

12-1-2002

The c-function for non-compactly causal symmetric spaces

Bernhard Krötz
The Ohio State University

Gestur Ólafsson
Louisiana State University

Follow this and additional works at: https://repository.lsu.edu/mathematics_pubs

Recommended Citation

Krötz, B., & Ólafsson, G. (2002). The c-function for non-compactly causal symmetric spaces. *Inventiones Mathematicae*, 149 (3), 647-659. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00222-002-0226-y>

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the Department of Mathematics at LSU Scholarly Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in Faculty Publications by an authorized administrator of LSU Scholarly Repository. For more information, please contact ir@lsu.edu.

The c -function for non-compactly causal symmetric spaces

Bernhard Krötz* and Gestur Ólafsson**

Introduction

In this paper we prove a product formula for the c -function associated to a non-compactly causal symmetric space \mathcal{M} . Let us recall here the basic facts. Let G be a connected semisimple Lie group, $\tau : G \rightarrow G$ be a non-trivial involution and $H = G^\tau$. Then $\mathcal{M} := G/H$ is a semisimple symmetric space. The space \mathcal{M} is called non-compactly causal, if $\mathfrak{q} := \{X \in \mathfrak{g} : \tau(X) = -X\}$ contains an open H -invariant hyperbolic cone $C \neq \emptyset$. In this case $S := H \exp(C)$ is a open subsemigroup of G . A *spherical function* on \mathcal{M} is an H -biinvariant continuous function on $S/H \subseteq \mathcal{M}$, which defines an eigendistribution of the algebra of H -invariant differential operators on \mathcal{M} , see [FHÓ94], [KNÓ98], [Ó197]. There exists a maximal abelian hyperbolic subspace \mathfrak{a} of \mathfrak{q} such that $C = \text{Ad}(H).(\mathfrak{a} \cap C)$. Let

$$\varphi_\lambda(g.x_0) = \int_H a_H(gh)^{\lambda-\rho} d\mu_H(h)$$

be a spherical function given by a convergent integral similar to the expression for the spherical functions on the Riemannian symmetric spaces G/K . Here $x_0 \in \mathcal{M}$ is the coset $\{H\}$ and $a_H(g) \in A := \exp(\mathfrak{a})$ is determined by $g \in Ha_H(g)N$. The asymptotic behaviour of $\varphi_\lambda(a.x_0)$ along $S \cap A$ is given by $\varphi_\lambda(a.x_0) \sim c(\lambda)a^{\lambda-\rho}$, where ρ is half the sum over the positive roots counted with multiplicities. The function $c(\lambda)$ is the *c -function of the space \mathcal{M}* . It turns out that the c -function is a product of two c -function, $c(\lambda) = c_\Omega(\lambda)c_0(\lambda)$ where $c_0(\lambda)$ is the Harish-Chandra c -function of a Riemannian subsymmetric space $G(0)/K(0)$ and $c_\Omega(\lambda)$ is a function associated to the real bounded symmetric domain $H/(H \cap K)$, where K is a τ -stable maximal compact subgroup of G . The c -function was first introduced by Oshima-Sekiguchi in [OS80], whereas $c_\Omega(\lambda)$ was first introduced in [FHÓ94].

The c -function for a Riemannian symmetric space G/K can be written as a product of c -functions of rank one symmetric spaces associated to each restricted root of \mathfrak{g} (Gindikin–Karpelevic formula). For general non-Riemannian symmetric spaces G/H one cannot expect this type of result. However, for non-compactly causal symmetric spaces we show in this paper (cf. Theorem III.5) that such a product formula holds. The case of Cayley type spaces has already been treated by J. Faraut in [Fa95] by the use of Jordan algebra methods and in [Gr97] the case $\text{Sl}(n, \mathbb{R})/\text{SO}(p, q)$ is dealt. The approach presented here is general, different and relies on new insights on the fine convex geometry of the real bounded symmetric domain Ω (cf. Theorem II.5 and Theorem II.7.)

Our result has important applications. The c -function was the last unknown part in the formula for the formal degree of the spherical holomorphic discrete series representations

* Supported by the DFG-project HI 412/5-2

** Supported by LEQSF grant (1996-99)-RD-A-12

representations (cf. [Kr99]). Further it gives us important information on the normalized spherical functions $\tilde{\varphi}_\lambda := c_\Omega(\lambda)^{-1}\varphi_\lambda$. One knows that the function $\lambda \mapsto \tilde{\varphi}_\lambda(s.x_0)$ has a meromorphic continuation to $\mathfrak{a}_\mathbb{C}^*$ (cf. [Ó197]) and the product formula gives us important information on the poles. In particular, this allows more detailed analysis of the spherical Laplace transform, in particular Paley-Wiener type theorems.

I. Non-compactly causal symmetric spaces and Lie algebras

In this section we introduce notation and recall some facts concerning non-compactly causal symmetric Lie algebras and their associated symmetric spaces. Our source of reference is [HiÓ196].

Algebraic preliminaries

Let \mathfrak{g} be a simple finite dimensional real Lie algebra. Let $\tau : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ be a non-trivial involution. Then (\mathfrak{g}, τ) is a *symmetric Lie algebra*. We write $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} + \mathfrak{q}$ for the τ -eigenspace decomposition of \mathfrak{g} corresponding to the eigenvalues $+1$ and -1 . Let θ be a Cartan involution of \mathfrak{g} which commutes with τ and let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} + \mathfrak{p}$ be the associated Cartan decomposition.

