

Seminar on Continuity in Semilattices

Volume 1 | Issue 1

Article 44

1-18-1978

SCS 43: Locally Quasicompact Sober Spaces are Baire Spaces

Karl Heinrich Hofmann

Technische Universität Darmstadt, Germany, hofmann@mathematik.tu-darmstadt.de

Follow this and additional works at: <https://repository.lsu.edu/scs>



Part of the [Mathematics Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Hofmann, Karl Heinrich (1978) "SCS 43: Locally Quasicompact Sober Spaces are Baire Spaces," *Seminar on Continuity in Semilattices*: Vol. 1: Iss. 1, Article 44.

Available at: <https://repository.lsu.edu/scs/vol1/iss1/44>

NAME	Karl H. Hofmann	DATE	M	D	Y
			1	18	78

TOPIC Locally quasicompact sober spaces are Baire spaces

REFERENCES Lawmann I (K.H. Hofmann and J.D. Lawson, Irreducibility, Semigroup F. 13 (76/77))
 Lawmann II (" " " " , The spectral theory of continuous lattices, on the referee's table)

LEMMA 1. Let L be a continuous lattice and V a Scott-open subset such that for some sequence $a_0 > a_1 > a_2 > \dots$ one has

(HYP) $va_n \in V$ for $n = 0, 1, \dots$

Then for all $v \in V$ there is an open filter $U \subseteq V$ such that $va_n \in U, n = 0, 1, \dots$

(Remark. For the applications in this memo, $v=1$ would suffice.)

Proof. Let $v \in V$ be given and set $b_0 = 1$. Suppose that we found elements $b_k, k=0, \dots, n$ such that

- (i) $vb_k \in V$ for $k = 0, \dots, n$,
- (ii) $b_k \ll va_{k-1} b_{k-1}$ for $k=1, \dots, n$.

(For $n=0$, nothing is assumed in lieu of (ii)). We construct b_{n+1} :

By (i) we have $vb_n \in V$, hence by (HYP) we have (1) $va_n b_n \in V$. Let D denote the directed set $\downarrow va_n b_n$. Then (2) $\sup D = va_n b_n$ since L is continuous. In particular, L is meet-continuous, and thus $\sup vD = v \sup D = v^2 a_n b_n = va_n b_n \in V$ by (2) and (1). As V is Scott-open, $vD \cap V \neq \emptyset$, i.e. there is a $b_{n+1} \in D$ with (3) $vb_{n+1} \in V$ and $b_{n+1} \in \downarrow va_n b_n$, i.e.

(4) $b_{n+1} \ll va_n b_n$. Then (3) and (4) make (i) and (ii) valid with $n+1$ in place of n . By induction we thus have (i) and (ii) for all $k=0, 1, \dots$ (resp., $k=1, 2, \dots$). Then (ii) implies $b_{n+1} \ll va_n b_n \leq b_n$, hence

(5) $b_{n+1} \ll b_n$ for all n . By (i) we note $b_k \geq vb_k \in V$, whence

(6) $\uparrow b_n \in V$ for all n . By (ii) we note $va_n \geq va_n b_n \geq b_{n+1} \in V$, whence

(7) $va_n \in \uparrow b_{n+1}$ for all n . Now set $U = \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} \uparrow b_n$. Then U is a filter as an ascending union of filters. By (5) it is an open filter, and by (6) $U \subseteq V$. But (7) shows $va_n \in U$ for all n . \square

LEMMA 2. Let L be a continuous lattice and $A \subseteq L$ a countable set such that $a \in A, x \neq 0$ implies $xa \neq 0$. Then $\text{IRR } L \subseteq \uparrow xA$ implies $x = 0$.

Proof. Fix $x \neq 0$ and write $A = \{x_0, x_1, \dots\}$ and set $a_n = x_0 \dots x_n$.

Then $xa_n \neq 0$ for all n (by induction). Apply Lemma 1 with $V = L \setminus \{0\}$, $v = x$, and find an open filter U such that $0 \notin U, xa_n \in U$ for all n .

Now let p be a maximal element in $L \setminus U$. By Lawmann I, $p \in \text{IRR } L$. BUT

$$p \in L \setminus U \subseteq L \setminus \bigcup \uparrow xa_n \subseteq L \setminus \bigcup \uparrow xx_n = L \setminus \uparrow xA. \square$$

LEMMA 3. Let L be a continuous lattice and $A \subseteq L$. Then the following are equivalent: (1) $\uparrow A \cap \text{Spec } L$ is nowhere dense in $\text{Spec } L$.

(2) $\text{Spec } L \not\subseteq \uparrow x \cup \uparrow A$ for all x with $\text{Spec } L \not\subseteq \uparrow x$.

(3) $\text{Spec } L \not\subseteq \uparrow xA$ for all x with $\text{Spec } L \not\subseteq \uparrow x$.

If L is also distributive and $A = \{a\}$, then these are also equivalent to (4) $0 \neq xa$, for all $x \neq 0$.

