Seminar on Continuity in Semilattices

Volume 1 | Issue 1

Article 45

2-9-1978

SCS 44: Remark on Hofmann's SCS Memo 1/18/78

Klaus Keimel Technische Universität Darmstadt, Germany, keimel@mathematik.tu-darmstadt.de

Heiko Bauer Technische Universitat Darmstadt, Germany

Follow this and additional works at: https://repository.lsu.edu/scs

Part of the Mathematics Commons

Recommended Citation

Keimel, Klaus and Bauer, Heiko (1978) "SCS 44: Remark on Hofmann's SCS Memo 1/18/78," *Seminar on Continuity in Semilattices*: Vol. 1: Iss. 1, Article 45. Available at: https://repository.lsu.edu/scs/vol1/iss1/45

¢ '	SEMINAR ON CONFINED FOR SEATING OF STATE OF ST					
, ,			DATE	M	D	Y
	NAME(S) KEIMEL,	BAUER		2	9	78
	TOPIC Remark on Hofmann's SCS Memo 1/18/78					
	REFERENCE , and a construction of the second second					
	compact sober sp (It may be that which I have ref setting.) <u>LEMMA</u> . If <u>F</u> is basis of quasice empty intersect: Proof. As <u>F</u> has updirected famile to <u>F</u> either. It is maximal among cannot be the in properly. Hence set. As the space is the closure of not contained in <u>F</u> , as <u>F</u> has a by the construct Now, let Y be a sequence of de section of the U _n contains U _n sequence of open Q _n such that	this is nothing than Hofmann translated from its abstract of s a filter which has a basis of ompact sets on a sober space, ion. As a basis of quasicompact set by of open sets not belonging By Zorn's lemma, there is the g the open sets not belonging htersection of any two open set , the complement of U is an ce is supposed to be sober, the of a point p. This p below h U. Consequently p is in a basis of open sets(which are	's orig continu of open then ts, the to <u>F</u> ets con irredu he comp ngs to the in e not c space. show th we may , we co quasic V ₁ be	inal ous 1 as w <u>F</u> ha unio does en se . Cle taini cible every terse ontai Let at th suppo nstru ompac	proof attice ell as s a no on of e anot b arly, ng U close t of close t of copen ection .ned in (U _n) ne inte ose that act a et sets open s	a an- every belong which& U set of u be er- t
	England:	U. Tübingen (Mislove, Visit.) U. Oxford (Scott)				
			140)			
	USA:	U. California, Riverside (Str LSU Baton Rouge (Lawson) Tulane U., New Orleans (Hofma U. Tennessee, Knoxville (Carr	unn, Mis			
Publish	ed by LSU Scholarly Reposito	y,2023 (Helice (Lisbel)	()			1
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Consigne Millon (1: 116,)			ن	• • •

Seminar on Continuity in Semilattices, Vol. T, Iss. 1 [2023], Art. 45

(The existence of such things follows from the local quasicompactness.) As U_2 is dense, $V_1 \cap U_2$ is not empty, and we can find a non-empty open set V_2 contained in some quasicompact set $Q_2 \subseteq V_1 \cap U_2$, etc. Clearly the sequence V_n and Q_n generate the same filter. By the forgoing lemma, this intersection is not empty. As $V_n \subseteq U_{n-1}$ we have proved the assertion that the intersection of the. U_n is not empty.

Now let X be a locally quasicompact sober space. Let U_n be a sequence of open subsets of X the intersection of which is also open. Then the complement Y of the intersection of the U_n is closed and, consequently, also locally quasicompact and sober. By the assertion proved in the previous paragraphe, not all of the sets $U_n \cap Y$ were dense in Y. Thus, we have proved that X is a Baire space, if we take as definition: A space X is a Baire space, if for no proper open subset U there is a sequence of open subsets U_n such that the intersection of the U_n is U and such that every $U_n \setminus U$ is dense in X $\setminus U$; this is equivalent to saying: the no non-empty closed subset Y of X is the union of a sequence Y_n of closed subsets which are nowhere dense in Y.

REMARK. A little more abstract(and more general)version of the above lemma reads as follows:

Let X be a sober space and \underline{F} a Scott open filter of the <u>lattice</u> O(X) of open subsets of X. Then \underline{F} has a non-empty intersection.

The proof remains essentially the same. The same proof as above then shows that every core compact sober space is Baire. (Unfortunately, Hofmann and Lawson have shown that such a space is locally quasicompact.)

COMMENT on the definition of a Baire space. The above definition is not the one I am used to. The usual definition of a Baire space reads as follows: The intersection of a sequence of dense open subsets is dense or, equivalently, the union of a sequence of closed sets without interior points has no interior points. I can see that for regular spaces the above definition implies the usual one. The two definitions are not equivalent in general: With the above definition every closed subspace of a Baire space which is not true for Baire spaces according to the usual definition. How about the following definition: X is Baire, if a closed subset

with interior points cannot be the union of a sequence of closed subsets without interior points. (Then Hofmann's prop. 6 will not hold). But the theorem remainer would.

https://repository. Isuedu/scs/vol1/is1/45re space and why !

2