

3-1-2012

An interpolating family of means

Rajendra Bhatia

Ren-Cang Li

Follow this and additional works at: <https://repository.lsu.edu/cosa>



Part of the [Analysis Commons](#), and the [Other Mathematics Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Bhatia, Rajendra and Li, Ren-Cang (2012) "An interpolating family of means," *Communications on Stochastic Analysis*: Vol. 6: No. 1, Article 3.

DOI: 10.31390/cosa.6.1.03

Available at: <https://repository.lsu.edu/cosa/vol6/iss1/3>

AN INTERPOLATING FAMILY OF MEANS

RAJENDRA BHATIA* AND REN-CANG LI†

Dedicated to Professor K. R. Parthasarathy on the occasion of his 75th birthday

ABSTRACT. This paper is concerned with a new family of binary symmetric means M_p of two positive numbers a and b :

$$\frac{1}{M_p(a, b)} = c_p \int_0^\infty \frac{dx}{[(x^p + a^p)(x^p + b^p)]^{1/p}}, \quad 0 < p < \infty,$$

where the constant c_p , depending on p , is chosen to have $M_p(a, a) = a$. Two distinctive members in the family are the well-known logarithmic mean ($p = 1$) and arithmetic-geometric mean ($p = 2$). Different expressions for M_p are obtained to establish its other properties, including $M_2(a, b) \leq M_\infty(a, b)$ and the relation between M_p and the power difference mean. Through investigating the induced operator norm of the integral operator with M_p^{-1} as its kernel, a generalization of the Hilbert inequality is obtained. Finally positive definiteness of certain matrices as implications of inequalities between two means is also investigated.

1. Introduction

Let a and b be positive numbers. The *logarithmic mean* $L(a, b)$ of a and b defined as

$$L(a, b) := \frac{a - b}{\ln a - \ln b} \quad (1.1)$$

has long been used in problems related to heat flow [16] and electrical conduction [17]. More recently it has been employed in differential geometry [2, 5]. The well-known *arithmetic-geometric mean* $AG(a, b)$ of Gauss is defined as follows: the sequences $\{a_n\}$ and $\{b_n\}$ defined inductively as

$$\begin{aligned} a_0 &= a, & b_0 &= b, \\ a_{n+1} &= \frac{a_n + b_n}{2}, & b_{n+1} &= \sqrt{a_n b_n}, \end{aligned}$$

have a common limit, and

$$AG(a, b) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n. \quad (1.2)$$

Received 2011-3-16; Communicated by K. B. Sinha.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 26E60; Secondary 15A45, 42A82, 47A30.

Key words and phrases. Binary symmetric mean, logarithmic mean, arithmetic-geometric mean, power difference mean, integral operator, positive definite matrix.

* Supported in part by a J. C. Bose National Fellowship. He thanks the University of Texas at Arlington for a visit in March 2007 when this work was begun.

† Supported in part by the National Science Foundation Grant DMS-0810506 and DMS-1115834.

This mean, introduced by Legendre and then by Gauss, is related to the evaluation of elliptic integrals, and several other problems in analysis [11, 8].

The expressions (1.1) and (1.2) do not carry any hint that these two means could belong to a common family. There are alternative descriptions for both. It can be seen that

$$\frac{1}{L(a, b)} = \int_0^\infty \frac{dx}{(x+a)(x+b)}, \quad (1.3)$$

and an ingenious calculation, due to Gauss [12], is used to show

$$\frac{1}{AG(a, b)} = \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \frac{dx}{\sqrt{(x^2+a^2)(x^2+b^2)}}. \quad (1.4)$$

This similarity between the expressions (1.3) and (1.4) is the motivation for us to introduce a family of means $M_p(a, b)$, $0 \leq p \leq \infty$, defined by the relation

$$\frac{1}{M_p(a, b)} := c_p \int_0^\infty \frac{dx}{[(x^p+a^p)(x^p+b^p)]^{1/p}}, \quad 0 < p < \infty, \quad (1.5)$$

where the constant c_p , depending on p , will be chosen to have

$$M_p(a, a) = a.$$

Thus

$$\frac{1}{c_p} = a \int_0^\infty \frac{dx}{(x^p+a^p)^{2/p}} = \int_0^\infty \frac{dy}{(y^p+1)^{2/p}}. \quad (1.6)$$

The means M_0 and M_∞ are defined by taking limits:

$$M_0(a, b) := \lim_{p \rightarrow 0^+} M_p(a, b), \quad M_\infty(a, b) := \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} M_p(a, b). \quad (1.7)$$

A *binary symmetric mean* $M(a, b)$ of positive numbers a and b is a function that satisfies the following properties:

- (i) $\min\{a, b\} \leq M(a, b) \leq \max\{a, b\}$ (In particular, $M(a, a) = a$);
- (ii) $M(a, b) = M(b, a)$;
- (iii) $M(\alpha a, \alpha b) = \alpha M(a, b)$ for all $\alpha > 0$;
- (iv) $M(a, b)$ is non-decreasing in a and b .

It is obvious from the definition that the mean M_p satisfies the properties (ii) – (iv). We will give different expressions for M_p from which other properties, including (i) above, become apparent. In particular, we will show that

$$M_0(a, b) = \sqrt{ab}, \quad (1.8)$$

$$M_\infty(a, b) = \frac{2 \max\{a, b\}}{2 + \ln \frac{\max\{a, b\}}{\min\{a, b\}}} \leq \frac{a+b}{2}. \quad (1.9)$$

We conjecture that *for fixed a and b , $M_p(a, b)$ is an increasing function of p* . At this time, we can prove that

$$M_2(a, b) \leq M_\infty(a, b). \quad (1.10)$$

Inequalities already known then lead to the chain

$$M_0(a, b) \leq M_1(a, b) \leq M_2(a, b) \leq M_\infty(a, b). \quad (1.11)$$

The first of these inequalities is well-known and easy to prove; the second has been given different proofs in [9, 10, 19].

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. Section 2 investigates various properties of M_p in detail, including different expressions for M_p and the inequality (1.10). Section 3 gives a relation between M_p and the *power difference mean* K_p . In section 4, we evaluate the norm of the integral operator induced on the space $L_2(\mathbb{R}_+)$ by the kernel $1/M_p(x, y)$. This gives an extension of the famous Hilbert inequality. In section 5, we discuss positive definiteness of certain matrices as implications of some relations between M_p and K_p for which another conjecture is also proposed.

2. Mean M_p

Expressions (1.8) for M_0 and (1.9) for M_∞ will be proved after a detailed investigation on M_p for $0 < p < \infty$ is completed. Then we will prove the inequality (1.10).