For $\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b} \subseteq \mathfrak{g}$ let $\mathfrak{z}_\mathfrak{a}(\mathfrak{b}) := \{X \in \mathfrak{a} : [X, Y] = 0, Y \in \mathfrak{b}\}$ be the *centralizer of \mathfrak{b} in \mathfrak{a}* . We call (\mathfrak{g}, τ) *non-compactly causal*, or simply NCC, if $\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{q} \cap \mathfrak{p}}(\mathfrak{h} \cap \mathfrak{k}) \neq \{0\}$. We call (\mathfrak{g}, τ) *non-compactly Riemannian (NCR)* if τ is a Cartan involution. If not otherwise stated from now on (\mathfrak{g}, τ) denotes a NCC symmetric Lie algebra. Then $\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{q} \cap \mathfrak{p}}(\mathfrak{h} \cap \mathfrak{k}) = \mathfrak{z}(\mathfrak{q} \cap \mathfrak{p}) = \mathbb{R}X_0$ is one dimensional. Let $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathfrak{q} \cap \mathfrak{p}$ be a maximal abelian subspace and note that $\mathbb{R}X_0 \subseteq \mathfrak{a}$ and that \mathfrak{a} is maximal abelian in \mathfrak{p} . We write $\Delta = \Delta(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{a})$ for the root system of \mathfrak{g} with respect to \mathfrak{a} and

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{z}_\mathfrak{g}(\mathfrak{a}) \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Delta} \mathfrak{g}^\alpha$$

for the corresponding root space decomposition. We write $\mathfrak{g}(0) := \mathfrak{h} \cap \mathfrak{k} + \mathfrak{q} \cap \mathfrak{p}$ and note that $(\mathfrak{g}(0), \tau(0))$, with $\tau(0) := \tau|_{\mathfrak{g}(0)}$, is NCR. If $\alpha \in \Delta$ then either $\mathfrak{g}^\alpha \subseteq \mathfrak{g}(0)$ or $\mathfrak{g}^\alpha \subseteq \mathfrak{q} \cap \mathfrak{k} + \mathfrak{h} \cap \mathfrak{p}$. A root $\alpha \in \Delta$ is called *compact* if $\mathfrak{g}^\alpha \subseteq \mathfrak{g}(0)$ and *non-compact* if $\mathfrak{g}^\alpha \subseteq \mathfrak{q} \cap \mathfrak{k} + \mathfrak{h} \cap \mathfrak{p}$. We write Δ_k and Δ_n for the collection of compact and non-compact roots, respectively. Note that $\Delta = \Delta_k \dot{\cup} \Delta_n$.

We can and will normalize X_0 such that $\text{Spec}(\text{ad } X_0) = \{-1, 0, 1\}$. Then $\Delta_k = \{\alpha \in \Delta : \alpha(X_0) = 0\}$ and we can choose a positive system Δ^+ of Δ such that

$$\Delta_n^+ := \Delta_n \cap \Delta^+ = \{\alpha \in \Delta_n : \alpha(X_0) = 1\}$$

and such that $\Delta_k^+ := \Delta_k \cap \Delta^+$ is a positive system in Δ_k . Let $\Delta^- := -\Delta^+$, $\Delta_n^- := -\Delta_n^+$ and $\Delta_k^- := -\Delta_k^+$.

We recall now few facts about the structure of the root system Δ . Two roots $\alpha, \beta \in \Delta$ are said to be *strongly orthogonal* if $\alpha \pm \beta$ is not a root. Let $\Gamma := \{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_r\}$ be a system of strongly orthogonal roots in Δ_n^+ of maximal length, i.e., Γ consists of pairwise strongly orthogonal roots and has maximal number of elements with respect to this property. We set

$$\mathcal{W} := N_{\text{Inn}(\mathfrak{h} \cap \mathfrak{k})}(\mathfrak{a}) / Z_{\text{Inn}(\mathfrak{h} \cap \mathfrak{k})}(\mathfrak{a})$$

and call \mathcal{W} the *small Weyl group* of Δ .

Proposition I.1. *For the root system $\Delta = \Delta(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{a})$ of a non-compactly causal symmetric Lie algebra (\mathfrak{g}, τ) the following assertions hold:*

- (i) *The root system Δ is reduced, i.e., if $\alpha \in \Delta$ then $2\alpha \notin \Delta$. In particular, there exists at most two root lengths.*
- (ii) *All long roots in Δ_n^+ are conjugate under the small Weyl group \mathcal{W} . Moreover, all roots γ_i , $1 \leq i \leq r$, are long.*
- (iii) *Write $\Delta_{n,s}^+$ for the short roots in Δ_n^+ . Then, if $\Delta_{n,s}^+ \neq \emptyset$, one has*

$$\Delta_{n,s}^+ = \left\{ \frac{1}{2}(\gamma_i + \gamma_j) : 1 \leq i < j \leq r \right\}$$

and all elements of $\Delta_{n,s}^+$ are conjugate under \mathcal{W} .

Proof. (i) [HiÓ196, Th. 3.2.4] or [NÓ99, Lemma 2.12].

(ii) [NÓ99, Lemma 2.26].

(iii) [NÓ99, Lemma 2.22, Lemma 2.24]. ■

For $\alpha \in \Delta$ let $H_\alpha \in \{[X, \tau(X)] : X \in \mathfrak{g}^\alpha\} \subseteq \mathfrak{a}$ be such that $\alpha(H_\alpha) = 2$. For each $1 \leq i \leq r$ let $H_i = H_{\gamma_i}$. We set $\mathfrak{c} := \text{span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{H_1, \dots, H_r\} \subseteq \mathfrak{a}$ and write \mathfrak{b} for the orthogonal complement of \mathfrak{c} in \mathfrak{a} with respect to the Cartan-Killing form; in particular $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{c} \oplus \mathfrak{b}$.

Proposition I.2. *The positive system Δ_k^+ can be chosen such that for the restriction of $\Delta = \Delta(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{a})$ to \mathfrak{c} the following assertions hold:*

$$\Delta_n^+|_{\mathfrak{c}} = \left\{ \frac{1}{2}(\gamma_i + \gamma_j) : 1 \leq i, j \leq r \right\} \cup \left\{ \frac{1}{2}\gamma_i : 1 \leq i \leq r \right\},$$

$$\Delta_k^+|_{\mathfrak{c}} \setminus \{0\} = \left\{ \frac{1}{2}(\gamma_i - \gamma_j) : 1 \leq j < i \leq r \right\} \cup \left\{ -\frac{1}{2}\gamma_i : 1 \leq i \leq r \right\}.$$

Moreover, the second sets in the two unions from above may or may not occur simultaneously.

