

Cavalryman of the Lost Cause: A Biography of J.E.B. Stuart

Kevin M. Levin

Follow this and additional works at: <https://repository.lsu.edu/cwbr>

Recommended Citation

Levin, Kevin M. (2009) "Cavalryman of the Lost Cause: A Biography of J.E.B. Stuart," *Civil War Book Review*: Vol. 11 : Iss. 2 .

DOI: 10.31390/cwbr.11.2.8

Available at: <https://repository.lsu.edu/cwbr/vol11/iss2/8>

Review

Levin, Kevin M.

Spring 2009

Wert, Jeffrey D. *Cavalryman of the Lost Cause: A Biography of J.E.B. Stuart.* Simon and Schuster, \$32.00 hardcover ISBN 9780743278195

J.E.B. Stuart's Place in the Confederate Pantheon

Civil War enthusiasts have come to expect a certain quality of research and writing from Jeffrey D. Wert. Since the publication of his first book in 1987, Wert has tackled a wide range of subjects including biographies of John S. Mosby, James Longstreet, George A. Custer, a comparative study of the Iron and Stonewall Brigades, and a history of the Army of the Potomac. His most recent offering is the first full biography of Major General J.E.B. Stuart in twenty years. Despite his prominent place in the Confederate pantheon right behind Robert E. Lee and Thomas “Stonewall” Jackson, readers may be surprised to learn that only three biographies have been written about Stuart. Major Henry B. McClellan's 1885 book, *The Life and Campaigns of Major-General J.E.B.*, is still worth reading for its exhaustive coverage of his military exploits. John W. Thomason Jr.'s 1930 biography, *Jeb Stuart* is beautifully written, though it is seriously outdated owing to the lack of primary sources. Emory Thomas's *Bold Dragoon* (1986) can claim the title of the only serious scholarly study of Stuart, but that in and of itself may have kept it from being read by a more general audience.

Most students of the Civil War want their biographies to be long on battle and campaign coverage and short on interpretation as well as the subject's pre- and post-war experiences. In the case of Stuart we have only the former to deal with. The vast majority of Wert's study does indeed focus on the war years and he does so with a firm grasp of the relevant secondary literature as well as an impressive collection of archival material with which to catalog both his performance on the battlefield as well as relations with fellow officers. Wert provides an even-handed assessment of Stuart on the battlefield. While Wert finds Stuart's “efforts wanting” on the battlefield at Sharpsburg he received high

marks for his performance at Chancellorsville in the wake of Jackson's fatal wounding. As for his exploits around the Army of the Potomac and various raids in the summer and fall of 1862 Wert writes that while they garnered some intelligence these risky expeditions wore out valuable Rebel horseflesh.

No doubt, many will look with interest to the chapters on the Gettysburg campaign and the question of Stuart's culpability for his decision on June 25, 1863, to conduct a raid around the Army of the Potomac as it marched north in pursuit of the Army of Northern Virginia. There are no drawn out descriptions of the meeting between Lee and Stuart at Gettysburg or any serious attempt to answer once and for all whether Stuart's decision constituted a fatal mistake. According to Wert, "Stuart failed Lee and the army in the reckoning at Gettysburg" though he goes on to note that Lee was "not blameless" (302). Much of the assessment of Stuart's performance is to be found in the many references to Richmond newspapers as well as the official reports by Lee and other high-ranking commanders. Finally, the decision to deal with this in a concise manner leaves Wert with sufficient space to highlight Stuart's work in protecting Lee's army as it retreated back to Virginia and over a swollen Potomac River – an aspect of the campaign that is often overlooked. For this reviewer the obsession with Stuart's culpability in the Gettysburg campaign is primarily a function of its place in our popular imagination and our never-ending obsession with trying to pinpoint that one factor that held the outcome of the battle in the balance.

In contrast to his military exploits, Stuart's life beyond the battlefield leaves the reader with more questions than answers. While Wert's attention to archival sources is admirable they fail to shed any new light into Stuart's life before the war, including his marriage to Flora Cooke. While Stuart is situated squarely within a generation that was reared on the sectional conflicts of the 1850s, Wert has little to say about his political and racial outlook even though he was involved in suppressing John Brown's Harper's Ferry Raid, which set the tone for Lincoln's election in 1860 followed by the secession of states in the Deep South. More importantly, the author missed an opportunity to explore how the secession of Virginia permanently damaged relations between Stuart and his father-in-law, Philip St. George Cooke. Wert simply notes that Stuart "never forgave his father-in-law for forsaking Virginia" (44).

Such minor criticisms should in no way detract from Wert's accomplishment. He has managed to strip the many layers of myth from his

subject without losing the color that makes Stuart so attractive to students of the Civil War.

Kevin M. Levin teaches American history at the St. Anne's – Belfield School in Charlottesville, Virginia. His most recent publication is a study of the demobilization of the Army of Northern Virginia, which will be published in William C. Davis and James I. Robertson, eds., Virginia at War, 1865 (University Press of Kentucky, 2010). He blogs at Civil War Memory: www.cwmemory.com.