2.1. $0 < p < \infty$.

Theorem 2.1. $\min\{a, b\} \leq \sqrt{ab} \leq M_p(a, b) \leq \left(\frac{a^p+b^p}{2}\right)^{1/p} \leq \max\{a, b\}$.

Proof. The first inequality is easy to see, and the last one is easy to see too by replacing both a and b in $\left(\frac{a^p+b^p}{2}\right)^{1/p}$ with $\max\{a, b\}$. We now prove the second and the third inequalities. Since $(x^p + a^p)(x^p + b^p) = (x^p)^2 + (a^p + b^p)x^p + a^p b^p$, we have

$$[x^p + (\sqrt{ab})^p]^2 \leq (x^p + a^p)(x^p + b^p) \leq \left[x^p + \frac{a^p + b^p}{2}\right]^2.$$

Therefore by (1.5),

$$\frac{1}{M_p\left(\left[\frac{a^p+b^p}{2}\right]^{1/p}, \left[\frac{a^p+b^p}{2}\right]^{1/p}\right)} \leq \frac{1}{M_p(a, b)} \leq \frac{1}{M_p(\sqrt{ab}, \sqrt{ab})}$$

which, together with the condition $M_p(z, z) = z$ for any $z > 0$, leads to the desired inequalities. \square

In the last integral in (1.6), substitute $t = (y^p + 1)^{-1}$ to get

$$y^p = \frac{1}{t} - 1 = \frac{1-t}{t}, \quad (2.1)$$

$$p y^{p-1} dy = -\frac{1}{t^2} dt, \quad (2.2)$$

$$\begin{aligned} dy &= -\frac{1}{p} \left(\frac{t}{1-t}\right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \frac{1}{t^2} dt \\ &= -\frac{1}{p} t^{-1-1/p} (1-t)^{1/p-1} dt, \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

$$\frac{1}{c_p} = \frac{1}{p} \int_0^1 t^{1/p-1} (1-t)^{1/p-1} dt \quad (2.4)$$

$$= \frac{B\left(\frac{1}{p}, \frac{1}{p}\right)}{p}, \quad (2.5)$$

where $B(\cdot, \cdot)$ is the Beta-function [1]. In the integral in (1.5), substitute $x^p + a^p = a^p t^{-1}$ to get

$$\begin{aligned}
x^p &= a^p \left(\frac{1}{t} - 1 \right) = a^p \frac{1-t}{t}, \\
px^{p-1} dx &= -a^p \frac{1}{t^2} dt, \\
dx &= -\frac{a}{p} \left(\frac{t}{1-t} \right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}} \frac{1}{t^2} dt \\
&= -\frac{a}{p} t^{-1-1/p} (1-t)^{1/p-1} dt, \\
\frac{1}{M_p(a, b)} &= c_p \frac{a}{p} \int_0^1 \frac{t^{-1/p-1} (1-t)^{1/p-1}}{[(a^p t^{-1})(a^p \frac{1-t}{t} + b^p)]^{1/p}} dt \\
&= \frac{c_p}{p} \int_0^1 \frac{t^{1/p-1} (1-t)^{1/p-1}}{[a^p(1-t) + b^p t]^{1/p}} dt. \tag{2.6}
\end{aligned}$$

Combine (2.4) and (2.6) to get

$$\frac{1}{M_p(a, b)} = \frac{\int_0^1 \frac{t^{1/p-1} (1-t)^{1/p-1}}{[a^p(1-t) + b^p t]^{1/p}} dt}{\int_0^1 t^{1/p-1} (1-t)^{1/p-1} dt}. \tag{2.7}$$

Theorem 2.2. *Given $a, b > 0$ and $0 < p < \infty$, we have*

$$\frac{1}{M_p(a, b)} = (\max\{a, b\})^{-1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \prod_{i=0}^{k-1} \frac{\left(\frac{1}{p} + i\right)^2}{\frac{2}{p} + i} \frac{1}{k!} \left[1 - \left(\frac{\min\{a, b\}}{\max\{a, b\}} \right)^p \right]^k, \tag{2.8}$$

where, by convention, $\prod_{i=0}^{-1}(\dots) \equiv 1$ and $0! = 1$.

Proof. Both sides of (2.8) are equal to a if $a = b$. Assume without loss of generality that $a > b > 0$. Let $\alpha = 1 - (b/a)^p$ and then $0 < \alpha < 1$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
a^p(1-t) + b^p t &= a^p[1-t + (b/a)^p t] = a^p(1-\alpha t), \\
[a^p(1-t) + b^p t]^{-1/p} &= a^{-1}(1-\alpha t)^{-1/p} \\
&= a^{-1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\prod_{i=0}^{k-1} \left(\frac{1}{p} + i\right)}{k!} \alpha^k t^k. \tag{2.9}
\end{aligned}$$

The series in (2.9) converges for $\alpha < 1$ which justifies the term-by-term integration below. Equation (2.9), together with (2.7), yields

$$\frac{1}{M_p(a, b)} = \frac{a^{-1} \int_0^1 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\prod_{i=0}^{k-1} \left(\frac{1}{p} + i\right)}{k!} \alpha^k t^{k+1/p-1} (1-t)^{1/p-1} dt}{\int_0^1 t^{1/p-1} (1-t)^{1/p-1} dt}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& a^{-1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \int_0^1 \frac{\prod_{i=0}^{k-1} \left(\frac{1}{p} + i\right)}{k!} \alpha^k t^{k+1/p-1} (1-t)^{1/p-1} dt \\
&= \frac{\int_0^1 t^{1/p-1} (1-t)^{1/p-1} dt}{\int_0^1 t^{1/p-1} (1-t)^{1/p-1} dt} \\
&= a^{-1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\prod_{i=0}^{k-1} \left(\frac{1}{p} + i\right)}{k!} \alpha^k \cdot \frac{B(k + \frac{1}{p}, \frac{1}{p})}{B(\frac{1}{p}, \frac{1}{p})}. \tag{2.10}
\end{aligned}$$

Using the well-known properties of the Beta and Gamma functions [1]

$$B(s, t) = \frac{\Gamma(s)\Gamma(t)}{\Gamma(s+t)}, \quad \Gamma(z) = (z-1)\Gamma(z-1),$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{B(k + \frac{1}{p}, \frac{1}{p})}{B(\frac{1}{p}, \frac{1}{p})} &= \frac{\Gamma(k + \frac{1}{p})\Gamma(\frac{1}{p})}{\Gamma(k + \frac{2}{p})} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{2}{p})}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{p})\Gamma(\frac{1}{p})} \\
&= \frac{\Gamma(k + \frac{1}{p})}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{p})} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{2}{p})}{\Gamma(k + \frac{2}{p})} \\
&= \prod_{i=0}^{k-1} \frac{\frac{1}{p} + i}{\frac{2}{p} + i}.
\end{aligned}$$

