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A single droplet generator was coupled with a rotating ball inlet matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization (MALDI) time of flight (TOF) mass spectrometer. Single droplets with 100 picoliter volume were ejected by a piezoelectric-actuated droplet generator and deposited onto a matrix-coated rotating stainless steel ball at atmospheric pressure. The single droplet deposit was transported to the vacuum side of the instrument where ionization was accomplished using a UV pulsed laser. Using this on-line interface, it was possible to obtain protonated molecule signal from as little as 10 fmol analyte. (J Am Soc Mass Spectrom 2004, 15, 1471–1477) © 2004 American Society for Mass Spectrometry
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automated identification of proteins [19]. In this system, a microchip immobilized enzyme reactor for enzymatic digestion of protein samples was used with a piezoelectric microdispenser for interfacing to a MALDI-TOF MS. A piezoelectric flow-through microdispenser for interfacing capillary liquid chromatography (LC) with MALDI-TOF MS was recently demonstrated for the identification of biomolecules [20].

The above applications use single droplet deposition on a MALDI target with later off-line analysis by MALDI. However, single droplet deposition also has the potential for on-line analysis, in which the deposition and interrogation by mass spectrometry occur sequentially in real-time. Recently, a rotating quartz wheel on-line MALDI interface was reported, in which the liquid is deposited using a narrow fused silica capillary in contact with the wheel [21]. The system is compatible with crystalline matrices because clogging at the capillary exit is prevented through the physical contact with the rotating wheel. A disadvantage of the system is the limited operation time: the wheel must be cleaned after it has made a 360° cycle in about 3 min. In a related work, a rotating ball inlet interface, capable of continuously introducing solutions directly into a TOF MS system, was demonstrated for on-line MALDI [22]. This interface is compatible with any crystalline MALDI matrix and doesn’t suffer from sample memory effects. The major drawbacks of this system are the relatively high dead volumes and the requirement for direct contact between the analyte solution and the ball.

We have developed a new version of the rotating ball inlet that addresses the design issues of the previous version [23]. The system is constructed so that more than half of the ball interface is exposed to atmospheric pressure and can be used for either direct contact or non-contact sample deposition. In this article, we describe a single-droplet non-contact deposition method for the rotating ball inlet. Matrix spots were formed on the ball using a 50 μm capillary. Individual picoliter volume droplets of analyte were deposited on top of the matrix spots using a piezoelectric single droplet generator. The sample deposit was rotated into the vacuum side of the instrument and ions were formed by UV MALDI and analyzed by TOF MS. Results comparing on-line and off-line single droplet deposition MALDI analysis are described below.

**Experimental**

The rotating ball inlet TOF mass spectrometer is a modification of the instrument that was described previously [22, 23]. The mass spectrometer is a 1 m linear time-of-flight (TOF) instrument with a specially constructed rotating ball interface, shown in Figure 1. The interface consists of a modified ISO-100 stainless steel flange mounted on an 8-inch conflat six-way cross. The flange is electrically isolated using a 25 mm thick Delrin (acetyl resin) insulator flange and is held at high voltage during operation. The flange is machined to accept the rotating ball, which allows samples to be introduced on the atmospheric pressure side of the flange. A type 316 stainless steel ball 0.75 inch in diameter (Small Parts, Miami Lakes, FL) was press fit with a 0.25 inch shaft and attached to a multi-speed transmission gearbox scavenged from a syringe pump (Model 901, Harvard Apparatus, Holliston, MA). The ball and the shaft are clamped onto the interface flange by two stainless steel holders with sintered bronze bearings. The ion source chamber is constructed so that the rotating ball forms a vacuum seal on the interface flange with a Teflon gasket between the ball and flange. The ion source chamber is evacuated with a 1500 L/s diffusion pump and maintains a pressure lower than 5 × 10⁻⁶ torr during operation.