Proof. [NÓ99, Th. 2.21] or [Kr99, Th. IV.4]. ■

Since we have free choice for Δ_k^+ we assume in the sequel that $\Delta_k^+|_{\mathfrak{c}} \setminus \{0\} \subseteq \left\{ \frac{1}{2}(\gamma_i - \gamma_j) : 1 \leq j < i \leq r \right\} \cup \left\{ -\frac{1}{2}\gamma_i : 1 \leq i \leq r \right\}$.

Lemma I.3. *Assume that $\Delta_{n,s} \neq \emptyset$ and let Π_k be the set of simple roots corresponding to Δ_k^+ . Then there exists $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_m \in \mathfrak{b}^*$, $\beta_j(X_0) = 0$, and $\delta_1, \dots, \delta_l \in \mathfrak{b}^*$, $\delta_i(X_0) = \frac{1}{2}$, such that*

$$\Pi_k = \left\{ \frac{1}{2}(\gamma_{i+1} - \gamma_i) : 1 \leq i \leq r-1 \right\} \cup \{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_m\} \cup \left\{ -\frac{1}{2}\gamma_r + \delta_i : 1 \leq i \leq l \right\}.$$

Here the last set occurs if and only if there exists half roots in $\Delta|_{\mathfrak{c}}$.

Proof. For each $\alpha \in \Delta$ let s_α denote the corresponding reflection. Then $s_{\gamma_j}(\frac{1}{2}(\gamma_i + \gamma_j)) = \frac{1}{2}(\gamma_i - \gamma_j)$, $i \neq j$ together with Proposition I.1(iii) shows that $\Delta_k \supseteq \left\{ \frac{1}{2}(\gamma_i - \gamma_j) : 1 \leq i \neq j \leq r \right\}$. Thus Proposition I.2 yields that

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_k^+ &\subseteq \left\{ \frac{1}{2}(\gamma_i - \gamma_j) : 1 \leq j < i \leq r \right\} + (\mathfrak{b}^* \cap X_0^\perp) \\ &\cup \left\{ -\frac{1}{2}\gamma_i : 1 \leq i \leq r \right\} + \left\{ \delta \in \mathfrak{b}^* : \delta(X_0) = \frac{1}{2} \right\} \cup \mathfrak{b}^*. \end{aligned}$$

Now the assertion follows easily from Proposition I.2 and the fact that Δ is a root system. ■

We define the *maximal cone* in \mathfrak{a} is defined by

$$C_{\max} := \{X \in \mathfrak{a} : (\forall \alpha \in \Delta_n^+) \alpha(X) \geq 0\}.$$

Lemma I.4. *Let $X_0 = X_0^b + X_0^c$ with $X_0^b \in \mathfrak{b}$ and $X_0^c \in \mathfrak{c}$. Then we have $X_0^b, X_0^c \in C_{\max}$.*

Proof. First note that $X_0^c = \frac{1}{2}(H_1 + \dots + H_r)$ and so $X_0^c \in C_{\max}$ by Proposition I.2. To show $X_0^b \in C_{\max}$ let $\alpha \in \Delta_n^+$. Then Proposition I.2 shows that $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}(\gamma_i + \gamma_j) + \beta$ with $\beta \in \mathfrak{b}^*$, $\beta(X_0) = \beta(X_0^b) = 0$, or $\alpha = -\frac{1}{2}\gamma_i + \delta$ with $\delta \in \mathfrak{b}^*$ and $\delta(X_0) = \delta(X_0^b) = \frac{1}{2}$. In any case we have $\alpha(X_0^b) \geq 0$ concluding the proof of the lemma. \blacksquare

Finally we define subalgebras of \mathfrak{g} by

$$\mathfrak{n} := \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} \mathfrak{g}^\alpha, \quad \bar{\mathfrak{n}} := \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Delta^-} \mathfrak{g}^\alpha, \quad \mathfrak{n}_k^\pm := \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Delta_k^\pm} \mathfrak{g}^\alpha, \quad \mathfrak{n}_n^\pm := \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Delta_n^\pm} \mathfrak{g}^\alpha$$

and note that $\mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{n}_n^+ \rtimes \mathfrak{n}_k^+$ and $\bar{\mathfrak{n}} = \mathfrak{n}_n^- \rtimes \mathfrak{n}_k^-$ are semidirect products.

Analytic preliminaries

Let $G_{\mathbb{C}}$ be a simply connected Lie group with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbb{C}}$ and let G be the analytic subgroup of $G_{\mathbb{C}}$ corresponding to \mathfrak{g} . Let $H = G^\tau = \{X \in G : \tau(g) = g\}$. We write $A, K, N, \bar{N}, N_k^\pm, N_n^\pm$ for the analytic subgroups of G which correspond to $\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{g}(0), \mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{k}, \mathfrak{n}, \bar{\mathfrak{n}}, \mathfrak{n}_k^\pm, \mathfrak{n}_n^\pm$. Note that the groups $A, N, \bar{N}, N_k^\pm, N_n^\pm$ are all simply connected and that the corresponding exponential mappings $\exp_A: \mathfrak{a} \rightarrow A$, $\exp_N: \mathfrak{n} \rightarrow N$ etc. are all diffeomorphisms. Let $G(0) = Z_G(X_0) = \{g \in G : \text{Ad}(g).X_0 = X_0\}$. Then H and $G(0)$ are τ and θ invariant, $H = (H \cap K) \exp(\mathfrak{h} \cap \mathfrak{p})$ and $G(0) = (H \cap K) \exp(\mathfrak{q} \cap \mathfrak{p})$.

The Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} decomposes as $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} + \mathfrak{a} + \mathfrak{n}$ and the multiplication mapping

$$H \times A \times N \rightarrow G, \quad (h, a, n) \mapsto han$$

is an analytic diffeomorphism onto its open image HAN .

Note that $\bar{N} = N_n^- \rtimes N_k^-$. We have

$$(1.1) \quad \bar{N} \cap HAN = \exp(\Omega)N_k^- = N_k^- \exp(\Omega)$$

with $\Omega \cong H/H \cap K$ a real bounded symmetric domain in \mathfrak{n}_n^- .

II. The geometry of the real bounded symmetric domain Ω

We denote by κ the Cartan-Killing form on \mathfrak{g} and define an inner product on \mathfrak{g} by $\langle X, Y \rangle := -\kappa(X, \theta(Y))$ for $X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}$. Let $X_i \in \mathfrak{g}^{\gamma_i}$ be such that $H_i = [X_i, X_{-i}]$, with $X_{-i} = \tau(X_i)$. By [HiO196] and Herman's Convexity Theorem we have

$$(2.1) \quad \Omega = \{X \in \mathfrak{n}_n^- : \|\text{ad}(X + \tau(X))\| < 1\}$$

$$(2.2) \quad = \text{Ad}(H \cap K) \cdot \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^r t_j X_{-j} : -1 < t_j < 1, j = 1, \dots, r \right\},$$

where $\|\cdot\|$ denotes the operator norm corresponding to the scalar product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on \mathfrak{g} . Note that (2.1) implies that Ω is a convex balanced subset of \mathfrak{n}_n^- .

Remark II.1. Recall the definition of the maximal cone C_{rmax} in \mathfrak{a} . Then it is clear from the characterization (2.1) of Ω that $e^{\text{ad}X}.\Omega \subseteq \Omega$ for all $X \in C_{max}$. We also have a *minimal cone* in \mathfrak{a} defined by

$$C_{\min} := \text{cone}(\{[X, \tau(X)]: X \in \mathfrak{g}^\alpha, \alpha \in \Delta^+\}) = \overline{\sum_{\alpha \in \Delta_n^+} \mathbb{R}^+ H_\alpha}.$$

We note that $C_{\min} \subseteq C_{max}$ and in particular $H_i \in C_{max}$ for each $1 \leq i \leq r$. \blacksquare

The following concept turns out to be very useful for the investigation of the fine convex geometry of Ω .

Definition II.2. (Oshima-Sekiguchi) By a *signature* of Δ we understand a map $\varepsilon: \Delta \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$ with the following properties:

(S1) $\varepsilon(\alpha) = \varepsilon(-\alpha)$ for all $\alpha \in \Delta$.

(S2) $\varepsilon(\alpha + \beta) = \varepsilon(\alpha)\varepsilon(\beta)$ for all $\alpha, \beta \in \Delta$ with $\alpha + \beta \in \Delta$. \blacksquare

If $\varepsilon: \Delta \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$ is a signature then $\theta_\varepsilon: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ defined by $\theta_\varepsilon(X) = \varepsilon(\alpha)\theta(X)$, $X \in \mathfrak{g}^\alpha$ and $\theta_\varepsilon|_{\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{a})} = \theta|_{\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{a})}$ is an involution on \mathfrak{g} that commutes with θ (see [OS80, Def. 1.2]). As $\tau|_{\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{a})} = \theta|_{\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{a})}$ and $\tau|_{\mathfrak{g}^\alpha} = \pm\theta|_{\mathfrak{g}^\alpha}$, with $+$ if α is compact and -1 if α non-compact, it follows that θ_ε also commutes with τ .

Lemma II.3. *Keep the notation of Definition II.2.*

(i) *If ε is a signature of Δ , then the prescription*

$$\sigma_\varepsilon(X) := \begin{cases} X & \text{for } X \in \mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{a}), \\ \varepsilon(\alpha)X & \text{for } X \in \mathfrak{g}^\alpha, \alpha \in \Delta \end{cases}$$

defines an involutive automorphism of \mathfrak{g} . The involution σ_ε commutes with both τ and θ .

(ii) *Let $\Pi := \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$ be a basis of Δ . Then for any collection $(\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n) \in \{-1, 1\}^n$ one can define a signature ε of Δ by setting*

$$\varepsilon(\pm \sum_{i=1}^n n_i \alpha_i) := \prod_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_i^{n_i} \quad \text{for } \sum_{i=1}^n n_i \alpha_i \in \Delta.$$

(iii) *Let the notation be as in (ii). Then $\varepsilon \mapsto (\varepsilon(\alpha_i))_{i=1}^n$ defines a bijection between the set of signatures of Δ and $\{-1, 1\}^n$.*

Proof. (i) This follows by the Oshima-Sekiguchi construction because $\sigma_\varepsilon = \tau_\varepsilon \theta$. (ii) is clear and (iii) follows from (ii). \blacksquare

In the sequel we identify signatures with elements in $\{-1, 1\}^n$.

Lemma II.4. *Let ε be a signature of Δ . Then $\sigma_\varepsilon(\Omega) = \Omega$.*

Proof. Let $X \in \Omega$. By (2.2) there is a $k \in H \cap K$ and $Y = \sum_{j=1}^r t_j X_{-j}$, $-1 < t_j < 1$ such that $\text{Ad}(k).Y = X$. As σ_ε commutes with τ and θ it follows that $\sigma_\varepsilon(k) \in K \cap H$. Hence $\sigma_\varepsilon(X) = \text{Ad}(\sigma_\varepsilon(k)).\sum_{j=1}^r \varepsilon(\gamma_j) t_j X_{-j} \in \Omega$. \blacksquare

Recall that there is basis $\Pi \subseteq \Delta^+$ having the form

$$\Pi = \{\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$$

with α_0 long and non-compact and α_i , $1 \leq i \leq n$ compact. Thus every non-compact negative root $\gamma \in \Delta_n^-$ can be written as $\gamma = -\alpha_0 - \sum_{i=1}^n m_i \alpha_i$, $m_i \in \mathbb{N}_0$. By our choice of Δ_k^+ we have $\alpha_0 = \gamma_1$.

