

9-13-2017

AR(1) Sequence with Random Coefficients: Regenerative Properties and its Application

Krishna B. Athreya

Iowa State University, kba@iastate.edu

Koushik Saha

Indian Institute of Technology, Bombay, ksaha@math.iitb.ac.in

Radhendushka Srivastava

Indian Institute of Technology, Bombay, radhe@math.iitb.ac.in

Follow this and additional works at: <https://repository.lsu.edu/cosa>



Part of the [Analysis Commons](#), and the [Other Mathematics Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Athreya, Krishna B.; Saha, Koushik; and Srivastava, Radhendushka (2017) "AR(1) Sequence with Random Coefficients: Regenerative Properties and its Application," *Communications on Stochastic Analysis*: Vol. 11: No. 3, Article 7.

DOI: 10.31390/cosa.11.3.07

Available at: <https://repository.lsu.edu/cosa/vol11/iss3/7>

AR(1) SEQUENCE WITH RANDOM COEFFICIENTS: REGENERATIVE PROPERTIES AND ITS APPLICATION

KRISHNA B. ATHREYA, KOUSHIK SAHA, AND RADHENDUSHKA SRIVASTAVA*

ABSTRACT. Let $\{X_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ be a sequence of real valued random variables such that $X_n = \rho_n X_{n-1} + \epsilon_n$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, where $\{(\rho_n, \epsilon_n)\}_{n \geq 1}$ are i.i.d. and independent of initial value (possibly random) X_0 . In this paper it is shown that, under some natural conditions on the distribution of (ρ_1, ϵ_1) , the sequence $\{X_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ is regenerative in the sense that it could be broken up into i.i.d. components. Further, when ρ_1 and ϵ_1 are independent, we construct a non-parametric strongly consistent estimator of the characteristic functions of ρ_1 and ϵ_1 .

1. Introduction

Let $\{X_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ be a sequence of real valued random variables satisfying the stochastic recurrence equation

$$X_n = \rho_n X_{n-1} + \epsilon_n, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots, \quad (1.1)$$

where $\{(\rho_n, \epsilon_n)\}_{n \geq 1}$ are i.i.d. \mathbb{R}^2 -valued random vectors and independent of the initial random variable X_0 . If $E(|X_0|) < \infty$ and $E(\epsilon_n) = 0$, for each $n \geq 1$ and then $E(X_n | X_0, \dots, X_{n-1}) = E(\rho_n)X_{n-1}$. For this reason the sequence $\{X_n\}$ satisfying (1.1) is often referred to in the time series literature as Random Coefficient Auto Regressive sequence of order one (RCAR(1)) (see [1, 6, 7, 9]). [5] studied a parametric model for (ρ_1, ϵ_1) under the assumption that ρ_1 and ϵ_1 are independent and provided a consistent estimator of the model parameters. In the current paper, we find conditions on the distribution function of (ρ_1, ϵ_1) to ensure that $\{X_n\}$ is a Harris recurrent Markov chain and hence regenerative, i.e., it can be broken up into i.i.d. excursions. We exploit the regenerative property of $\{X_n\}$ to construct a non-parametric consistent estimator of the characteristic functions of ρ_1 and ϵ_1 under the independence assumption of ρ_1 and ϵ_1 .

A sequence $\{X_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ is said to be delayed *regenerative* if there exists a sequence $\{T_j\}_{j \geq 1}$ of positive integer valued random variables such that $\mathbb{P}(0 < T_{j+1} - T_j < \infty) = 1$ for all $j \geq 1$ and the random cycles $\eta_j \equiv (\{X_i : T_j \leq i < T_{j+1}\}, T_{j+1} - T_j)$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots$ are i.i.d. and independent of $\eta_0 \equiv (\{X_i : 0 \leq i < T_1\}, T_1)$. If

Received 2017-9-13; Communicated by Hui-Hsiung Kuo.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 60J10; Secondary 62M10.

Key words and phrases. Auto-regressive sequence, transition function, Harris recurrence, regeneration.

* This research of Radhendushka Srivastava is partially supported by INSPIRE research grant of DST, Govt. of India and a seed grant from IIT Bombay.

$\{\eta_j\}_{j \geq 0}$ are i.i.d. then $\{X_n\}$ is called non-delayed regenerative sequence. If, in addition, $E(T_2 - T_1) < \infty$ then $\{X_n\}$ is called regenerative and positive recurrent.

If $\{X_n\}$ is a Markov chain with a general state space (S, \mathcal{S}) , that is Harris irreducible and recurrent (see Definition 3.1) then it can be shown that $\{X_n\}$ is regenerative ([4]). Further if $\{X_n\}$ admits a stationary probability measure (necessarily unique because of irreducibility), then $\{X_n\}$ is positive recurrent regenerative as well.