For droplet deposition, the rotation rate of the ball was 0.12 rpm. Prior to droplet deposition, a matrix spot was deposited on the ball using a 50 μm i.d. fused silica capillary held horizontally using an x-y-z translation
stage (Model LP-1, Newport, Irvine, CA). The analyte was delivered from a 100 μM solution at a flow rate of 1.5 μL/min using a syringe pump (Model 55-2222, Harvard Apparatus). Matrix spots were created by intermittently contacting the ball with the matrix capillary. Sample droplets were ejected onto the matrix spots as they rotated below the droplet generator (model 201, Uni-phonon Systems, Brooklyn, NY), which was held in a second x-y-z translation stage. The droplet generator was pointed downward toward the ball at a 10° angle from vertical and immediately adjacent to the flange. The distance between the ball and droplet generator tip was 2.5 mm. The analyte solution was introduced into the droplet generator with a syringe pump (Model 201, Uni-phonon Systems, Brooklyn, NY), which was held in a second x-y-z translation stage. The droplet generator was pointed downward toward the ball at a 10° angle from vertical and immediately adjacent to the flange. The distance between the ball and droplet generator tip was 2.5 mm. The analyte solution was introduced into the droplet generator with a syringe pump (Model 55-2275, Harvard Apparatus). Visualization of sample droplet was obtained using an external video camera and macro zoom lens.

Samples deposited on the ball were rotated into the vacuum of the mass spectrometer where they were ionized by a 10 Hz pulsed 355 nm Nd:YAG laser (Continuum Minilite, Santa Clara, CA). The laser was focused to a spot size of 100 μm × 300 μm, as determined using laser burn paper and a measuring magnifier. A video camera and macroscopic lens were used to monitor the laser alignment on the sample. A solvent-saturated felt pad was held against the surface of the ball on the atmospheric side to clean the surface after it passed out of the ionization chamber.

Ions were accelerated into the mass spectrometer by a 16 to 20 kV single-stage extraction and detected with an 18 mm bipolar time of flight detector (Burle, Sturbridge, MA). Data were recorded with a 500 MHz digital oscilloscope (LT372, LeCroy, Chestnut Ridge, NY). Mass spectra were averaged on the oscilloscope and transferred to a computer using a general-purpose interface bus (GPIOB) connection controlled by in-house software (Lab View, National Instruments, Austin, TX). All mass spectra shown below resulted from an average of five laser shots.

For comparison with the on-line rotating ball results, single droplet deposits were interrogated off-line using a commercial MALDI TOF time-of-flight mass spectrometer (Omniflex, Bruker, Billerica, MA). The off-line instrument is equipped with a 337 nm nitrogen laser and can be operated in both linear and reflectron modes. For the off-line results shown below, the laser was operated at a repetition rate of 2 Hz and the instrument was operated in linear mode. The mass spectra were a sum of 20 laser shots. For off-line deposition, the matrix solution was placed on the target by depositing 0.27 μL of a 50 mM matrix solution using a 50 μm i.d. capillary and allowing it to air dry. Following this, a single droplet of analyte (at 100 μM concentration) was deposited onto the matrix-coated sample target. The distance between the glass tip and MALDI target was 2.5 mm.

Single droplet sample preparations were collected on silicon wafers and analyzed by scanning electron microscopy (SEM). Prior to SEM, the samples were degassed and sputter coated with a 20 nm gold/palladium (60/40) layer using a sputter coater (S-150B, Edwards, Crawley, UK). The samples were mounted on aluminum supports with conductive tape and examined at 15 kV with a scanning electron microscope (S-260, Cambridge Instruments, Cambridge, UK) located in the LSU Socolofsky Microscopy Center.

The analytes angiotensin I (A-9650, Sigma, St. Louis, MO), bradykinin (B-3259, Sigma), substance P (S-6883, Sigma), bovine insulin (I-5500, Sigma), cytochrome c (C-2506, Sigma), and horse myoglobin (M-1882, Sigma) were used as obtained from the manufacturer. The matrixes used in this work were α-cyano-4-hydroxycinnamic acid (CHCA, Sigma), 3,5-dimethoxy-4-hydroxycinnamic acid (sinapic acid, Fluka, Ronkonkoma, NY), 2-(4-hydroxyphenylazo)-benzonic acid (HABA, Aldrich, Milwaukee, WI), 2,5-dihydroxy benzoic acid (DHB, Aldrich) and 3,4-dihydroxy-cinnamic acid (caffeic acid, Aldrich). The matrix solutions were prepared in methanol (200 Proof, AAPER Alcohol, Shelbyville, KY) with 1% trifluoroacetic acid (TFA, J. T. Baker, Pittsburgh, NJ) at concentrations of 50 mM. The analyte solutions were made by dissolving the analyte in distilled water (house supply) with 1% TFA at a concentration of 100 μM. A solution of 10% glycerol (99.7%, Fisher, Fairlawn, NJ) in distilled water was prepared to measure the droplet size.