Theorem II.5. For each $\gamma \in \Delta_n^-$ let $p_\gamma: \mathfrak{n}_n^- \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^\gamma$ be the orthogonal projection. Then

$$X \in \Omega \Rightarrow p_\gamma(X) \in \Omega.$$

Proof. Let $X = \sum_{\gamma \in \Delta_n^-} X_\gamma \in \Omega$ with $X_\gamma \in \mathfrak{g}^\gamma$, $\gamma \in \Delta_n^-$. We have to show that $X_\gamma \in \Omega$. Recall that there are at most two root length in Δ (cf. Proposition I.1(i)).

Case 1: γ is a long root.

By Proposition I.1(ii) there exists an element $h \in N_{\text{Inn}(\mathfrak{h} \cap \mathfrak{k})}(\mathfrak{a})$ such that $h \cdot \gamma = -\alpha_0$. Thus we may assume that $\gamma = -\alpha_0 = -\gamma_1$. Let $H := \sum_{j=2}^r H_j$. By Remark II.1 we have

$$X_1 := \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} e^{t \text{ad } H} \cdot X \in \Omega.$$

If we express $X_1 = \sum_{\beta \in \Delta_n^-} X_\beta$ as a sum of root vectors, then Proposition I.2 implies that $\beta|_{\mathfrak{c}} = -\gamma_1$ or $\beta = \frac{1}{2}\gamma_1 - \delta$ with $\delta(X_0^b) = \frac{1}{2}$. Since $X_0^b \in C_{\max}$ (cf. Lemma I.4), we now get

$$X_\gamma = \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} e^{t \text{ad } X_0^b} \cdot X_1 \in \Omega.$$

Case 2: γ is a short root.

By Proposition I.1(iii) we may assume that $\gamma = \frac{1}{2}(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2)$ and by Lemma I.3 we may suppose $\alpha_0 = \gamma_1$, $\alpha_j = \frac{1}{2}(\gamma_{j+1} - \gamma_j)$ for $1 \leq j \leq r-1$. Write

$$X = \sum_{m_i \geq 0} X_{m_1, \dots, m_n},$$

where $X_{m_1, \dots, m_n} \in \mathfrak{g}^{-(\alpha_0 + \sum_{i=1}^n m_i \alpha_i)}$. Then we have to show that $X_{1,0,\dots,0} \in \Omega$. Set

$$X_{\text{ev}} := \sum_{m_n \equiv 0(2)} X_{m_1, \dots, m_n} \quad \text{and} \quad X_{\text{odd}} := \sum_{m_n \equiv 1(2)} X_{m_1, \dots, m_n}.$$

Then $X = X_{\text{ev}} + X_{\text{odd}}$ and we claim that $X_{\text{ev}}, X_{\text{odd}} \in \Omega$. Let $\varepsilon = (1, 1, 1, \dots, -1)$. Then by Lemma II.4 we get:

$$\sigma_\varepsilon(X) = \sigma_\varepsilon(X_{\text{ev}} + X_{\text{odd}}) = X_{\text{ev}} - X_{\text{odd}} \in \Omega.$$

Since Ω is balanced and convex we moreover have

$$X_{\text{ev}} = \frac{1}{2}(X + \sigma_\varepsilon(X)) \in \Omega \quad \text{and} \quad X_{\text{odd}} = \frac{1}{2}(X - \sigma_\varepsilon(X)) \in \Omega.$$

By repeating this argument we thus may assume that

$$X = \sum_{\substack{m_1 \equiv 1(2) \\ m_j \equiv 0(2), j > 1}} X_{m_1, \dots, m_n}.$$

Now we apply the contraction semigroup generated by $H = \sum_{j=3}^r H_j \in C_{\max}$ and obtain

$$X_1 := \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} e^{t \text{ad } H} \cdot X \in \Omega.$$

Thus we may assume $X = X_1$ and $X = \sum_{\beta \in \Delta_n^-} X_\beta$ with $-\beta = \gamma, \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \frac{1}{2}(\gamma_1 + \gamma_2) + \beta, -\frac{1}{2}\gamma_1 + \sigma_1, -\frac{1}{2}\gamma_2 + \sigma_2$ and $\beta, \sigma_1, \sigma_2 \in \mathfrak{b}^*$, $\sigma_1(X_0) = \sigma_2(X_0) = \frac{1}{2}$ (cf. Proposition I.2). Write $\beta = -\gamma_1 - \sum_{j=1}^m m_j \alpha_j$. The cases $\beta = \gamma_1$ and $\beta = \gamma_2$ are excluded, since we have $m_1 = 0$, resp. $m_1 = 2$, contradicting $m_1 \equiv 1(2)$. Applying to X the contraction semigroup generated by $X_0^b \in C_{\max}$ excludes the case $\beta = -\frac{1}{2}\gamma_1 + \sigma_1$ and $\beta = -\frac{1}{2}\gamma_2 + \sigma_2$. Let now $Y \in \mathfrak{b}$ such that $\delta_j(Y) > 0$, $1 \leq j \leq l$, and $\beta_j(Y) > 0$, $1 \leq j \leq m$ (cf. Lemma I.3). Then $\Delta_n^+ \subseteq \mathbb{N}_0[\Pi]$ shows that $Y \in C_{\max}$. But then

$$X_\gamma = \lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} e^{t \text{ad } Y} \cdot X \in \Omega,$$

completing the proof Case 2 and hence of the theorem. ■

Subdomains of rank one

For $\alpha \in \Delta^+$ we set

$$\mathfrak{g}(\alpha) := (\mathfrak{g}^\alpha + \mathfrak{g}^{-\alpha} + [\mathfrak{g}^\alpha, \mathfrak{g}^{-\alpha}])'$$

and $\tau(\alpha) := \tau|_{\mathfrak{g}(\alpha)}$. Then $(\mathfrak{g}(\alpha), \tau(\alpha))$ is a symmetric subalgebra of (\mathfrak{g}, τ) of real rank one, that is $\mathfrak{a}(\alpha) := \mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{g}(\alpha)$ is one dimensional. Further we set $\mathfrak{h}(\alpha) := \mathfrak{h} \cap \mathfrak{g}(\alpha)$ etc. We denote by $G(\alpha)$, $A(\alpha)$ etc. the analytic subgroups of G corresponding to $\mathfrak{g}(\alpha)$, $\mathfrak{a}(\alpha)$ etc. Let $H(\alpha) = G(\tau)^{\tau(\alpha)} = G(\alpha) \cap H$.