In Sections 2 and 3, under some condition on the distribution of (ρ_1, ϵ_1) we show that the sequence $\{X_n\}$ satisfying (1.1) is positive recurrent and regenerative by establishing that $\{X_n\}$ admits a stationary distribution and is Harris irreducible, respectively. In Section 4, we show that the distribution of (ρ_1, ϵ_1) can be determined by transition probability function of $\{X_n\}$. We subsequently provide a consistent estimator of transition probability function of $\{X_n\}$ by using the regenerative property. Finally, if ρ_1 and ϵ_1 are independent then we provide a non-parametric consistent estimator of characteristic function of ρ_1 and ϵ_1 , based on $\{X_n\}_{n \geq 0}$.

2. Limit Distribution of X_n

We begin with existence of the limiting distribution of X_n in (1.1).

Theorem 2.1. *Let $-\infty \leq \mathbb{E}(\log |\rho_1|) < 0$ and $\mathbb{E}(\log |\epsilon_1|)^+ < \infty$. Then $\{X_n\}$ in (1.1) converges in distribution to X_∞ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ where*

$$X_\infty \equiv \epsilon_1 + \rho_1 \epsilon_2 + \rho_1 \rho_2 \epsilon_3 + \dots + \rho_1 \dots \rho_n \epsilon_{n+1} + \dots \quad (2.1)$$

The infinite series on the right hand side of (2.1) is absolutely convergent with probability 1.

The above result can be deduced from [6]. A proof of Theorem 2.1 is given in the appendix. Theorem 2.1 does not indicate nature of limiting distribution of X_n . We show that the distribution of X_∞ is non-atomic when the distribution of (ρ_1, ϵ_1) is non-degenerate.

Theorem 2.2. *Let $-\infty \leq \mathbb{E}(\log |\rho_1|) < 0$, $\mathbb{E}(\log |\epsilon_1|)^+ < \infty$, $\mathbb{P}(\rho_1 = 0) = 0$ and (ρ_1, ϵ_1) has a non-degenerate distribution. Then X_∞ has a non atomic distribution, i.e., $\mathbb{P}(X_\infty = a) = 0$ for all $a \in \mathbb{R}$.*

Proof. Since (ρ_1, ϵ_1) has a nondegenerate distribution, the random variable X_∞ as in (2.1) does not have a degenerate distribution and hence $\sup\{\mathbb{P}(X_\infty = a) : a \in \mathbb{R}\} \equiv p < 1$. Let a_0 be such that $\mathbb{P}(X_\infty = a_0) = p$. Then by Doob's martingale convergence theorem (see page 211 of [3]), we have

$$\mathbb{E}(\mathbb{I}(X_\infty = a_0) | \mathcal{F}_n) \rightarrow \mathbb{E}(\mathbb{I}(X_\infty = a_0) | \mathcal{F}_\infty) \quad \text{w. p. 1} \quad (2.2)$$

where, $\mathcal{F}_n \equiv \sigma\{(\rho_i, \epsilon_i) : i = 1, 2, \dots, n, X_0\}$, the σ -algebra generated by (ρ_i, ϵ_i) for $i = 1, \dots, n$ and X_0 , and $\mathcal{F}_\infty \equiv \sigma\{(\rho_i, \epsilon_i) : i \in \mathbb{N}, X_0\}$. Since X_∞ is measurable

with respect to \mathcal{F}_∞ , $\mathbb{E}(\mathbb{I}(X_\infty = a_0)|\mathcal{F}_\infty) = \mathbb{I}(X_\infty = a_0)$. Next,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}(\mathbb{I}(X_\infty = a_0)|\mathcal{F}_n) \\ &= \mathbb{P}(\epsilon_1 + \rho_1\epsilon_2 + \dots + \rho_1 \dots \rho_{n-1}\epsilon_n + \rho_1 \dots \rho_n(\epsilon_{n+1} + \rho_{n+1}\epsilon_{n+2} + \dots) = a_0|\mathcal{F}_n) \\ &= \mathbb{P}\left(Y_n = \frac{a_0 - \epsilon_1 - \rho_1\epsilon_2 - \dots - \rho_1\rho_2 \dots \rho_{n-1}\epsilon_n}{\rho_1\rho_2 \dots \rho_n}|\mathcal{F}_n\right) \end{aligned}$$

