

Seminar on Continuity in Semilattices

Volume 1 | Issue 1

Article 89

4-1-1984

SCS 88: A Proof of a Theorem of B. B.

Klaus Keimel

Technische Universität Darmstadt, Germany, keimel@mathematik.tu-darmstadt.de

Follow this and additional works at: <https://repository.lsu.edu/scs>



Part of the [Mathematics Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Keimel, Klaus (1984) "SCS 88: A Proof of a Theorem of B. B.," *Seminar on Continuity in Semilattices*: Vol. 1: Iss. 1, Article 89.

Available at: <https://repository.lsu.edu/scs/vol1/iss1/89>

Proof of a theorem of B. B. 1.4.89

Let L be a continuous lattice s.t. \ll is multiplicative =
 fine.

(1) The Scott open filters of L form a sublattice of
 the lattice of all filters of L .

(Let F_1 and F_2 be Scott open filters. $F_1 \cap F_2$ is a
 Scott open filter in any case. By the multiplica-
 tivity of \ll , the set

$$F_1 \cup F_2 = \downarrow \{x_1 \wedge x_2 : x_1 \in F_1 \text{ and } x_2 \in F_2\}$$

is not only a filter but also Scott open.

(2) If F_1 and F_2 are maximal Scott open filters,

then there are $x_1 \in F_1, x_2 \in F_2$ such that $x_1 \wedge x_2 = 0$.

(3) Let, in addition, L be distributive and suppose

that any two prime elements are incomparable (i.e.

$\text{Spec} = \text{Max } L$). Then $\text{Spec } L$ is Hausdorff.

(Let $p_1, p_2 \in \text{pt } L, p_1 \neq p_2$. Then $F_1 = L \setminus \downarrow p_1$

and $F_2 = L \setminus \downarrow p_2$ are Scott open filters. They

are maximal. Hence by (2), there are $x_1 \in F_1, x_2 \in F_2$

such that $x_1 \wedge x_2 = 0$. We conclude

$$S(x_1) \cap S(x_2) = \emptyset, \quad p_1 \in S(x_1), \quad p_2 \in S(x_2).$$

COROLLARY. X locally quasicompact, sober, T_0 ,
 (B.B.) \ll multiplicative in $\mathcal{O}(X) \Rightarrow X \cong T_2$

(Proof. $L = \mathcal{O}(X)$ satisfies (3) and $X \cong \text{Spec } \mathcal{O}(X)$.)