

Winter 2000

Costs of Precision Grading Sugarcane Fields to Increase Production(Research Report#109)

M. E. Salassi

Louisiana State University and Agricultural and Mechanical College

Follow this and additional works at: https://repository.lsu.edu/agcenter_researchreports

Recommended Citation

Salassi, M. E., "Costs of Precision Grading Sugarcane Fields to Increase Production(Research Report#109)" (2000). *LSU AgCenter Research Reports*. 13.
https://repository.lsu.edu/agcenter_researchreports/13

This Book is brought to you for free and open access by the LSU AgCenter at LSU Scholarly Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in LSU AgCenter Research Reports by an authorized administrator of LSU Scholarly Repository. For more information, please contact ir@lsu.edu.

Costs of Precision Grading Sugarcane Fields to Increase Production

M. E. Salassi¹

Introduction

Precision grading of agricultural crop land is an example of a land improvement that increases the value of agricultural land. The costs of precision grading represent a long-term investment in the productive capacity and profitability of crop land. The main purpose of precision grading is to level the surface of the field and to grade the field to a specific slope that will improve drainage.

This report presents cost estimates of precision grading sugarcane fields for which the sugarcane producer purchases the laser-leveling and dirt-moving equipment and performs the work with farm labor. Both variable and fixed costs associated with precision grading are estimated on a per hour of operation basis as well as costs per acre and per cubic yard of dirt moved.

The production of sugarcane in Louisiana requires well-drained fields. Most sugarcane fields have quarterdrain ditches as well as lateral main drainage ditches, to remove standing water quickly. Quarterdrain ditches run perpendicular to the sugarcane rows and carry water from the row middles to the edge of the field. Lateral ditches run parallel to the sugarcane rows and drain water away from the field. By improving drainage within the field, precision grading of sugarcane fields can reduce the number of lateral and quarterdrain ditches required.

The number of ditches within a sugarcane field that may be eliminated by precision grading will depend on the size of the field. In relatively large fields, precision grading may allow for an increase in the number of rows of sugarcane between lateral ditches. For example, in relatively large fields that are not precision graded, there may be approximately 30 rows of sugarcane between two main lateral drainage ditches. After precision grading the field, the number

of rows of sugarcane between the lateral ditches might expand to 42 rows. If a field has three quarterdrain ditches running across the sugarcane rows, precision grading may allow for one or two of these quarterdrains to be eliminated. An added advantage of precision grading sugarcane fields is that land previously taken up by drainage ditches can be returned to production. Therefore, investment in the costs of precision grading sugarcane fields can increase the percentage of a field in actual sugar production (more acreage in production from the same amount of land).

The amount of acreage that can be returned to sugarcane production by precision grading for a particular farming operation will depend on the total acreage and topography of the fields to be leveled. It has been estimated that, on a whole farm basis, precision grading can increase the productive area of a farm's sugarcane acreage by 5 percent to 8 percent. This implies that if 1,000 acres of sugarcane land were precision graded, 50 to 80 more acres of land would be in sugarcane production from the same amount of land. On a sugar per acre basis, if a farm averaged 7,000 pounds of sugar per acre before, precision grading could result in a 350- to 560-pound increase.

Precision grading of sugarcane fields provides several other advantages besides increasing land in sugar production. Eliminating a portion of the ditches required to drain a field reduces the weed pressure in the field along ditches. Ditch banks provide a suitable environment for the establishment of many types of weeds. Elimination of a ditch may not reduce the cost of weed control on the field, but it will remove areas where weeds could thrive. Because the effects of precision grading (in terms of land surface smoothness and slope) last for many years, the land-leveling operation on fallow ground can be eliminated, thereby reducing production costs. Other advantages include more uniform fields, elimination of odd rows and points in fields, easier cultivation, and more efficient harvest.

¹Associate Professor, Department of Agricultural Economics and Agribusiness, Louisiana State University Agricultural Center, Baton Rouge, LA 70803.

Since precision grading is a long-term investment in the land, it will require several years of production to recover the costs invested. Therefore, land chosen for precision grading should be land that has a high probability of remaining in sugarcane production for several years. Ex-

amples include land that the producer owns, land with favorable rental arrangements, land with good sugarcane production potential, and land not subject to possible residential development.