where $Y_n = \epsilon_{n+1} + \rho_{n+1}\epsilon_{n+2} + \rho_{n+1}\rho_{n+2}\epsilon_{n+3} + \dots$ and last equality holds since $\mathbb{P}(\rho_1 = 0) = 0$, $|\rho_1 \dots \rho_n| \neq 0 \forall n \geq 1$. But Y_n and X_∞ have the same distribution, and Y_n is independent of \mathcal{F}_n and $\frac{a_0 - \epsilon_1 - \rho_1\epsilon_2 - \dots - \rho_1\rho_2 \dots \rho_{n-1}\epsilon_n}{\rho_1\rho_2 \dots \rho_n}$ is \mathcal{F}_n measurable. So

$$\mathbb{E}(\mathbb{I}(X_\infty = a_0)|\mathcal{F}_n) \leq p < 1 \text{ for all } n \geq 1.$$

From (2.2), it follows that $\mathbb{I}(X_\infty = a_0) \leq p < 1$ with probability 1. Since $\mathbb{I}(X_\infty = a_0)$ is a $\{0, 1\}$ valued random variable, $\mathbb{I}(X_\infty = a_0) = 0$ with probability 1 and hence $\mathbb{P}(X_\infty = a_0) = 0$. Hence, X_∞ has a non atomic distribution. \square

A natural question is under what additional conditions on the distribution of (ρ_1, ϵ_1) , the sequence $\{X_n\}$ is regenerative. When a Markov sequence is Harris recurrent and σ -algebra is countably generated then it can be established that the sequence exhibits regenerative property (see [4]). We now explore the Harris recurrence property of $\{X_n\}$.

3. Harris Recurrence of X_n

Definition 3.1. A Markov chain $\{X_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ is called *Harris or ϕ -recurrent* if there exists a σ -finite measure ϕ on the state space (S, \mathcal{S}) such that

$$\phi(A) > 0 \implies \mathbb{P}(\tau_A < \infty | X_0 = x) = 1 \quad \forall x \in S, \tag{3.1}$$

where $\tau_A = \min\{n : n \geq 1, X_n \in A\}$.

Note that any irreducible and recurrent Markov chain with a countable state space is Harris recurrent as one can take ϕ to be the δ measure at some $i_0 \in S$. A definition related to Definition 1 is given by [4].

Definition 3.2. A Markov chain $\{X_n\}$ is called (A, ϵ, ϕ, n_0) *recurrent* if there exists a set $A \in \mathcal{S}$, a probability measure ϕ on S , a real number $\epsilon > 0$, and an integer $n_0 > 0$ such that

$$\mathbb{P}(\tau_A < \infty | X_0 = x) \equiv \mathbb{P}_x(\tau_A < \infty) = \mathbb{P}_x(X_n \in A \text{ for some } n \geq 1) = 1 \quad \forall x \in S \tag{3.2}$$

and

$$\mathbb{P}(X_{n_0} \in E | X_0 = x) \equiv \mathbb{P}_x(X_{n_0} \in E) = \mathbb{P}^{(n_0)}(x, E) \geq \epsilon\phi(E) \quad \forall x \in A, \forall E \subset S. \tag{3.3}$$

It can be shown by using the C-set lemma of Doob (see [8]) that when \mathcal{S} is countably generated, then Definition 3.1 implies Definition 3.2. That Definition 3.2 implies Definition 3.1 is not difficult to prove.

The following theorem provides a sufficient condition for $\{X_n\}$ in (1.1) to be a Harris recurrent Markov chain.

Theorem 3.3. *Let $-\infty \leq \mathbb{E}(\log |\rho_1|) < 0$, $\mathbb{E}(\log |\epsilon_1|)^+ < \infty$, $\mathbb{P}(\rho_1 = 0) = 0$ and $-\infty < c < d < \infty$ be such that $\mathbb{P}(c \leq X_\infty \leq d) > 0$. Then for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$,*

$$\mathbb{P}(X_n \in [c, d] \text{ for some } n \geq 1 | X_0 = x) = 1. \quad (3.4)$$

In addition, let there exists a finite measure ϕ on \mathcal{R} such that $\phi([c, d]) > 0$ and $0 < \alpha < 1$ such that

$$\inf_{c \leq x \leq d} \mathbb{P}(\rho_1 x + \epsilon_1 \in \cdot) \geq \alpha \phi(\cdot). \quad (3.5)$$

Then the Markov chain $\{X_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ as described in (1.1) is Harris recurrent and hence regenerative.

Note that since X_n converges in distribution to X_∞ which is a proper real valued random variable, $\{X_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ is positive recurrent as well. Thus under the hypothesis of Theorem 3.3, $\{X_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ is regenerative and positive recurrent. The proof of Theorem 3.3 is based on the following results.