Substituting this into (2.10) gives (2.8). \square

Theorem 2.3. *Given $a, b > 0$ and $0 < p < \infty$, we have*

$$\frac{1}{M_p(a, b)} = \left(\frac{a^p + b^p}{2}\right)^{-1/p} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} \left[\prod_{i=0}^{k-1} \left(\frac{1}{p} + i\right) \right] \left[\prod_{i=0}^{2k-1} \frac{\frac{1}{p} + i}{\frac{2}{p} + i} \right] \left(\frac{a^p - b^p}{a^p + b^p}\right)^{2k}. \tag{2.11}$$

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
(x^p + a^p)(x^p + b^p) &= \left(x^p + \frac{a^p + b^p}{2}\right)^2 - \left(\frac{a^p - b^p}{2}\right)^2 \\
&= \left(x^p + \frac{a^p + b^p}{2}\right)^2 (1 - r^2),
\end{aligned}$$

where $r = \frac{a^p - b^p}{2} / \left(x^p + \frac{a^p + b^p}{2}\right)$. Therefore $|r| < 1$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
[(x^p + a^p)(x^p + b^p)]^{-1/p} &= \left(x^p + \frac{a^p + b^p}{2}\right)^{-2/p} (1 - r^2)^{-1/p}, \\
&= \left(x^p + \frac{a^p + b^p}{2}\right)^{-2/p} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left[\prod_{i=0}^{k-1} \left(\frac{1}{p} + i\right) \right] \frac{r^{2k}}{k!}, \\
\frac{1}{M_p(a, b)} &= c_p \int_0^{\infty} \frac{1}{\left(x^p + \frac{a^p + b^p}{2}\right)^{2/p}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left[\prod_{i=0}^{k-1} \left(\frac{1}{p} + i\right) \right] \frac{r^{2k}}{k!} dx
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= c_p \int_0^\infty \frac{dx}{\left(x^p + \frac{a^p+b^p}{2}\right)^{2/p}} \\
&\quad + c_p \sum_{k=1}^\infty \frac{1}{k!} \left[\prod_{i=0}^{k-1} \left(\frac{1}{p} + i\right) \right] \int_0^\infty \frac{r^{2k}}{\left(x^p + \frac{a^p+b^p}{2}\right)^{2/p}} dx \\
&= \left(\frac{a^p + b^p}{2}\right)^{-1/p} \\
&\quad + \sum_{k=1}^\infty \frac{1}{k!} \left[\prod_{i=0}^{k-1} \left(\frac{1}{p} + i\right) \right] c_p \int_0^\infty \frac{\left(\frac{a^p-b^p}{2}\right)^{2k}}{\left(x^p + \frac{a^p+b^p}{2}\right)^{2k+2/p}} dx.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

Substitute $x = \left(\frac{a^p+b^p}{2}\right)^{1/p} y$ and $y^p + 1 = t^{-1}$ as in (2.1) – (2.3) to get

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^\infty \frac{dx}{\left(x^p + \frac{a^p+b^p}{2}\right)^{2k+2/p}} &= \left(\frac{a^p + b^p}{2}\right)^{-2k-1/p} \int_0^\infty \frac{dy}{(y^p + 1)^{2k+2/p}} \\
&= \left(\frac{a^p + b^p}{2}\right)^{-2k-1/p} \int_0^1 \frac{1}{p} t^{2k+1/p-1} (1-t)^{1/p-1} dt \\
&= \left(\frac{a^p + b^p}{2}\right)^{-2k-1/p} \frac{B(2k + \frac{1}{p}, \frac{1}{p})}{p}.
\end{aligned}$$

This together with (2.5) leads to

$$\begin{aligned}
c_p \int_0^\infty \frac{dx}{\left(x^p + \frac{a^p+b^p}{2}\right)^{2k+2/p}} &= \left(\frac{a^p + b^p}{2}\right)^{-2k-1/p} \frac{B(2k + \frac{1}{p}, \frac{1}{p})}{B(\frac{1}{p}, \frac{1}{p})} \\
&= \left(\frac{a^p + b^p}{2}\right)^{-2k-1/p} \prod_{i=0}^{2k-1} \frac{\frac{1}{p} + i}{\frac{1}{p} + i}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.13}$$

Now (2.11) is a consequence of (2.12) and (2.13). \square

2.2. $p = 0$.

Theorem 2.4. *Given $a, b > 0$, we have*

$$M_0(a, b) = \sqrt{ab}. \tag{2.14}$$

Proof. It can be verified that $\lim_{p \rightarrow 0^+} \left(\frac{a^p+b^p}{2}\right)^{1/p} = \sqrt{ab}$. The equality $M_0(a, b) = \sqrt{ab}$ is then a consequence of Theorem 2.1. \square

2.3. $p = \infty$.

Theorem 2.5. *Given $a, b > 0$, we have*

$$M_\infty(a, b) = \frac{2 \max\{a, b\}}{2 + \ln \frac{\max\{a, b\}}{\min\{a, b\}}}, \tag{2.15}$$

and

$$M_2(a, b) \leq M_\infty(a, b) \leq (a + b)/2. \tag{2.16}$$

Proof. Both (2.15) and (2.16) are obvious if $a = b$. Assume that $a > b > 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^\infty \frac{dy}{(y^p + 1)^{2/p}} &= \int_0^1 dy + \int_1^\infty \frac{dy}{y^2} = 2, \\ \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^\infty \frac{dx}{[(x^p + a^p)(x^p + b^p)]^{1/p}} &= \int_0^b \frac{dx}{ab} + \int_b^a \frac{dx}{xa} + \int_a^\infty \frac{dx}{x^2} \\ &= \frac{1}{a} + \frac{\ln a - \ln b}{a} + \frac{1}{a} \\ &= \frac{2 + (\ln a - \ln b)}{a}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $c_\infty = 1/2$, and (2.15) holds by definition. The change of order of taking limits and the integrals above is justified by Lebesgue's Dominated Convergence Theorem [21, p.76] because

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{(y^p + 1)^{2/p}} &\leq \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } 0 \leq y \leq 1, \\ y^{-2} & \text{for } 1 < y, \end{cases} \\ \frac{1}{[(x^p + a^p)(x^p + b^p)]^{1/p}} &\leq \begin{cases} (ab)^{-1} & \text{for } 0 \leq x \leq a, \\ x^{-2} & \text{for } a < x. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

The second inequality in (2.16) is relatively easy to show. It goes as follows. Since

$$M_1(a, b) = \frac{a - b}{\ln a - \ln b} \leq \frac{a + b}{2},$$

we have successively

$$\begin{aligned} 2(a - b) &\leq (a + b)(\ln a - \ln b), \\ 2a &\leq 2b + (a + b)(\ln a - \ln b), \\ 4a &= 2(a + b) + (a + b)(\ln a - \ln b), \\ \frac{2a}{2 + \ln a - \ln b} &\leq \frac{a + b}{2}, \end{aligned}$$

as expected.

