## Seminar on Continuity in Semilattices

Volume 1 | Issue 1

Article 75

11-12-1982

## SCS 74: Distributive Semilattices

Hans Dobbertin Ruhr University of Bochum, Bochum, Germany

Follow this and additional works at: https://repository.lsu.edu/scs

Part of the Mathematics Commons

## **Recommended Citation**

Dobbertin, Hans (1982) "SCS 74: Distributive Semilattices," *Seminar on Continuity in Semilattices*: Vol. 1: Iss. 1, Article 75. Available at: https://repository.lsu.edu/scs/vol1/iss1/75

	SEMINAR ON CONTINUTIVE IN SEMILATTICES (SCS)											
	NAME: Hans	Dobbert	In			Ē	Date:	<u>M</u> 11	D 12	¥ 82		• .
TOPIC: Distributive semilattices												
	REFERENCES:	[D1] H. pl UD [D2] H. (G] G [K] J (M] D	ompendium. Dobbertin nisms of co- niv. 15 (1) Dobbertin d Boolesch annover, 1 Grätzer, Ketonen, Igebras, An Myers, S ras, unpub	ountable 982), in n, Verfe he Algeb 982. Lattice The str nn. of M tructure	Boolea press inerund ren, D Theory ucture ath. 10 s and r	an alg gsmonc issert y, Bir of cc 08 (19 neasur	bide, ation khäus 0untab 78),	, Alo Vaugl , Un: er, le Bo 41-1	gebra ht Mo iver: 1978. Solea 89.	a onoid sität an	X	

The study of the monoid, under direct sums, of all isomorphism types of countable Boolean algebras has led to the notion of a refinement monoid [M, D1], cf. [K]:

A commutative monoid M = (M;+,O) is called a <u>refinement</u> monoid provided that

(RM1) x+y = 0 only for x = y = 0 (x,  $y \in M$ ),

(RM2) M has the <u>refinement property</u>, that is, whenever  $E x_i = E y_j$ for  $x_i, y_j \in M$  (i < n, j < m) then there are  $z_{ij} \in M$ 

with  $x_1 = \sum_j z_{1j}$  and  $y_j = \sum_i z_{1j}$ . A homomorphism  $h: M \longrightarrow N$  between commutative monoids is said to be a V-homomorphism if  $h(x) = y_1 + y_2$  ( $x \in M$ ,  $y_1, y_2 \in N$ ) implies  $x = x_1 + x_2$  and  $h(x_1) = y_1$  for some  $x_1, x_2 \in M$ , and h(x) = 0 only for x = 0 ( $x \in M$ ). Observe that a V-homomorphic image of a refinement monoid is again a refinement monoid.

PROPOSITION 1. A semilattice L = (L;+,0) with zero is distributive (in the sense of [G; p. 99]) iff L is a refinement monoid.

It is well-known that the category of distributive semilattices with zero and homomorphisms having the property that pre-images of prime filters are always prime filters is dually equivalent to the category of Stone spaces (sober  $T_O$ -spaces having a base of compact sets) and strongly continuous mappings (pre-images of compact-open sets are compact-open); see [G; II.5].

Let DSL be the category of distributive semilattices with zero and V-homomorphisms. We want to supply the category STS of Stone Published by LSU Scholarly Repository, 2023 spaces with suitable morphisms so that DSL and STS become equiSeminar on Continuity in Semilattices, Vol. 1, lss. 1 [2023], Art. 75

valent categories: First, let us call a subset U of a space X almost open if there is a smallest open set, say  $\tilde{U}$ , containing U, and U is a strict subset of  $\tilde{U}$  (i. e., the inclusion map from U into  $\tilde{U}$  is strict in the sense of [C; V.5.8]). Note that, for instance, every space is almost open in its sobrification. Of course, open sets are almost open. Now suppose that X and Y are Stone spaces, then mor(X,Y) consists of all continuous functions from X into Y mapping open sets onto almost open sets. Thus, all continuous-open mappings are morphisms in STS. Probably, the converse is false. However, I have no counterexample.

PROPOSITION 2. DSL and STS are equivalent categories.

<u>Proof.</u> Let  $L_1, L_2 \in obj(PSL)$  and  $h \in mor(L_1, L_2)$ . Then the associated mapping  $f_h : X(L_1) \longrightarrow X(L_2)$  between the prime filter spaces is given by setting  $f_h(P) = th(P)$ . Conversely, if  $X_1, X_2 \in obj(STS)$  and  $f \in mor(X_1, X_2)$  then  $h_f : L(X_1) \longrightarrow L(X_2)$ is defined by  $h_f(C) = f(C)$ , where L(X) denotes the semilattice of compact-open subsets of a Stone space X. It is not difficult to show that in fact  $f_h \in mor(X(L_1), X(L_2))$  and  $h_f \in mor(L(X_1), L(X_2))$ . The remainder of the proof is similar as in the case of the previously mentioned duality.

Question A. Is every distributive semilattice L with zero a V-homomorphic image of some generalized Boolean lattice? (Note that the converse is obvious.)

In [D2] it has been shown that the answer is positive when L is a lattice or has not more than  $\aleph_1$  many elements. Moreover, if it will turn out that the morphisms of STS are not necessarily continuous-open then the following question arises:

<u>Question B</u>. Is every Stone space X the image of a locally . compact, zero-dimensional Hausdorff space under a continuous-open mapping?

At present, I only have an affirmative result when X is first countable and in additon L(X) is a lattice or  $|L(X)| \leq \aleph_1$ .

2

https://repository.lsu.edu/scs/vol1/iss1/75