Lemma 3.4. *Let $-\infty \leq \mathbb{E}(\log |\rho_1|) < 0$, $\mathbb{E}(\log |\epsilon_1|)^+ < \infty$, $\mathbb{P}(\rho_1 = 0) = 0$ and $-\infty < c < d < \infty$ be such that $\mathbb{P}(c \leq X_\infty \leq d) > 0$. Then there exist $\theta > 0$ and for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$, an integer $n_x \geq 1$ such that*

$$\mathbb{P}(X_n \in [c, d] | X_0 = x) \geq \theta \text{ for all } n \geq n_x. \quad (3.6)$$

Proof. Iterating (1.1) yields,

$$X_n = \rho_n \rho_{n-1} \cdots \rho_1 X_0 + \rho_n \rho_{n-1} \cdots \rho_2 \epsilon_1 + \cdots + \rho_n \epsilon_{n-1} + \epsilon_n \equiv Z_n X_0 + Y_n, \text{ say.}$$

So, if $X_0 = x$ w. p. 1, then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}_x(X_n \in [c, d]) &= \mathbb{P}(Y_n + Z_n x \in [c, d]) \\ &\geq \mathbb{P}(Y_n \in [c + \eta, d - \eta], |Z_n x| < \eta) \\ &\geq \mathbb{P}(Y_n \in [c + \eta, d - \eta]) - \mathbb{P}(|Z_n x| \geq \eta), \end{aligned}$$

where $\eta > 0$ such that $c + \eta < d - \eta$. Now, define

$$Y'_n \equiv \epsilon_1 + \rho_1 \epsilon_2 + \rho_1 \rho_2 \epsilon_3 + \cdots + \rho_1 \cdots \rho_{n-1} \epsilon_n. \quad (3.7)$$

Note that the distribution of Y_n and Y'_n are same and from Theorem 2.1, $Y'_n \rightarrow X_\infty$ with probability 1. Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}_x(X_n \in [c, d]) &\geq \mathbb{P}(Y'_n \in [c + \eta, d - \eta]) - \mathbb{P}(|Z_n x| \geq \eta) \\ &\geq \mathbb{P}(Y'_n \in [c + \eta, d - \eta], |Y'_n - X_\infty| \leq \eta') - \mathbb{P}(|Z_n x| \geq \eta) \\ &\geq \mathbb{P}(X_\infty \in [c + \eta + \eta', d - \eta - \eta']) - \mathbb{P}(|Y'_n - X_\infty| \geq \eta') - \mathbb{P}(|Z_n x| \geq \eta), \end{aligned}$$

where $\eta' > 0$ such that $c + \eta + \eta' < d - \eta - \eta'$.

Now choose n_1 large such that $\mathbb{P}(|Y'_n - X_\infty| \geq \eta') \leq \frac{\delta}{2}$ and n_2 large such that $\mathbb{P}(|Z_{n_2} x| \geq \eta) \leq \frac{\delta}{2}$. Note that choice of n_2 depends on x . Let $n_x = \max(n_1, n_2)$. Then for all $n \geq n_x$,

$$\mathbb{P}_x(X_{n_x} \in [c, d]) \geq \mathbb{P}(X_\infty \in [c + \eta + \eta', d - \eta - \eta']) - \delta.$$

Since X_∞ has a continuous distribution by Theorem 2.2 and $\mathbb{P}(c \leq X_\infty \leq d) > 0$, first choose η and η' and then δ small enough such that

$$\theta \equiv \mathbb{P}(X_\infty \in [c + \eta + \eta', d - \eta - \eta']) - \delta > 0.$$

Thus (3.6) is established. \square

Lemma 3.5. *Let $\{X_n\}$ be a time homogeneous Markov chain with state space (S, \mathcal{S}) and transition function $P(\cdot, \cdot)$. Let there exists $A \in \mathcal{S}$ and $0 < \theta \leq 1$ such that for all $x \in S$, there exists an integer $n_x \geq 1$ such that*

$$\mathbb{P}(X_{n_x} \in A | X_0 = x) \geq \theta. \tag{3.8}$$

Then for all $x \in S$,

$$\mathbb{P}(\tau_A < \infty | X_0 = x) = 1 \tag{3.9}$$

where $\tau_A = \min\{n : n \geq 1, X_n \in A\}$.