Let us focus on the first inequality in (2.16) now. As in the proof by John Todd for *Problem 19-17* in [10], let $r = (a - b)/(a + b)$. Then $0 < r < 1$ and $a = \frac{a+b}{2}(1+r)$ and $b = \frac{a+b}{2}(1-r)$. It suffices to show that $M_2(1+r, 1-r) \leq M_\infty(1+r, 1-r)$. It was shown by Gauss [12] (see also [8, p.7]) that for $|r| < 1$

$$\frac{1}{M_2(1+r, 1-r)} = 1 + \frac{1}{4}r^2 + \frac{9}{64}r^4 + \dots + \left(\frac{1 \cdot 3 \cdots (2n-1)}{2 \cdot 4 \cdots (2n)} \right)^2 r^{2n} + \dots$$

On the other hand, by (1.9),

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{M_\infty(1+r, 1-r)} &= \frac{2 + \ln \frac{1+r}{1-r}}{2(1+r)} \\ &= \frac{2 + 2r \left(1 + \frac{1}{3}r^2 + \frac{1}{5}r^4 + \dots + \frac{1}{2n+1}r^{2n} + \dots \right)}{2(1+r)} \end{aligned}$$

$$= \frac{1+r \left(1 + \frac{1}{3}r^2 + \frac{1}{5}r^4 + \cdots + \frac{1}{2n+1}r^{2n} + \cdots\right)}{1+r}.$$

So for $M_2(1+r, 1-r) \leq M_\infty(1+r, 1-r)$ to hold, it suffices to have

$$(1+r) \left[1 + \frac{1}{4}r^2 + \frac{9}{64}r^4 + \cdots + \left(\frac{1 \cdot 3 \cdots (2n-1)}{2 \cdot 4 \cdots (2n)}\right)^2 r^{2n} + \cdots\right] \geq 1+r \left(1 + \frac{1}{3}r^2 + \frac{1}{5}r^4 + \cdots + \frac{1}{2n+1}r^{2n} + \cdots\right), \quad (2.17)$$

or, equivalently,

$$(1+r) \left[\frac{1}{4}r^2 + \frac{9}{64}r^4 + \cdots + \left(\frac{1 \cdot 3 \cdots (2n-1)}{2 \cdot 4 \cdots (2n)}\right)^2 r^{2n} + \cdots\right] \geq r \left(\frac{1}{3}r^2 + \frac{1}{5}r^4 + \cdots + \frac{1}{2n+1}r^{2n} + \cdots\right). \quad (2.18)$$

Since $1+r > 2r$, (2.18) holds if

$$2r \left[\frac{1}{4}r^2 + \frac{9}{64}r^4 + \cdots + \left(\frac{1 \cdot 3 \cdots (2n-1)}{2 \cdot 4 \cdots (2n)}\right)^2 r^{2n} + \cdots\right] \geq r \left(\frac{1}{3}r^2 + \frac{1}{5}r^4 + \cdots + \frac{1}{2n+1}r^{2n} + \cdots\right) \quad (2.19)$$

which is guaranteed if the corresponding coefficients of r^{2n+1} from both sides satisfy

$$2 \left(\frac{1 \cdot 3 \cdots (2n-1)}{2 \cdot 4 \cdots (2n)}\right)^2 \geq \frac{1}{2n+1} \quad (2.20)$$

for $n \geq 1$. This is what we shall prove now. To this end, we shall use the following estimate for factorial $n!$ [18, 20]

$$\sqrt{2\pi n}^{n+1/2} e^{-n+1/(12n+1)} < n! < \sqrt{2\pi n}^{n+1/2} e^{-n+1/(12n)}. \quad (2.21)$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1 \cdot 3 \cdots (2n-1)}{2 \cdot 4 \cdots (2n)} &= \frac{(2n)!}{2^{2n}(n!)^2} \\ &> \frac{\sqrt{2\pi}(2n)^{2n+1/2} e^{-2n+1/(24n+1)}}{2^{2n}[\sqrt{2\pi n}^{n+1/2} e^{-n+1/(12n)}]^2} \\ &= \frac{e^{1/(24n+1)}}{\sqrt{\pi n} e^{1/(6n)}}, \\ 2(2n+1) \left(\frac{1 \cdot 3 \cdots (2n-1)}{2 \cdot 4 \cdots (2n)}\right)^2 &> 4n \left(\frac{e^{1/(24n+1)}}{\sqrt{\pi n} e^{1/(6n)}}\right)^2 \\ &= \frac{4}{\pi} e^{2/(24n+1)-1/(3n)} \\ &= \frac{4}{\pi} e^{-(18n+1)/[3n(24n+1)]} \end{aligned}$$

$$\geq 1.12 \quad \text{for } n \geq 2.$$

This proves that (2.19) holds for $n \geq 2$. It can be verified that (2.19) holds for $n = 1$ also. The proof is completed. \square

Remark 2.6. One can use (2.21) to also show that

$$\left(\frac{1 \cdot 3 \cdots (2n-1)}{2 \cdot 4 \cdots (2n)} \right)^2 < \frac{1}{\pi n} < \frac{1}{2n+1}.$$

This is used by John Todd [10] to show $M_1(1+r, 1-r) \leq M_2(1+r, 1-r)$.