Proof. Write $X = \text{Spec } L$. Then $\uparrow A \cap X$ is nowhere dense in X iff every non-empty open set in X meets the complement $X \setminus \uparrow A$, and since the closed sets of X are precisely the sets $\uparrow x \cap X$, this is equivalent to saying that for all x with $X \setminus \uparrow x \neq \emptyset$ we have $\emptyset \neq (X \setminus \uparrow x) \cap (X \setminus \uparrow A) =$

$X \setminus (\uparrow x \cup \uparrow A)$, which shows the equivalence of (1) and (2). But

$p \in X \setminus (\uparrow x \cup \uparrow A)$ iff $x \not\leq p$ and $a \not\leq p$ for all $a \in A$ iff $xa \not\leq p$ for all $a \in A$, since p is prime, and this means that $p \in X \setminus \uparrow xA$. Thus (2) and (3) are equivalent. If L is distributive, then $\text{Spec } L \not\subseteq \uparrow x$ iff $x \neq 0$

by Lawmann I, and $\text{Spec } L \not\subseteq \uparrow xa$ iff $xa \neq 0$. Thus (3) and (4) are equivalent. \square

RECALL. A topological space X is a Baire space iff for all closed subsets $Y \neq \emptyset$ of X and each sequence Y_1, Y_2, \dots of subspaces Y_n which are (closed and) nowhere dense in Y , we have $\bigcup Y_n \neq Y$. \square

THEOREM 4. Every locally quasicompact sober space is a Baire space.

Proof. Let $X \neq \emptyset$ be locally quasicompact sober. By Lawmann II we may assume

$X = \text{Spec } L$ for a continuous Heyting algebra L . Each closed subset of X is of the form $\uparrow x \cap X = \text{Spec } \uparrow x$, hence is itself the spectrum of a continuous Heyting algebra. Thus it suffices to show that for any sequence X_n of nowhere dense closed sets in X we have $X \neq \bigcup X_n$.

Now $X_n = X \cap \uparrow x_n$ with $x x_n \neq 0$ for all $x \neq 0$ by Lemma 3. Since L is distributive, $\text{IRR } L \setminus \{1\} = X$ (see Lawmann II). Apply Lemma 2 with $A = \{x_0, x_1, \dots\}$ and find $X \not\subseteq \uparrow A = \bigcup \uparrow x_n$, i.e. $X \not\subseteq \bigcup X_n$. \square

EXAMPLE 5. 1. Let $X = \mathbb{N}$ with upper sets open. Then X is locally quasicompact T_0 , but is not a Baire space.

2. Let X be the set of all ordinals less than the first uncountable one with upper sets open. Then X is a locally quasicompact T_0 Baire space which is not sober. \square

Remark. Both examples are 1st countable. For the second, \check{X} (the sobrification) is not.

PROPOSITION 6. Let L be a continuous Heyting algebra and X an order generating subspace of $\text{Spec } L$. (Note. By Lawmann II, every core compact space is of this form.) Now suppose that L satisfies the following countability hypothesis:

(COUNT) For each prime $p \neq 1$ the space $\uparrow p$ is first countable in p (w.r.t. the Lawson topology).

Then the following statements are equivalent:

(1) X is sober. (2) $X = \text{Spec } L$. (3) X is a Baire space.

Proof. (1) \Leftrightarrow (2) by Lawmann II and (2) \Rightarrow (3) by Theorem 4.

Suppose not (2). Then we find a $p \in \text{Spec } L \setminus X$, and $Y = \uparrow p \cap X$ is a closed subspace of X . Note that by Lemma (3) we have

(i) for all $x \not> p$, $(x \not> p)$ and thus $\uparrow x \cap Y$ is nowhere dense closed in Y .

Let $V = \uparrow p \setminus \{p\}$; since p is prime, V is a filter. Since X is order generating, $\uparrow p = \inf_{\lambda} (\uparrow p \cap X) = \inf Y$ whence $Y \neq \emptyset$. By the

definition of the Lawson topology, p now has a neighborhood basis in $\uparrow p$ sets of the form $\uparrow p \setminus (\uparrow v_1 \cup \dots \cup \uparrow v_n)$ with $v_k \in V$. (Since p is prime, however,

we can say that (iii) in $\uparrow p$ the point p has a neighborhood basis of sets of the form $\uparrow p \setminus \uparrow v$, $v \in V$. By (COUNT), this becomes:

(iv) in $\uparrow p$ the point p has a basis of neighborhoods of the form $\uparrow p \setminus \uparrow v_n$ with a sequence $v_0 \geq v_1 \geq \dots$ in V .

The Lawson topology is Hausdorff, whence $\bigcap (\uparrow p \setminus \uparrow v_n) = \{p\}$, and thus

(v) $V \subseteq \bigcup \uparrow v_n$. By (i), the closed sets $Y_n = \uparrow v_n \cap Y$ are nowhere dense in Y , but $Y = \bigcup Y_n$ by (v). Thus X is not a Baire space, i.e.

we proved not (3). \square

Remark. The fact that X is order generating was used in (1) \Rightarrow (2).

Clearly (COUNT) is satisfied if L is metrizable (which is tantamount to saying that L contains a countable subset C such that $(\forall x, y) x \ll y \Rightarrow (\exists c) c \in C$ and $x \leq c \ll y$). Further, (COUNT) is equivalent to: "Spec L is first countable" (i.e. " X is first countable"). This is satisfied if Spec L is second countable; but $O(\text{Spec}L) \cong O(X)$ (Lawmann II); hence the latter means that X is 2nd countable.

Consequence: For 2nd countable X , sobriety \Leftrightarrow Baireness.

Distribution

K.H. Hofmann	TU Paris
Klaus Keimel	TH Darmstadt
J.D. Lawson (+ G.Gierz)	LSU Baton Rouge
M. Mislove	Tulane
A. Stealka	Riverside
O. Wyler	Carnegie-Mellon
J. Isbell	SUNY at Buffalo
H. Carruth	U. Tennessee
D.S. Scott	Oxford