Proof. Fix $x \in S$. Let $B_0 \equiv \{X_{n_x} \notin A\}$ and $\tau_0 = n_x$. Then $B_0 \equiv \{X_{\tau_0} \notin A\}$. Let us define

$$\begin{aligned} B_1 &\equiv \{X_{\tau_0} \notin A, X_{\tau_0+n_{X_{\tau_0}}} \notin A\} \\ \tau_1 &= \tau_0 + n_{X_{\tau_0}} \\ B_2 &\equiv \{X_{\tau_0} \notin A, X_{\tau_1} \notin A, X_{\tau_1+n_{X_{\tau_1}}} \notin A\} \\ \tau_2 &= \tau_1 + n_{X_{\tau_1}}, \end{aligned}$$

and so on. Note $B_1 = \{X_{\tau_0} \notin A, X_{\tau_1} \notin A\}$, $B_2 = \{X_{\tau_0} \notin A, X_{\tau_1} \notin A, X_{\tau_2} \notin A\}$ and for any integer $k \geq 3$,

$$B_k \equiv \{X_{\tau_0} \notin A, X_{\tau_1} \notin A, \dots, X_{\tau_k} \notin A\},$$

with $\tau_k = \tau_{k-1} + n_{X_{\tau_{k-1}}}$. By hypothesis (3.8), $\mathbb{P}(B_0) \leq (1 - \theta)$. By the strong Markov property of $\{X_n\}$, $\mathbb{P}(B_1) \leq (1 - \theta)^2$ and $\mathbb{P}(B_k) \leq (1 - \theta)^{k+1}$ for all integer $k \geq 3$. This implies $\sum_{k=0}^\infty \mathbb{P}(B_k) < \infty$ since $\theta > 0$. So $\sum_{k=0}^\infty \mathbb{I}_{B_k}(\cdot) < \infty$ with probability 1. This implies that with probability 1, $\mathbb{I}_{B_k} = 0$ for all large $k > 1$. That is, for all $x \in S$, $\mathbb{P}_x(X_{\tau_k} \in A \text{ for some } k < \infty) = 1$. Hence, for all $x \in S$, $\mathbb{P}_x(\tau_A < \infty) = 1$. \square

Proof of Theorem 3.3. In view of Definition 3.2, it is enough to prove (3.4) to show $\{X_n\}$ is Harris recurrent. The proof of (3.4) follows from Lemma 3.4 and 3.5. Now from Lemma 2.2.5 of [2], it follows that X_n is regenerative. \square

Theorem 3.3 provides sufficient conditions on (ρ_1, ϵ_1) so that the sequence X_n becomes Harris recurrent and hence regenerative. These sufficient conditions are fairly general and hold for large class of distribution of (ρ_1, ϵ_1) . Here are some examples where (3.4) and (3.5) hold.

Example 3.6. ϵ_1 is a standard normal, $N(0, 1)$ random variable, ρ_1 has bounded support with $\mathbb{E} \log |\rho_1| < 0$ and ϵ_1, ρ_1 are independent.

Example 3.7. ϵ_1 is a Uniform $(-1, 1)$ random variable, ρ_1 has bounded support with $\mathbb{E} \log |\rho_1| < 0$ and ϵ_1, ρ_1 are independent.

In both the cases hypothesis of Theorem 2.1 hold and X_∞ is of the form $(\epsilon_1 + \rho_1 \tilde{X}_\infty)$ where \tilde{X}_∞ has the same distribution as X_∞ and independent of X_∞ . One can show in both above cases that for some $c < 0 < d$, $|c|$ and d sufficiently small, conditions (3.4) and (3.5) hold.

In Theorem 3.3, growth sequence $\{\rho_n\}$ has no mass at zero and the regeneration property of X_n is established by showing Harris recurrence of the sequence. When $\mathbb{P}(\rho_1 = 0) > 0$, then the regenerative property of X_n can be shown more easily.

Theorem 3.8. *Let $\{X_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ be a RCAR(1) sequence as in (1.1). If $\mathbb{P}(\rho_1 = 0) \equiv \alpha > 0$, then $\{X_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ is a positive recurrent regenerative sequence.*

Proof. Let $\tau_0 = 0$ and $\tau_{j+1} = \min\{n : n \geq \tau_j + 1, \rho_n = 0\}$ for $j \geq 0$. We need to show that

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{P}(\tau_{j+1} - \tau_j = k_j, X_{\tau_j+l} \in A_{l,j}, 0 \leq l < k_j, 1 \leq j \leq r) \\ &= \prod_{j=1}^r \mathbb{P}(\tau_2 - \tau_1 = k_j, X_{\tau_1+l} \in A_{l,j}, 0 \leq l < k_j) \end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

for all $k_1, k_2, \dots, k_r \in \mathbb{N}$ and $A_{l,j} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$, $0 \leq l < k_j, j = 1, 2, \dots, r, r = 1, 2, \dots$