3. Relation to the Power Difference Mean

The *power difference mean* $K_p(a, b)$ is defined for any p and $a, b > 0$ as follows [14, 6].

$$K_p(a, b) := \frac{p-1}{p} \frac{a^p - b^p}{a^{p-1} - b^{p-1}}, \quad (3.1)$$

where it is understood that

$$K_p(a, a) := a, \quad K_1(a, b) := \lim_{p \rightarrow 1} K_p(a, b) = L(a, b). \quad (3.2)$$

Alternatively, $K_p(a, b)$ admits the following integral expression:

$$\frac{1}{K_p(a, b)} = \int_0^1 \frac{dt}{[(1-t)a^p + tb^p]^{1/p}}. \quad (3.3)$$

By (1.1), (1.3), and (3.2), we have $M_1(a, b) = L(a, b) = K_1(a, b)$. It makes us wonder what kind of relations are between $M_p(a, b)$ and $K_p(a, b)$ for $p \neq 1$. Theorem 3.2 below provides an answer. But first we establish an expansion formula for $K_p(a, b)$.

Lemma 3.1. *Given $a, b > 0$, we have*

$$\frac{1}{K_p(a, b)} = \left(\frac{a^p + b^p}{2} \right)^{-1/p} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2k+1)!} \left[\prod_{i=0}^{2k-1} \left(\frac{1}{p} + i \right) \right] \left(\frac{a^p - b^p}{a^p + b^p} \right)^{2k}. \quad (3.4)$$

Proof. K_p as defined by (3.1) has a removable singularity at $p = 1$. In this case, equation (3.4) can be verified either by using $K_1(a, b) = L(a, b)$ or by taking the limit as p goes to 1. In what follows, we shall assume $p \neq 1$. It suffices to show (3.4) for $a = 1$ and $0 < b \neq 1$. It follows from (3.1) that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{K_p(a, b)} \left(\frac{a^p + b^p}{2} \right)^{1/p} &= \frac{p}{p-1} \frac{a^{p-1} - b^{p-1}}{a^p - b^p} \left(\frac{a^p + b^p}{2} \right)^{1/p} \\ &= \frac{p}{p-1} \frac{1 - b^{p-1}}{1 - b^p} \left(\frac{1 + b^p}{2} \right)^{1/p}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

Let $r = (1 - b^p)/(1 + b^p)$. Then $|r| < 1$, and

$$b^p = \frac{1-r}{1+r}, \quad \frac{1+b^p}{2} = \frac{1}{1+r}, \quad 1-b^p = \frac{2r}{1+r}, \quad b^{p-1} = (b^p)^{(p-1)/p} = \left(\frac{1-r}{1+r} \right)^{1-1/p}.$$

Therefore by (3.5)

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{K_p(a, b)} \left(\frac{a^p + b^p}{2} \right)^{1/p} &= \frac{p}{p-1} \frac{1 - \left(\frac{1-r}{1+r} \right)^{1-1/p}}{\frac{2r}{1+r}} (1+r)^{-1/p} \\ &= \frac{p}{p-1} \frac{(1+r)^{1-1/p} - (1-r)^{1-1/p}}{2r}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

Use the binomial series expansion to get

$$\begin{aligned} (1+r)^{1-1/p} &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left[\prod_{i=0}^{k-1} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p} - i \right) \right] \frac{r^k}{k!} \\ &= 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{k-1} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p} \right) \left[\prod_{i=0}^{k-2} \left(\frac{1}{p} + i \right) \right] \frac{r^k}{k!}, \\ (1-r)^{1-1/p} &= 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{k-1} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p} \right) \left[\prod_{i=0}^{k-2} \left(\frac{1}{p} + i \right) \right] \frac{(-r)^k}{k!} \\ &= 1 - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p} \right) \left[\prod_{i=0}^{k-2} \left(\frac{1}{p} + i \right) \right] \frac{r^k}{k!}, \\ (1+r)^{1-1/p} - (1-r)^{1-1/p} &= 2 \left(1 - \frac{1}{p} \right) \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \left[\prod_{i=0}^{2\ell-1} \left(\frac{1}{p} + i \right) \right] \frac{r^{2\ell+1}}{(2\ell+1)!}, \end{aligned}$$

and from (3.6)

$$\frac{1}{K_p(a, b)} \left(\frac{a^p + b^p}{2} \right)^{1/p} = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \left[\prod_{i=0}^{2\ell-1} \left(\frac{1}{p} + i \right) \right] \frac{r^{2\ell}}{(2\ell+1)!},$$

as was to be shown. \square

Theorem 3.2. *Given $a, b > 0$ and $a \neq b$, we have*

- (1) $M_p(a, b) > K_p(a, b)$ for $0 \leq p < 1$,
- (2) $M_1(a, b) = K_1(a, b)$,
- (3) $M_p(a, b) < K_p(a, b)$ for $p > 1$.

Proof. We compare the right hand side of (2.11) and that of (3.4). For the purpose here, we may ignore the factor $\left(\frac{a^p + b^p}{2} \right)^{-1/p}$ in both expressions and compare the two series. Let

$$\alpha_k = \frac{1}{k!} \left[\prod_{i=0}^{k-1} \left(\frac{1}{p} + i \right) \right] \left[\prod_{i=0}^{2k-1} \frac{\frac{1}{p} + i}{\frac{2}{p} + i} \right], \quad \beta_k = \frac{1}{(2k+1)!} \left[\prod_{i=0}^{2k-1} \left(\frac{1}{p} + i \right) \right]$$

which are the coefficients of $\left(\frac{a^p - b^p}{a^p + b^p} \right)^{2k}$ in the two series, respectively. Since $\alpha_0 = 1 = \beta_0$, it suffices to show that for $k \geq 1$,

$$\alpha_k < \beta_k \text{ for } 0 < p < 1; \quad \alpha_k = \beta_k \text{ for } p = 1; \quad \text{and } \alpha_k > \beta_k \text{ for } p > 1.$$

Comparing α_k and β_k , after canceling the common factor $\prod_{i=0}^{2k-1} \left(\frac{1}{p} + i\right)$ in α_k and β_k , is equivalent to comparing the two quantities

$$\frac{\prod_{i=0}^{k-1} \left(\frac{1}{p} + i\right)}{\prod_{i=0}^{2k-1} \left(\frac{2}{p} + i\right)} = \frac{p^k \prod_{i=0}^{k-1} (1 + pi)}{\prod_{i=0}^{2k-1} (2 + pi)} = \frac{p^k}{2^k \prod_{i=1}^k [2 + p(2i - 1)]}$$

and $k!/(2k + 1)!$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{p^k}{2^k \prod_{i=1}^k [2 + p(2i - 1)]} - \frac{k!}{(2k + 1)!} \\ = \frac{p^k (2k + 1)! - k! 2^k (2 + p)(2 + 3p) \cdots [2 + (2k - 1)p]}{2^k (2k + 1)! \prod_{i=1}^k [2 + p(2i - 1)]} \end{aligned}$$

whose numerator denoted by $g(p)$ is a polynomial of degree k in p with the leading coefficient (of p^k)

$$\begin{aligned} (2k + 1)! - k! 2^k (2k - 1)!! &= (2k + 1)! - k! 2^k \frac{(2k + 1)!}{(2k)!! (2k + 1)} \\ &= (2k + 1)! \left(1 - \frac{1}{2k + 1}\right) > 0, \end{aligned}$$

and the rest of the coefficients (of p^i for $i < k$) are all negative, and

$$g(1) = (2k + 1)! - k! 2^k (2k + 1)!! = 0.$$

Therefore $g(p) < g(1)p^k = 0$ for $0 < p < 1$, and $g(p) > g(1)p^k = 0$ for $p > 1$. This completes the proof. \square