Assume that $\alpha \in \Delta_n^+$. Then $(\mathfrak{g}(\alpha), \tau(\alpha))$ is NCC and $\mathfrak{n}(\alpha) = \mathfrak{n}_n^+(\alpha) = \mathfrak{g}^\alpha$. Let $\Omega(\alpha) \cong H(\alpha)/(K(\alpha) \cap H(\alpha))$ be the real bounded symmetric domain in $\bar{\mathfrak{n}}(\alpha) = \mathfrak{n}_n^-(\alpha)$.

Lemma II.6. *Let $\alpha \in \Delta_n^+$ and $s_\alpha \in G(\alpha)$ be a representative of the one element big Weyl group $N_{G(\alpha)}(\mathfrak{a}(\alpha))/Z_{G(\alpha)}(\mathfrak{a}(\alpha))$ of $\mathfrak{g}(\alpha)$. Then*

$$(\bar{N}(\alpha) \cap H(\alpha)A(\alpha)N(\alpha)) \dot{\cup} (\bar{N}(\alpha) \cap H(\alpha)s_\alpha A(\alpha)N(\alpha))$$

is open and dense in $\bar{N}(\alpha)$.

Proof. This follows by Matsukis Theorem (cf. [Ma79, Theorem 3]), if we can show that $M(\alpha) := Z_{K(\alpha)}(\mathfrak{a}(\alpha)) \subseteq H(\alpha)$ because $s_\alpha M(\alpha) = M(\alpha)s_\alpha$. Let $F = \exp(i\mathfrak{a}(\alpha)) \cap G(\alpha)$. Then one has $M(\alpha) = FZ_{H(\alpha)_o}(\mathfrak{a}(\alpha))$ by [NÓ99, Lemma 5.7]. But if $f \in F$ then $\tau(\alpha)(f) = f^{-1} = f$, by the same lemma. Hence $F \subseteq H(\alpha)$, which implies that $M(\alpha) \subseteq H(\alpha)$. ■

Theorem II.7. *Let $\alpha \in \Delta_n^+$. Then $\Omega \cap \bar{\mathfrak{n}}(\alpha) = \Omega(\alpha)$.*

Proof. "⊇": This is clear.

"⊆": Note that $\Omega \cap \bar{\mathfrak{n}}(\alpha)$ is open and convex in $\bar{\mathfrak{n}}(\alpha)$. We have

$$(2.3) \quad \exp(\Omega) \cap (H(\alpha)s_\alpha A(\alpha)N(\alpha)) = \emptyset,$$

since $\exp(\Omega) \subseteq HAN$ and $HAN \cap Hs_\alpha AN = \emptyset$ by Matsukis Theorem. In view of (2.3), Lemma II.7 implies that there exists an open dense subset Ω_α of $\Omega \cap \bar{\mathfrak{n}}(\alpha)$ such that $\Omega_\alpha \subseteq \Omega(\alpha)$. Now the assertion follows from the fact that both $\Omega(\alpha)$ and $\Omega \cap \bar{\mathfrak{n}}(\alpha)$ are open and convex. ■

III. The product formula for the c -function

Recall the HAN -decomposition in G from Section I. For each $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_\mathbb{C}^*$ and g in G we set

$$a_H(g)^\lambda := \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } g \notin HAN, \\ e^{\lambda(\log a)} & \text{if } g = han \in HAN. \end{cases}$$

For a locally compact group G we write μ_G for a left Haar measure on G .

Definition III.1. (The c -functions) For each $\alpha \in \mathfrak{a}^*$ let $m_\alpha := \dim \mathfrak{g}^\alpha$ and put $\rho := \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} m_\alpha$. For $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_\mathbb{C}^*$ we now set

$$c(\lambda) := \int_{\bar{N}} a_H(\bar{n})^{-(\lambda+\rho)} d\mu_{\bar{N}}(\bar{n}) = \int_{\bar{N} \cap HAN} a_H(\bar{n})^{-(\lambda+\rho)} d\mu_{\bar{N}}(\bar{n}),$$

$$c_\Omega(\lambda) := \int_{N_n^-} a_H(\bar{n})^{-(\lambda+\rho)} d\mu_{N_n^-}(\bar{n}) = \int_\Omega a_H(\bar{n})^{-(\lambda+\rho)} d\mu_{N_n^-}(\bar{n}),$$

and

$$c_0(\lambda) := \int_{N_k^-} a_H(\bar{n})^{-(\lambda+\rho)} d\mu_{N_k^-}(\bar{n})$$

whenever the defining integral exist. We write \mathcal{E} , \mathcal{E}_Ω and \mathcal{E}_0 for the domain of definition of c , c_Ω and c_0 , respectively. We call c the *c-function of the non-compactly causal symmetric space G/H* and c_Ω the *c-function of the real bounded symmetric domain Ω* , while c_0 is the usual *c-function of the non-compact Riemannian symmetric space $G(0)/K(0)$* . ■

Remark III.2. (a) The choice of the particular analytic realization G/H of (\mathfrak{g}, τ) as a symmetric space is immaterial for the definition of the c -function.