Since $\{(\rho_n, \epsilon_n)\}_{n \geq 1}$ are i.i.d. and $\mathbb{P}(\rho_1 = 0) = \alpha > 0$, it follows that $\{\tau_{j+1} - \tau_j, j \geq 0\}$ are i.i.d. with jump distribution

$$\mathbb{P}(\tau_{j+1} - \tau_j = k) = (1 - \alpha)^{k-1} \alpha, \quad \text{for } k = 1, 2, \dots,$$

that is, geometric with “success” parameter α . Next, since $\{(\rho_n, \epsilon_n)\}_{n \geq 1}$ are i.i.d. (3.10) follows. Further since $\mathbb{E}(\tau_2 - \tau_1) < \infty$, the sequence $\{X_n\}$ is positive recurrent regenerative. \square

Remark 3.9. When $\mathbb{P}(\rho_1 = 0) = \alpha > 0$ and the joint distribution of (ρ_1, ϵ_1) is discrete, then the limiting distribution π of X_∞ is a discrete probability distribution, that is, there exists a countable set A_0 in \mathbb{R}^2 such that $\pi(A_0) = 1$. This is in contrast to Theorem 2.2 which provides a sufficient condition for X_∞ to have a non atomic distribution.

4. Estimation of Transition Function and Characteristic Functions of ρ_1 and ϵ_1

The transition function $\mathbb{P}(x, A)$ of the Markov chain $\{X_n\}_{n \geq 0}$, defined by (1.1), is precisely equal to $\mathbb{P}(\rho_1 x + \epsilon_1 \in A)$. The following result determines the joint distribution of (ρ_1, ϵ_1) in terms of the transition function, $\mathbb{P}(\cdot, \cdot)$.

Theorem 4.1. *If the distribution of $\rho_1 x + \epsilon_1$ is known for all x of the form $\frac{t_1}{t_2}$ where $t_2 \neq 0$ and (t_1, t_2) is dense in \mathbb{R}^2 then the distribution of (ρ_1, ϵ_1) is determined.*

Proof. For any $(t_1, t_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$, the characteristic function of (ρ_1, ϵ_1) is

$$\psi_{(\rho_1, \epsilon_1)}(t_1, t_2) = \mathbb{E}(e^{i(t_1 \rho_1 + t_2 \epsilon_1)}) = \mathbb{E}(e^{it_2(\rho_1 \frac{t_1}{t_2} + \epsilon_1)}) = \phi_{\frac{t_1}{t_2}}(t_2)$$

where $\phi_x(t) = \mathbb{E}(e^{it(\rho_1 x + \epsilon_1)})$ for all $x, t \in \mathbb{R}$. If $\phi_x(\cdot)$ is known for all x of the form $\frac{t_1}{t_2}$ where (t_1, t_2) is dense in \mathbb{R}^2 , then $\psi_{(\rho_1, \epsilon_1)}(t_1, t_2)$ is determined for all such (t_1, t_2) and hence by continuity for all $(t_1, t_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$. Hence the distribution of (ρ_1, ϵ_1) is determined completely. \square

Theorem 4.1 implies that if the transition function $\mathbb{P}(x, A)$ of $\{X_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ can be determined from observing the sequence sequence $\{X_n\}$, then the distribution of (ρ_1, ϵ_1) can also be determined. We now estimate the transition probability function $\mathbb{P}(x, (-\infty, y])$, for $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^2$ from the data $\{X_i\}_{i=0}^n$. In the following theorems, we show that the estimator $F_{n,h}(x, y)$, given in (4.1) below, is a strongly consistent estimator for $\mathbb{P}(X_1 \leq y | X_0 = x)$.

Theorem 4.2. *Let $\{X_n\}$ satisfies the hypothesis of Theorem 3.3. For $n \geq 1$, $h > 0$, $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$, let*

$$F_{n,h}(x, y) = \begin{cases} \frac{\frac{1}{nh} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \mathbb{I}(x \leq X_i \leq x+h, X_{i+1} \leq y)}{\frac{1}{nh} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \mathbb{I}(x \leq X_i \leq x+h)} & \text{if } \mathbb{I}(x \leq X_i \leq x+h) \neq 0 \text{ for some } i \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \tag{4.1}$$

where $\mathbb{I}(A)$ denotes the indicator function of the event A .

(a) Then with probability 1, for each $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_{n,h}(x, y) \equiv \psi(x, y, h) = \frac{\int_x^{x+h} G(u, y) \mathbb{P}(X_\infty \in du)}{\mathbb{P}(X_\infty \in (x, x+h])}, \tag{4.2}$$

where $G(u, y) = \mathbb{P}(x, (-\infty, y]) = \mathbb{P}(X_1 \leq y | X_0 = x)$.

