %SOC loss in this study did not differ between forest types, despite higher C:N in pine soils and higher SOC content in hardwood soils, as was found by Giardina et al. (2001) for aspen and pine forests in Colorado. Van Miegroet et al. (2005) found similar %SOC loss for conifer and aspen soils in Utah, in agreement with evidence that litter quality and SOC quality may not be correlated (Melillo et al., 1989). Differences with Giardina et al. (2001) may relate to the low and narrow range of soil textures examined in our study. In addition, unidentified but important factors may control SOC quantity and quality across forest types and further analysis is needed to explain these relationships.

Our findings suggest that possible changes in vegetation (e.g. from pine to hardwood at cool sites or from hardwood to pine at warm sites) due to climate change or management may impact SOC content but not SOC quality. However, we caution that small differences in clay content between forest types in part may explain differences in SOC content. Clearly, new experiments are needed to better understand the temperature responses of labile and stable SOC in relation to forest type.

**Conclusions**

A positive correlation between SOC quality and MAT would lead to the prediction that warming-induced changes in SOC quality will feedback positively on decomposition rates, atmospheric CO₂ concentration and hence global warming. In contrast, if SOC quality is negatively correlated with MAT, any feedbacks would be reduced as relatively more SOC would occur in stabilized forms at high MAT. Our study showed that SOC quality and quantity both declined with increasing MAT. These findings indicate that the total amount of C in soil will decrease with global warming despite increased stability of that SOC. Because soil capacity to stabilize SOC declined with MAT due in part to changes in soil chemistry and mineralogy, the effects of climate change on SOC content and quality may be difficult to model under scenarios of global warming without a more explicit consideration of soil chemical and mineralogical effects. While SOC content declined with MAT, the effects of mineralogy and CEC on SOC decomposition rates showed that soil effects on SOC quality can be offset by opposing climate effects on SOC quality.

Despite greater SOC content in hardwood than pine stands, our short-term incubation experiment did not detect differences in SOC quality between hardwood and pine stands along the gradient in MAT. Long-term lab and *in situ* experiments may be necessary to identify an effect of forest type on SOC quality. Taken together our study indicates that global warming may decrease SOC quality and quantity across biomes, whereas changes in vegetation may alter SOC content but not SOC quality.
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