(b) We have $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}_0 \cap \mathcal{E}_\Omega$ and for all $\lambda \in \mathcal{E}$ one has the splitting

$$c(\lambda) = c_0(\lambda)c_\Omega(\lambda)$$

(cf. [FHÓ94, Lemma 9.2]).

(c) The c -functions can be written as Laplace transforms (cf. [KNÓ98]). Let us explain this for the c -function c . For c_0 and c_Ω one has analogous statements.

There exists a positive Radon measure μ on \mathfrak{a} such that

$$(\forall \lambda \in \mathcal{E}) \quad c(\lambda) = \mathcal{L}_\mu(\lambda) := \int_{\mathfrak{a}} e^{\lambda(X)} d\mu(X),$$

i.e., c is the Laplace transform of μ . In particular we see that the domain of definition \mathcal{E} is a tube domain over a convex set, i.e., one has

$$\mathcal{E} = i\mathfrak{a}^* + \mathcal{E}_\mathbb{R}$$

with $\mathcal{E}_\mathbb{R} \subseteq \mathfrak{a}^*$ a convex subset of \mathfrak{a}^* . One knows that $\text{int } \mathcal{E}$ is non-empty. Moreover, the fact that c is a Laplace transform implies that c is holomorphic on $\text{int } \mathcal{E}$ and that c has no holomorphic extension to a connected open tube domain strictly larger than $\text{int } \mathcal{E}$. ■

Now we are going to prove the product formula for the c -function c_Ω . Our strategy is a modified Gindikin-Karpelevic approach as presented in [GaVa88, p. 175–177] or [Hel84, Ch. IV].

For a positive system $R \subseteq \Delta$ we set $\bar{\mathfrak{n}}_R := \bigoplus_{\alpha \in -(\Delta \cap R)} \mathfrak{g}^\alpha$ and write \bar{N}_R for the corresponding analytic subgroup of G . We define an auxiliary c -function by

$$c_R(\lambda) := \int_{\bar{N}_R} a_H(\bar{n})^{-(\lambda+\rho)} d\mu_{\bar{N}_R}(\bar{n})$$

whenever the integral exists.

For a single root $\alpha \in \Delta^+$ we set $\rho_\alpha := \frac{1}{2}m_\alpha\alpha$ and write

$$c_\alpha(\lambda) := \int_{\bar{N}(\alpha)} a_{H(\alpha)}(\bar{n})^{-(\lambda+\rho_\alpha)} d\mu_{\bar{N}(\alpha)}(\bar{n}).$$

We denote by $\mathcal{E}_\alpha \subseteq \mathfrak{a}_\mathbb{C}^*$ the domain of definition of c_α .

Proposition III.3. *For any positive system $R \subseteq \Delta$ we have that*

$$c_R(\lambda) = \prod_{\alpha \in (R \cap \Delta^+)} c_\alpha(\lambda)$$

and $c_R(\lambda)$ is defined if and only if $\lambda \in \bigcap_{\alpha \in (R \cap \Delta^+)} \mathcal{E}_\alpha$.

Proof. We proceed by induction on $|R \cap \Delta^+|$. If $R \cap \Delta^+ = \emptyset$, then the assertion is clear.

Assume that $R \cap \Delta^+ \neq \emptyset$. Then we find an element $\beta \in R \cap \Delta^+$ which is simple in R . Set $Q := s_\beta \cdot R$. Then $Q = R \setminus \{\beta\} \cup \{-\beta\}$ since Δ is reduced (cf. Proposition I.1(i)). Thus we have $(Q \cap \Delta^+) \dot{\cup} \{\beta\} = R \cap \Delta^+$. We now have to distinguish to cases.

Case 1: β is compact.

In this case, the HAN -decomposition of $G(\beta)$ coincides with the Iwasasa decomposition, i.e. $G(\beta) = K(\beta)A(\beta)N(\beta)$. Thus $c_R(\lambda) = c_\beta(\lambda)c_Q(\lambda)$ follow as in [GaVa88, Prop. 4.7.6].

Case 2: β is non-compact.

Set $\overline{N}_Q^k := \overline{N}_Q \cap N_k^-$, $\overline{N}_Q^n := \overline{N}_Q \cap N_n^-$ and note that $\overline{N}_Q = \overline{N}_Q^n \rtimes \overline{N}_Q^k$. Since $\overline{N}_R = \overline{N}(\beta)\overline{N}_Q$ we thus get

$$c_R(\lambda) = \int_{\overline{N}(\beta)} \int_{\overline{N}_Q^n} \int_{\overline{N}_Q^k} a_H(\overline{n}_\beta \overline{n}_n \overline{n}_k)^{-(\lambda+\rho)} d\mu_{\overline{N}(\beta)}(\overline{n}_\beta) d\mu_{\overline{N}_Q^n}(\overline{n}_n) d\mu_{\overline{N}_Q^k}(\overline{n}_k).$$

If $\overline{n}_\beta \overline{n}_n \overline{n}_k \in \overline{N} \cap HAN$, then (1.1) implies that $\overline{n}_\beta \overline{n}_n \in \exp(\Omega)$. Since \mathfrak{n}_n^- is abelian, Theorem II.5 therefore implies that $\overline{n}_\beta \in \exp(\Omega)$ and so $\overline{n}_\beta \in \exp(\Omega(\beta))$ by Theorem II.7. Therefore we can write $\overline{n}_\beta = h_\beta a_\beta n_\beta$ with $h_\beta \in H(\beta)$, $a_\beta \in A(\beta)$ and $n_\beta \in N(\beta)$. Now one can proceed as in [GaVa88, p. 175–177] and one gets $c_R(\lambda) = c_\beta(\lambda)c_Q(\lambda)$. \blacksquare