(b) In addition, let $G(x, y)$ and the random variable X_∞ satisfy

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\int_x^{x+h} G(u, y) \mathbb{P}(X_\infty \in du)}{\mathbb{P}(x < X_\infty \leq x+h)} = G(x, y), \text{ for } x, y \in \mathbb{R}^2. \tag{4.3}$$

Then for $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_{n,h}(x, y) = \mathbb{P}(X_1 \leq y | X_0 = x), \text{ with probability 1.} \tag{4.4}$$

Proof. Since $\{X_i\}_{i \geq 0}$ is regenerative and positive recurrent, the vector sequence $\{(X_i, X_{i+1})\}_{i \geq 0}$ is also regenerative and positive recurrent Markov chain. The numerator in (4.1) converges to $\int_x^{x+h} G(u, y) \mathbb{P}(X_\infty \in du)$ with probability 1 by using Theorem 9.2.10 of [3]. Similarly denominator converges to $\mathbb{P}(X_\infty \in (x, x+h])$ with probability 1. This completes the proof of part (a).

The proof of part (b) follows from (4.2) and (4.3). □

Remark 4.3. A sufficient condition for (4.3) to hold is that the distribution of X_∞ is absolutely continuous with strictly positive and continuous density function and the function $G(x, y)$ is continuous in x for fixed y .

The following result is similar to that of Theorem 4.2.

Theorem 4.4. *Fix $x, t, h \in \mathbb{R}$. Let*

$$\phi_{n,h,x}(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{\frac{1}{nh} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} e^{itX_{j+1}} \mathbb{I}(x < X_j \leq x+h)}{\frac{1}{nh} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \mathbb{I}(x < X_j \leq x+h)} & \text{if } \mathbb{I}(x < X_i \leq x+h) \neq 0 \text{ for some } i, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi_{n,h,x}(t) = \mathbb{E}(e^{it(\rho_1 x + \epsilon_1)}) \text{ with probability 1,}$$

provided

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\frac{1}{h} \int_x^{x+h} \mathbb{E}(e^{it(\rho_1 x + \epsilon_1)}) \mathbb{P}(X_\infty \in du)}{\frac{1}{h} \int_x^{x+h} \mathbb{P}(X_\infty \in du)} = \mathbb{E}(e^{it(\rho_1 x + \epsilon_1)}). \quad (4.5)$$

Proof. Proof of this theorem is similar to the proof of Theorem 4.2 and hence omitted. \square

Remark 4.5. A sufficient condition for (4.5) to hold is that the distribution of X_∞ is absolutely continuous with strictly positive and continuous density function on $(-\infty, \infty)$ and the function $\mathbb{E}(e^{it(\rho_1 x + \epsilon_1)})$ is continuous in x for fixed t .

Let $\{\rho_1\}$ and $\{\epsilon_1\}$ are independent random variables. Then

$$\phi_x(t) \equiv \mathbb{E}_x(e^{itX_1}) = \mathbb{E}(e^{it(\rho_1 x + \epsilon_1)}) = \psi_\rho(tx) \psi_\epsilon(t),$$

where $\psi_\rho(t) = \mathbb{E}(e^{it\rho})$ and $\psi_\epsilon(t) = \mathbb{E}(e^{it\epsilon})$. Also, note that

$$\psi_\epsilon(t) = \phi_0(t) \quad \text{and} \quad \psi_\rho(tx) = \frac{\phi_x(t)}{\phi_0(t)}, \quad \text{when } \psi_\epsilon(t) \neq 0.$$

This yields the following corollary of Theorem 4.4.

Corollary 4.6. *Let ρ_1 and ϵ_1 be independent and conditions of Theorem 4.4 holds. Then*

- (a) $\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi_{n,h,0}(t) = \psi_\epsilon(t)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ with probability 1.
- (b) Let $\psi_\epsilon(t) \neq 0$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, then for all $x \neq 0$

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\phi_{n,h,x}(t/x)}{\phi_{n,h,0}(t/x)} = \psi_\rho(t) \quad \text{for all } t \in \mathbb{R} \quad \text{with probability 1.}$$

5. Appendix

Proof of Theorem 2.1: Choose $\epsilon > 0$ such that $\mathbb{E}(\log |\rho_1|) + \epsilon < 0$. Now, by the strong law of large number,

$$\mathbb{E}(\log |\rho_1|) < 0 \Rightarrow \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \log |\rho_i| \leq \mathbb{E}(\log |\rho_1|) + \epsilon,$$

for sufficiently large n , with probability 1. Hence

$$|\rho_1 \rho_2 \dots \rho_n| \leq e^{-n\lambda}, \quad (5.1)$$

where $0 < \lambda \equiv -(\mathbb{E}(\log |\rho_1|) + \epsilon) < \infty$, for all large n , with probability 1.