**Results and Discussion**

Droplet size and deposition precision experiments were performed using a solution of 10% glycerol in water. This mixture was necessary to limit the evaporation rate of the solution at atmospheric pressure and room temperature during the measurements. The droplets were collected on a hydrophobic Parafilm surface (Pechiney Plastic Packaging, Chicago, IL), which resulted in nearly spherical droplets. The distance between the droplet generator glass tip and the surface was 2.5 mm, the drive voltage was 70 V, and the flow rate was 800 nL/min. In order to measure the droplet size, a series of small concentric circles were printed on white paper using a printer and placed under the Parafilm to be used as an internal ruler in the experiments. Sizes were determined both for single ejected droplets as well as for twenty droplets ejected onto a single spot and coalesced into a larger droplet. From these experiments it was found that, using the former method, the diameter of the droplets was 57 ± 6 μm (15 measurements). This corresponds to a volume of 97 ± 3 pL. With the latter method, the diameter of the droplet is 53 ± 2 μm (10 measurements) and the corresponding volume is 78 ± 9 pL.

The droplet placement accuracy was measured by depositing 100 single droplets onto the printer paper without parafilm at a deposition rate of 1 Hz. Here, the solution was 100 μM angiotensin I in distilled water with 1% TFA at 1.5 μL/min and the droplet generator voltage was 80 V. The droplet generator was sur-
rounded by vertical shields to minimize the effects of air currents in the laboratory. It was found that 94% ± 2% (15 sets of measurements) of the droplets produced fell within a circular spot 400 μm in diameter.

Scanning electron microscopy was used to measure the size of samples deposited on flat targets. Figure 2 contains scanning electron micrographs of matrix and analyte sample spots deposited on a silicon wafer under conditions similar to those used to obtain mass spectra (both off-line and on-line). Figure 2a shows the matrix spot after solvent evaporation, which was obtained by depositing ~0.27 μL from a 50 mM matrix solution onto the silicon surface using 50 μm i.d. capillary. The size of the matrix spot is approximately 2.5 mm in diameter. After the matrix spot had dried, an 80 μL droplet of a 100 μM angiotensin I solution (8 fmol) was deposited on the target. The image in Figure 2b shows the analyte spot at higher magnification. The deposit is uniform with the exception of a single area on the circumference of the droplet that is believed to result from uneven solvent evaporation. The size of dried analyte spots obtained in this way was between 200 and 250 μm diameter.

Off-line droplet deposition onto MALDI targets was carried out on the Bruker Omniflex mass spectrometer. Figure 3 shows a mass spectrum obtained from a single droplet deposition of the peptide bradykinin (Mr = 1060.2), which was obtained using a 100 μM solution to deposit 8 fmol analyte onto a target pre-coated with CHCA matrix. The most intense peak in the mass spectrum is protonated bradykinin [M + H]^+. The mass resolution of protonated bradykinin is about 2000 (m/Δm, fwhm). In addition, peaks associated with sodium [M + Na]^+ and potassium [M + K]^+ cation adducts are also observed. Peaks in the low-mass region correspond to sodium and potassium cations, matrix and matrix fragment ions. When using the 1 μL dried droplet deposition of bradykinin, the detection limit for this instrument is approximately 50 fmol.

Several different standard MALDI matrixes, including sinapinic acid, DHB, HABA, and CHCA, were tested for the ionization of larger biomolecules. The CHCA matrix gave the best performance both in terms of ion signal as well as mass resolution. A mass spectrum obtained from a single droplet deposition of 8 fmol bovine insulin (Mr = 5733.5) is shown in Figure 4a. The [M + H]^+ peak has the highest signal above m/z = 1000 at a mass resolution of 1500 fwhm. Higher mass proteins such as cytochrome c (Mr = 12,384) and myoglobin (Mr = 16,964) were also analyzed by the single droplet deposition method. Here, the analyte cytochrome c was dissolved in a 2:1 (vol/vol) mixture of 1.4% water aqueous trifluoroacetic acid and, acetonitrile and at a concentration of 100 μM. The mass spectrum of 8 fmol cytochrome c from single droplet deposition on a MALDI target precoated with CHCA matrix is shown in Figure 4b. In this mass spectrum, the peak labeled [M + H]^+ corresponds to protonated cytochrome c and the peak labeled [2M + H]^2+ corresponds to doubly protonated cytochrome c. The mass resolution is about 50 (m/Δm, fwhm); the other matrixes gave a resolution of about 30.