4. Integral Operators Induced by M_p

Let $\phi^{[0]}(x) \geq 0$ be any function on $\mathbb{R}_+ = \{x : x > 0\}$. This introduces a function on $\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}_+$:

$$\phi^{[1]}(x, y) = \int_0^\infty \phi^{[0]}(tx) \phi^{[0]}(ty) dt, \quad (4.1)$$

and, in turn, another function

$$\phi^{[2]}(x, y) = \int_0^\infty \phi^{[1]}(x, t) \phi^{[1]}(y, t) dt. \quad (4.2)$$

For $0 < p < \infty$, let

$$\phi_p^{[0]}(x) = e^{-x^p}. \quad (4.3)$$

Then for $x, y > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_p^{[1]}(x, y) &= \int_0^\infty e^{-t^p(x^p + y^p)} dt && \text{(substitute } s = t^p(x^p + y^p)\text{)} \\ &= \int_0^\infty e^{-s} \frac{1}{p} \frac{s^{1/p-1}}{(x^p + y^p)^{1/p}} ds \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{p})}{p} \frac{1}{(x^p + y^p)^{1/p}}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_p^{[2]}(x, y) &= \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{p})^2}{p^2} \int_0^\infty \frac{dt}{[(x^p + t^p)(y^p + t^p)]^{1/p}} \quad (\text{use (2.5)}) \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{p})^2}{p^2} \frac{B(\frac{1}{p}, \frac{1}{p})}{p} \frac{1}{M_p(x, y)}.\end{aligned}\tag{4.5}$$

Remark 4.1. Instead of (4.3), we could have started with

$$\phi_p^{[0]}(x) = \alpha_p e^{-x^p}, \quad \alpha_p = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{2}{p})^{1/4} p^{3/4}}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{p})}.\tag{4.3'}$$

Then we will get

$$\phi_p^{[2]}(x) = \frac{1}{M_p(x, y)}.\tag{4.5'}$$

This provides another way of looking at the family of means $M_p(x, y)$.

Note for $p = 1$, (4.5) is

$$\phi_1^{[2]}(x, y) = \frac{1}{M_1(x, y)} = \frac{1}{L(x, y)},\tag{4.6}$$

and for $p = 2$, it is

$$\phi_2^{[2]}(x, y) = \frac{\pi^2}{8} \frac{1}{M_2(x, y)} = \frac{\pi^2}{8} \frac{1}{AG(x, y)}.\tag{4.7}$$

We obtain the values of the norms of the integral operators with kernel $1/M_p(x, y)$. These results are extensions of the famous Hilbert inequality. We use a familiar technique from Hardy, Littlewood, and Pólya [13].

Theorem 4.2 ([13, Theorem 319, page 229]). *Let $\phi : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ be homogeneous of order -1 , i.e., $\phi(\lambda x, \lambda y) \equiv \lambda^{-1} \phi(x, y)$ for $\lambda > 0$, and that*

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{\phi(x, 1)}{\sqrt{x}} dx = \int_0^\infty \frac{\phi(1, y)}{\sqrt{y}} dy =: \kappa\tag{4.8}$$

Then the induced operator on $L_2(\mathbb{R}_+)$

$$\Phi f(x) := \int_0^\infty \phi(x, y) f(y) dy$$

has norm $\|\Phi\|_{L_2} \leq \kappa$. If $\phi(1, y)$ is uniformly bounded in $y \in \mathbb{R}_+$, then¹ $\|\Phi\|_{L_2} = \kappa$.

For the kernel (4.4), $\phi_p^{[1]}(1, y)$ is uniformly bounded in y and satisfies (4.8). Let $\Phi_p^{[1]}$ be the integral operator with $\phi_p^{[1]}(x, y)$ in (4.4) as its kernel. Apply Theorem 4.2 to get

$$\begin{aligned}\|\Phi_p^{[1]}\|_{L_2} = \kappa_p &:= \int_0^\infty \frac{\phi_p^{[1]}(1, x)}{\sqrt{x}} dx \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{p})}{p} \int_0^\infty \frac{dx}{(1+x^p)^{1/p} x^{1/2}}\end{aligned}$$

¹This is not explicitly asserted in [13], but can be inferred from the discussion there. See, e.g., [15, page 149].

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{p})}{p} \frac{1}{p} B(\frac{1}{2p}, \frac{1}{2p}) \\
&= \frac{1}{p^2} [\Gamma(\frac{1}{2p})]^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.9}$$

Since the operator $\Phi_p^{[2]}$ induced by the kernel (4.5) is the square of $\Phi_p^{[1]}$ induced by (4.4) and also $\Phi_p^{[1]}$ is self-adjoint because $\phi_p^{[1]}(x, y) = \phi_p^{[1]}(y, x)$, we have $\|\Phi_p^{[2]}\|_{L_2} = \|\Phi_p^{[1]}\|_{L_2}^2$.

Theorem 4.3. *Let $0 < p < \infty$ and let*

$$\mathcal{M}_p f(x) := \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{M_p(x, y)} f(y) dy.$$

Then \mathcal{M}_p is a bounded linear operator on $L_2(\mathbb{R}_+)$ with

$$\|\mathcal{M}_p\|_{L_2} = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{2}{p}) \Gamma(\frac{1}{2p})^4}{p \Gamma(\frac{1}{p})^2}. \tag{4.10}$$

Proof. Note by (4.5)

$$\Phi_p^{[2]} = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{p})^2}{p^2} \frac{B(\frac{1}{p}, \frac{1}{p})}{p} \mathcal{M}_p.$$

By the consideration above,

$$\|\mathcal{M}_p\|_{L_2} = \kappa_p^2 \frac{p^3 \Gamma(\frac{2}{p})}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{p})^4} = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2p})^4}{p^4} \frac{p^3 \Gamma(\frac{2}{p})}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{p})^4} = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{2}{p}) \Gamma(\frac{1}{2p})^4}{p \Gamma(\frac{1}{p})^2},$$

as expected. \square

Special case $p = 1$ gives

$$\|\mathcal{M}_1\|_{L_2} = \pi^2. \tag{4.11}$$

This is noted on [13, page 257] (the last statement of §355). Special case $p = 2$ gives

$$\|\mathcal{M}_2\|_{L_2} = \frac{\Gamma(1/4)^2}{2\pi^2} = 8.753758\dots \tag{4.12}$$

which happens to be $2\pi/\text{AG}(\sqrt{2}, 1)^2$.