Also $\mathbb{E}(\log |\epsilon_1|)^+ < \infty$ implies that for any $\mu > 0$, $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(\log |\epsilon_1| > n\mu) < \infty$ and hence $\sum_n \mathbb{P}(\log |\epsilon_n| > n\mu) < \infty$. By Borel Cantelli lemma, $|\epsilon_n| \leq e^{n\mu}$ for all n large enough, with probability 1.

Now choose $0 < \mu < \lambda$. Then for sufficiently large n , with probability 1,

$$|\epsilon_{n+1} \rho_1 \rho_2 \dots \rho_n| \leq e^{-n\lambda} e^{(n+1)\mu}.$$

Therefore $\sum_n |\epsilon_{n+1} \rho_1 \rho_2 \dots \rho_n| < \infty$ with probability 1. Hence $\tilde{X}_\infty = \epsilon_1 + \rho_1 \epsilon_2 + \rho_1 \rho_2 \epsilon_3 + \dots + \rho_1 \dots \rho_n \epsilon_{n+1} + \dots$ is well defined.

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} X_n &= \rho_n(\rho_{n-1}X_{n-2} + \epsilon_{n-1}) + \epsilon_n \\ &= \rho_n\rho_{n-1}\cdots\rho_1X_0 + \rho_n\rho_{n-1}\cdots\rho_2\epsilon_1 + \cdots + \rho_n\epsilon_{n-1} + \epsilon_n \end{aligned}$$

and which has the same distribution as

$$\epsilon_1 + \rho_1\epsilon_2 + \cdots + \rho_1\rho_2\cdots\rho_{n-1}\epsilon_n + \rho_1\rho_2\cdots\rho_nX_0. \quad (5.2)$$

Now by using (5.1) and above, we have $|\rho_1\rho_2\cdots\rho_nX_0|$ converges to zero with probability 1. Thus, from (5.2), as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$X_n \xrightarrow{d} \tilde{X}_\infty,$$

where \xrightarrow{d} stands for convergence in distribution. \square

Acknowledgment. K. B. Athreya would like to thank the Department of Mathematics, IIT Bombay and in particular, Prof. Sudhir Ghorpade for offering him visiting professorship.

References

1. Andél, J.: Autoregressive series with random parameters, *Math. Operationsforsch. Statist.* **7** (1976), no. 5, 735–741.
2. Athreya, K. B. and Atuncar, G. S.: Kernel estimation for real-valued Markov chains, *Sankhyā Ser. A* **60** (1998), no. 1, 1–17.
3. Athreya, K. B. and Lahiri, S. N.: *Probability Theory (TRIM Series 41)*, Hindustan Book agency, New Delhi, 2006.
4. Athreya, K. B. and Ney, P.: A new approach to the limit theory of recurrent Markov chains, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **245** (1978), 493–501.
5. Aue, A., Horváth, L., and Steinebach, J.: Estimation in random coefficient autoregressive models, *J. Time Ser. Anal.* **27** (2006), no. 1, 61–76.
6. Brandt, A.: The stochastic equation $Y_{n+1} = A_n Y_n + B_n$ with stationary coefficients, *Adv. in Appl. Probab.* **18** (1986), no. 1, 211–220.
7. Nicholls, D. F. and Quinn, B. G.: The estimation of random coefficient autoregressive models I, *J. Time Ser. Anal.* **1** (1980), no. 1, 37–46.
8. Orey, S.: *Lecture Notes on Limit Theorems for Markov Chain Transition Probabilities*, Van Nostrand Reinhold Co., London-New York-Toronto, Ont., 1971.
9. Robinson, P. M.: Statistical inference for a random coefficient autoregressive model, *Scand. J. Statist.* **5** (1978), no. 3, 163–168.

KRISHNA B. ATHREYA: DEPARTMENTS OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, IOWA STATE UNIVERSITY, IOWA 50011, USA AND DISTINGUISHED VISITING PROFESSOR, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, IIT BOMBAY, MUMBAI 400076, INDIA
E-mail address: kba@iastate.edu

KOUSHIK SAHA: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY BOMBAY, MUMBAI 400076, INDIA
E-mail address: ksaha@math.iitb.ac.in

RADHENDUSHKA SRIVASTAVA: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY BOMBAY, MUMBAI 400076, INDIA
E-mail address: radhe@math.iitb.ac.in