For on-line analysis, single droplets were deposited onto the rotating ball interface at atmospheric pressure.
and then rotated into the vacuum side for desorption and ionization. Before the deposition, the tip of droplet generator and the capillary was aligned to the center line of the stainless steel ball by adjusting the translation stages so that the sample spot could be irradiated without changing the laser alignment. The matrix solution was deposited onto the ball by intermittently moving the translation stage-mounted capillary. Figure 5a depicts the mass spectrum of angiotensin I obtained in this manner. The spectrum is characterized by large protonated molecule peaks and less intense alkali metal adduct peaks. The mass resolution is 400.

A mass spectrum of a single droplet containing 8 fmol of bovine insulin deposited on the ball coated with CHCA is shown in Figure 5b. The protonated insulin peak $[M+H]^+$ and the doubly protonated $[M+2H]^{2+}$ are observed. The mass resolution of protonated bovine insulin is 40, lower than that obtained in the off-line single droplet deposition spectrum (Figure 4a). Figure 5c contains a mass spectrum obtained from an 8 fmol single droplet deposit of cytochrome c on the rotating ball interface coated with CHCA. The doubly protonated peak $[M+2H]^{2+}$ and singly protonated $[M+H]^+$ cytochrome c peaks were observed at a mass resolution of 40. The lower resolution for the ball deposition (Figure 5) as compared to the off-line single droplet deposit (Figures 3 and 4) may result from field inhomogeneities in the ion extraction region [22]. Desorption from the round surface of the ball may have an adverse effect on the spatial focusing of the ions and result in a broad distribution ion flight times in the accelerated packet. Furthermore, the rotating ball instrument does not utilize delayed ion extraction, which is used in the off-line instrument to improve the mass resolution [24]. Another possible reason for the lower mass resolution and signal is that when the sample spot passes through the gasket, the mechanical force between the gasket and the ball changes the surface morphology or adds contaminants to the deposit.

Mass resolution may be improved with delayed ion extraction, multiple stages of ion acceleration, or a
reflectron flight tube. The curvature of the ball surface may ultimately limit the obtainable mass resolution, although this may be mitigated through electrostatic lensing and by limiting the exposed portion of the ball surface. Ultimately, the ideal configuration for the rotating ball MALDI interface may be orthogonal extraction [25]. In this case, the ion formation and acceleration regions are decoupled and thus the curved ball surface will have little effect on the mass resolution.

The concentrations used in this study were 100 μM, one to two orders of magnitude greater than desirable for common MALDI samples. Detection limit performance can be improved with better droplet deposition methods. Deposition of small droplets with high organic solvent content onto dry matrix surface may not result in good mixing and co-crystallization of matrix and analyte prior to solvent evaporation and some of the analyte may deposit on the top of the matrix. Consequently, when the sample passes under the gasket, sample loss may occur because of the mechanical force. A modified ball surface that promotes co-crystallization and limits mechanical sample loss may improve of rotating ball interface detection limit and mass range. Separate single drop deposition of both matrix and analyte on the rotating ball is another way to improve the mixing and, at the same time, minimize overall reagent consumption. The concentration detection limit can also be improved by on-target sample concentration, for example using patterned hydrophobic surfaces [2]. Decreasing the sample spots from 250 to 25 μm in diameter could improve the concentration detection by a factor of 100 if the desorption laser can be focused onto the smaller target spot.

Conclusions

We have demonstrated an on-line rotating ball inlet coupled with a piezoelectric-actuated single droplet generator, which provides a means for picoliter volume deposition and femt mole sample detection with MALDI-TOF MS. The unique properties of the new rotating ball interface are the easily accessible atmospheric pressure sample deposition and vacuum detection regions. Single droplets of 100 pL volume were deposited on the rotating ball with a placement precision of 400 μm. Detection of peptides and small proteins in low fmol quantities was readily accomplished. Future work is aimed at developing single droplet deposition with microfluidic devices and further improvements in detection limit and mass resolution. Implementation of on-line single droplet deposition for MALDI can be readily adapted to microfluidic devices using either piezoelectric or pneumatic ejection of droplets. For multiplexing, these droplets can be ejected into adjacent tracks on the rotating ball or cylinder. Stepper motor control of the rotation axis will improve the speed of analysis and allow the rapid and precise placement of the spots directly under the desorption laser.
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