Remark 4.4. Recall the famous Hilbert inequality that says the norm of the operator induced on $L_2(\mathbb{R}_+)$ by the kernel $1/(x+y)$ is π . This is κ_1 in (4.9).

More generally, consider the space $L_r(\mathbb{R}_+)$, where $r > 1$. [13, Theorem 319, page 229] says that if ϕ and Φ are as in Theorem 4.2 and

$$\kappa(r) := \int_0^\infty \frac{\phi(1, x)}{x^{1/r}} dx = \int_0^\infty \frac{\phi(1, y)}{y^{1/r'}} dx < \infty, \tag{4.13}$$

then Φ is a bounded operator on $L_r(\mathbb{R}_+)$ with norm $\|\Phi\|_{L_r} \leq \kappa(r)$.

In our case, for the kernel (4.4), (4.13) gives

$$\begin{aligned}
\kappa_p(r) &:= \int_0^\infty \frac{dx}{(1+x^p)^{1/p} x^{1/r}} \quad (\text{substitute } t = (1+x^p)^{-1}) \\
&= \frac{1}{p} B(\frac{1-1/r}{p}, \frac{1/r}{p})
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{1}{p} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1-1/r}{p}) \Gamma(\frac{1/r}{p})}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{p})} \\
&= \frac{1}{p} \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{r'p}) \Gamma(\frac{1}{rp})}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{p})}, \tag{4.14}
\end{aligned}$$

where $1/r + 1/r' = 1$. So we have

$$\|\mathcal{M}_p\|_{L_r} \leq \kappa_p(r)^2 \frac{p^3 \Gamma(\frac{2}{p})}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{p})^4}. \tag{4.15}$$

Special case $p = 1$

$$\kappa_1(r) = \Gamma(\frac{1}{r'}) \Gamma(\frac{1}{r}) = \pi \csc(\pi/r)$$

is given in [13, pages 226 and 255].

5. Positive Definiteness of Certain Matrices

An interesting connection between binary means of positive real numbers and positive definite matrices has been developed in the last few years. See [5, Chapters 4 and 5], [7], [14], and references therein.

Let M and \widetilde{M} be two binary means. We say that $M \ll \widetilde{M}$ if for every n and for every choice of positive real numbers $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n$, the $n \times n$ matrix

$$\left(\frac{M(\lambda_i, \lambda_j)}{\widetilde{M}(\lambda_i, \lambda_j)} \right)_{n \times n}$$

is positive semidefinite. For many interesting means, it has been found that the inequality $M \leq \widetilde{M}$ implies the stronger relation $M \ll \widetilde{M}$.

We explore this for the two families \mathbb{K}_p and \mathbb{M}_p . First we observe that for every $x \geq 0$, the matrix

$$\left(\frac{1}{(x^p + \lambda_i^p)^{1/p} (x^p + \lambda_j^p)^{1/p}} \right)_{n \times n}$$

is positive semidefinite, since it is congruent to the *flat* matrix E (the matrix with all its entries equal to one). It follows from (1.5) that for $0 < p < \infty$, the $n \times n$ matrices with (i, j) entries

$$\frac{1}{\mathbb{M}_p(\lambda_i, \lambda_j)} = c_p \int_0^\infty \frac{dx}{(x^p + \lambda_i^p)^{1/p} (x^p + \lambda_j^p)^{1/p}}$$

are positive semidefinite. By a limiting argument, we see that the matrices $\left(\frac{1}{\mathbb{M}_0(\lambda_i, \lambda_j)} \right)_{n \times n} = \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_i \lambda_j}} \right)_{n \times n}$ and

$$\left(\frac{1}{\mathbb{M}_\infty(\lambda_i, \lambda_j)} \right)_{n \times n} = \left(\frac{2 + \ln \frac{\max\{\lambda_i, \lambda_j\}}{\min\{\lambda_i, \lambda_j\}}}{2 \max\{\lambda_i, \lambda_j\}} \right)_{n \times n} \tag{5.1}$$

are also positive semidefinite.

In [14], Hiai and Kosaki have proved that for $p \leq 1/2$, the matrices

$$(\mathbb{K}_p(\lambda_i, \lambda_j))_{n \times n}$$

are positive semidefinite. Hence, *the matrix*

$$\left(\frac{K_p(\lambda_i, \lambda_j)}{M_p(\lambda_i, \lambda_j)} \right)_{n \times n}$$

is positive semidefinite for $p \leq 1/2$, being the Schur product of two such matrices.

The mean $K_\infty(a, b)$ is equal to $\max\{a, b\}$. Hence we have

$$\frac{M_\infty(\lambda_i, \lambda_j)}{K_\infty(\lambda_i, \lambda_j)} = \frac{2}{2 + \ln \frac{\max\{\lambda_i, \lambda_j\}}{\min\{\lambda_i, \lambda_j\}}} = \frac{2}{2 + |\ln \lambda_i - \ln \lambda_j|} = \frac{1}{1 + |\ln \lambda_i^{1/2} - \ln \lambda_j^{1/2}|}.$$

The matrix with this as its (i, j) entry is positive semidefinite, in fact, infinitely divisible [5, p.153].