Remark III.4. If we choose $R = -\Delta_n^+ \cup \Delta_k^+$ (this is a positive system since Δ_n^+ is \mathcal{W} -invariant), then we have $c_0 = c_R$ and Proposition III.3 results in the Gindikin-Karpelevic product formula

$$c_0(\lambda) = \prod_{\alpha \in \Delta_k^+} c_\alpha(\lambda)$$

of the c -function c_0 on $G(0)/K(0)$ (cf. [GaVa88, Th. 4.7.5] or [Hel84, Ch. IV, Th. 6.13, 6.14]). \blacksquare

Theorem III.5. (The product formula for c_Ω) *For the c -function c_Ω of the real bounded symmetric domain Ω one has*

$$\mathcal{E}_\Omega = \{\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_\mathbb{C}^*: (\forall \alpha \in \Delta_n^+) \operatorname{Re} \lambda(H_\alpha) < 2 - m_\alpha\}$$

and

$$c_\Omega(\lambda) = \kappa \prod_{\alpha \in \Delta_n^+} B\left(\frac{m_\alpha}{2}, -\frac{\lambda(H_\alpha)}{2} - \frac{m_\alpha}{2} + 1\right)$$

where B denotes the Beta function and κ is a positive constant only depending on (\mathfrak{g}, τ) .

Proof. Set $\mathcal{E}'_\Omega := \bigcap_{\alpha \in \Delta_n^+} \mathcal{E}_\alpha$. We want to apply Proposition III.3 to $R = \Delta^+$. In view of Remark III.2(b) and Remark III.4, we thus get

$$(3.1) \quad (\forall \lambda \in \mathcal{E} \cap \mathcal{E}'_\Omega) \quad c_\Omega(\lambda) = \prod_{\alpha \in \Delta_n^+} c_\alpha(\lambda) = \prod_{\alpha \in \Delta_n^+} c_{\Omega(\alpha)}(\lambda).$$

By [FHÓ94, (10.3)] one has

$$(3.2) \quad c_{\Omega(\alpha)}(\lambda) = 2^{m_\alpha - 1} B\left(\frac{m_\alpha}{2}, -\frac{\lambda(H_\alpha)}{2} - \frac{m_\alpha}{2} + 1\right)$$

and

$$(3.3) \quad \mathcal{E}_{\Omega(\alpha)} = \{\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_\mathbb{C}^* : \operatorname{Re} \lambda(H_\alpha) < 2 - m_\alpha\}.$$

It follows from (3.3) that

$$(3.4) \quad \mathcal{E}'_\Omega = \{\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_\mathbb{C}^* : (\forall \alpha \in \Delta_n^+) \operatorname{Re} \lambda(H_\alpha) < 2 - m_\alpha\}.$$

Besides $\mathcal{E}_\Omega = \mathcal{E}'_\Omega$ all assertions of the theorem now follow from (3.1)-(3.4). Finally, $\mathcal{E}_\Omega = \mathcal{E}'_\Omega$ follows from the fact that all c -functions involved are Laplace transforms (cf. Remark III.2(c)). ■

The following simple fact that shows that we can split off all the non-compact roots to get the c_Ω -function before we come to the compact roots.

Lemma III.6. *Let R be a any positive system of roots in Δ . If $R \cap \Delta_n^+ \neq \emptyset$, then $R \cap \Delta_n^+$ contains a root that is simple in R .*

Proof. Let $\{\beta_0, \dots, \beta_n\}$ be the set of simple roots in R . Let $\gamma \in R \cap \Delta_n^+$. Then $\gamma = \sum_{i=0}^n n_i \beta_i$ with $n_i \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Thus $1 = \gamma(X_0) = \sum_{i=0}^n n_i \beta_i(X_0)$ which implies that $\beta_i(X_0) > 0$ for at least one β_i . But then $\beta_i \in \Delta_n^+$. ■

References

- [Fa95] Faraut, J., *Fonctions Sphériques sur un Espace Symétrique Ordonné de Type Cayley*, Contemp. Math. **191** (1995), 41–55.
- [FHÓ94] Faraut, J., J. Hilgert, and G. Ólafsson, *Spherical functions on ordered symmetric spaces*, Ann. Inst. Fourier **44** (1994), 927–966.
- [GaVa88] Gangolli, R., and V.S. Varadarajan, “Harmonic Analysis of Spherical Functions on Real Reductive Groups,” *Ergebniss der Mathematik* **101**, Springer, 1988.
- [Gr97] Graczyk, P., *Function c on an ordered symmetric space*, Bull. Sci. math. **121** (1997), 561–572.
- [Hel84] Helgason, S., “Groups and Geometric Analysis”, Acad. Press, London, 1984.
- [HiÓ196] Hilgert, J. and G. Ólafsson, “Causal Symmetric Spaces, Geometry and Harmonic Analysis,” Acad. Press, 1996.
- [Kr99] Krötz, B., *Formal dimension of semisimple symmetric spaces*, *Compositio math.*, ■ to appear.
- [KNÓ98] Krötz, B., K.-H. Neeb, and G. Ólafsson, *Spherical Functions on Mixed Symmetric Spaces*, submitted.
- [Ma79] Matsuki, T., *The orbits of affine symmetric spaces under the action of minimal parabolic subgroups*, J. Math. Soc. Jpn. **31**, 331–357 (1979).
- [NÓ99] Neumann, A., and G. Ólafsson, *Minimal and Maximal Semigroups Related to Causal Symmetric Spaces*, *Semigroup Forum*, to appear.
- [Ó197] Ólafsson, G., *Spherical Functions and Spherical Laplace Transform on Ordered Symmetric Spaces*, submitted.

- [OS80] Oshima, S., Sekiguchi, J, *Eigenspaces of Invariant Differential Operators on an Affine Symmetric Spaces*, Invent. math. **57** (1980), 1–81.

Bernhard Krötz
Mathematical Institute
TU Clausthal
Erzstraße 1
D-38678 Clausthal–Zellerfeld
Germany
e-mail: mabk@math.tu-clausthal.de

Gestur Ólafsson
Department of Mathematics
Louisiana State University
Baton Rouge
LA 70803
e-mail: olafsson@math.lsu.edu