We have proved that $K_p \ll M_p$ for $0 \leq p \leq 1/2$, and that $M_\infty \ll K_\infty$. We conjecture that

$$K_p \ll M_p \quad \text{for } 1/2 < p < 1, \text{ and } M_p \ll K_p \quad \text{for } 1 < p < \infty. \quad (5.2)$$

Remark 5.1. The positive semidefiniteness of the matrices (5.1) can be expressed in another way: the matrix

$$\left(\frac{1 + \frac{1}{2} |\ln \lambda_i - \ln \lambda_j|}{\max\{\lambda_i, \lambda_j\}} \right)_{n \times n} \quad (5.3)$$

is always positive semidefinite. It is interesting to note that the matrix

$$\left(\frac{1 + |\ln \lambda_i - \ln \lambda_j|}{\max\{\lambda_i, \lambda_j\}} \right)_{n \times n}$$

is not necessarily positive semidefinite, as can be seen from the 2×2 example in which $\lambda_1 = 1$ and $\lambda_2 = e^2$. In fact more can be said. Let r be any real nonnegative number. Then *the matrix*

$$W = \left(\frac{1 + r |\ln \lambda_i - \ln \lambda_j|}{\max\{\lambda_i, \lambda_j\}} \right)_{n \times n}$$

is positive semidefinite for $0 \leq r \leq 1/2$ and not necessarily positive semidefinite for $r > 1/2$. This can be seen as follows. For $0 \leq r \leq 1/2$, we have

$$w_{ij} = \frac{1 + |\ln \lambda_i^r - \ln \lambda_j^r|}{\max\{\lambda_i, \lambda_j\}} = \frac{1 + |\ln \lambda_i^r - \ln \lambda_j^r|}{\max\{\lambda_i^{2r}, \lambda_j^{2r}\}} \cdot \frac{1}{[\max\{\lambda_i, \lambda_j\}]^{1-2r}} =: u_{ij} v_{ij}.$$

The matrix $U = (u_{ij})_{n \times n}$ is positive semidefinite (by the case $r = 1/2$ already proved), and the matrix $V = (v_{ij})_{n \times n}$ is positive semidefinite since the matrix $(1/\max\{\lambda_i, \lambda_j\})_{n \times n}$ is infinitely divisible [3, 5]. So $W = (w_{ij})_{n \times n}$ being the Schur product of U and V is positive semidefinite. Now consider the case $r > 1/2$. Let \tilde{r} be any number such that $r > \tilde{r} > 1/2$, and α be the unique positive root of $x = 2\tilde{r} \ln(1+x)$ (such a root exists because at $x = 0$, the derivative of x is 1 and the derivative of $2\tilde{r} \ln(1+x)$ is $2\tilde{r} > 1$). With $\lambda_1 = 1$ and $\lambda_2 = e^{\alpha/r}$, the 2×2 matrix W is

$$W = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & (1+\alpha)e^{-\alpha/r} \\ (1+\alpha)e^{-\alpha/r} & e^{-\alpha/r} \end{pmatrix}$$

whose determinant

$$\det W = e^{-\alpha/r} - (1 + \alpha)^2 e^{-2\alpha/r} = e^{-2\alpha/r} \left[e^{\alpha/r} - (1 + \alpha)^2 \right] < 0$$

since $\alpha/r < \alpha/\tilde{r} = 2 \ln(1 + \alpha) = \ln(1 + \alpha)^2$.

Remark 5.2. Examples of means for which $M \leq \tilde{M}$ but the stronger relation $M \ll \tilde{M}$ is not true were given in [4], and in [14]. To that list, we add another. We have seen that $M_\infty(a, b) \leq A(a, b) := (a + b)/2$, where A stands for the arithmetic mean. But the relation $M_\infty \ll A$ is not true. For example, with $\lambda_1 = 17/100$, $\lambda_2 = 18/100$, and $\lambda_3 = 72/100$, the 3×3 matrix with its (i, j) entry being $M_\infty(\lambda_i, \lambda_j)/A(\lambda_i, \lambda_j)$ has a negative eigenvalue -0.00011509756859 computed by MATLAB.

References

1. Andrews, George E., Askey, Richard, and Roy, Ranjan: *Special Functions*, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, vol. 71, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 1999.
2. Bhatia, Rajendra: *On the exponential metric increasing property*, Linear Algebra Appl. **375** (2003) 211–220.
3. Bhatia, Rajendra: *Infinitely divisible matrices*, Amer. Math. Monthly **113** (2006) 221–235.
4. Bhatia, Rajendra: *Interpolating the arithmetic-geometric mean inequality and its operator version*, Linear Algebra Appl. **413** (2006) 355–363.
5. Bhatia, Rajendra: *Positive Definite Matrices*, Princeton Series in Applied Mathematics, Princeton University Press, Princeton, New Jersey, 2007.
6. Bhatia, Rajendra and Kosaki, Hideki: *Mean matrices and infinite divisibility*, Linear Algebra Appl. **424** (2007) 36–54.
7. Bhatia, Rajendra and Parthasarathy, K. R.: *Positive definite functions and operator inequalities*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **32** (2000), no. 02, 214–228.
8. Borwein, J. M. and Borwein, P. B.: *Pi and the Agm*, Wiley, 1987.
9. Bracken, Paul: *An arithmetic-geometric mean inequality*, Expo. Math. **19** (2001), no. 3, 273–279.
10. Carlson, B. C. and Vuorinen, M.: *Problem 91-17*, SIAM Rev. **34** (1992) 653.
11. Cox, David A.: *The arithmetic-geometric mean of Gauss*, Enseign. Math., Series II **30** (1984), no. 3-4, 275–330.
12. Gauss, C. F.: *Werke*, vol. 3, Göttingen, 1866–1933.
13. Hardy, G. H., Littlewood, J. E., and Pólya, G.: *Inequalities*, Cambridge University Press, London, 1934.
14. Hiai, F. and Kosaki, H.: *Means of matrices and comparison of their norms*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **48** (1999) 899–936.
15. Hirsch, F. and Lacombe, G.: *Elements of Functional Analysis*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 192, Springer, New York, 1999.
16. McAdams, W. H.: *Heat Transmission*, 3rd ed., McGraw-Hill, 1954.
17. Pólya, G. and Szegő, G.: *Isoperimetric Inequalities in Mathematical Physics*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1951.
18. Robbins, H.: *A remark on Stirling's formula*, Amer. Math. Monthly **62** (1955) 26–29.
19. Vamanamurthy, M. K. and Vuorinen, M.: *Inequalities for means*, J. Math. Anal. and Appl. **183** (1994), no. 1, 155–166.
20. Weisstein, Eric W.: *Stirling's approximation*².
21. Wheeden, Richard L. and Zygmund, Antoni.: *Measure and Integral, An Introduction to Real Analysis*, Pure and Applied Mathematics, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 1977.

²<http://mathworld.wolfram.com/stirlingsapproximation.html>.

RAJENDRA BHATIA: INDIAN STATISTICAL INSTITUTE, NEW DELHI 110 016, INDIA.
E-mail address: `rbh@isid.ac.in`

REN-CANG LI: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS AT ARLINGTON, P.O.
BOX 19408, ARLINGTON, TX 76019-0408, USA.
E-mail address: `rcli@uta.edu`
URL: `http://www.uta.edu/faculty/rcli/`