Cost Considerations

In deciding whether or not to make the investment in precision grading of fields on a sugarcane farm, a couple of key cost considerations should be addressed. The first consideration involves whether the producer should purchase the laser-leveling and dirt-moving equipment and do the work himself or hire the work out to someone else on a custom-hired basis. The second cost consideration is determining how many years of sugarcane production will be required to recover the investment in precision grading costs.

The total amount of acreage on the farm to be leveled is a critical component in this decision. If only a small amount of acreage is to be graded, for example 200 acres, the producer may choose to hire out the work rather than purchase the equipment for such a small amount of acreage. If, however, a large amount of acreage is to be graded over a multiyear period, for example 1,000 acres graded over a five-year period, it would probably be more economical for the producer to purchase the laser-leveling and

dirt-moving equipment and perform the work himself. In terms of costs per cubic yard of dirt moved, the costs of a producer buying the equipment and performing the work himself are estimated to be in the range of 50 to 60 cents per cubic yard. Costs of hiring out the work to someone else on a custom-hire basis are estimated to range between 80 and 90 cents per cubic yard of dirt moved, based upon information from producers.

The determination of the number of years of sugarcane production required to recover investment in precision grading costs can be estimated by comparing the precision grading costs per acre with the increased net returns per acre resulting from increased production. An estimate of the number of years required to recover precision grading costs can be determined by dividing the precision grading costs per acre by the increased annual returns per acre.

Results and Discussion

Estimated costs of precision land-grading equipment per hour of operation are presented in Table 1. Equipment required for precision grading land includes a tractor, a dirt bucket or scraper, and laser-leveling equipment consisting of a field transmitter with trailer as well as receiver and control boxes, which are mounted on the tractor, and dirt-moving equipment. In the example in Table 1, costs are estimated for a 300 horsepower, 4-wheel drive tractor pulling a dirt scraper with a 17 cubic yard capacity.

Operating costs per hour for the tractor were an estimated \$24.00 per hour, including fuel and repair costs. Fuel costs were estimated using a diesel price of 90 cents per gallon. Operating costs for the scraper and laser equipment include only repair costs, estimated at \$3.19 and \$0.91 per hour, respectively. With an hourly wage rate of \$10.00, total operating costs for the entire system were estimated to be \$38.10 per hour, including labor. Fixed costs per hour were estimated based upon assumed years of expected life of the equipment as well as hours of annual use. In this example, hours of annual leveling use were based upon an

average of 300 cubic yards of dirt moved per acre. Fixed costs for the entire precision grading system were estimated to be \$31.59 per hour of use. Total costs of this land-leveling system, including operating and fixed costs, were an estimated \$69.69 per hour of operation.

These estimated costs per hour of operation were then used to calculate the costs per acre and costs per cubic yard of dirt moved for a specific precision grading example. In this example, it was assumed that 200 acres of land were being precision graded annually with an average of 300 cubic yards of dirt moved per acre. Time requirements per acre were estimated assuming that the grading equipment makes eight cycles of picking up and moving dirt per hour. Using a scraper with a 17 cubic yard capacity, it was estimated that the equipment in this example could move 136 cubic yards of dirt per hour. With an average of 300 cubic yards of dirt moved per acre, it was estimated that 2.2 hours of operation were required for each acre precision graded. Estimated costs of precision grading on a per acre and per cubic yard basis are presented for this example in Table 2. Operating

Table 1. Estimated costs of precision grading per hour of operation.

	Tractor large 4 wd 300 hp	Scraper 17 cu. yd. capacity	Laser equipment	Labor	Total costs
Purchase price (\$)	115,000	32,000	20,000	–	–
Expected life (years)	10	15	10	–	–
Salvage value ^a (\$)	11,500	3,200	2,000	–	–
Annual use (hours)	1,000	441	441	–	–
Precision grading use ^b (hours)	441	441	441	–	–
Repair cost factor ^c (%)	96	66	20	–	–
Operating costs per hour:					
Fuel costs ^d (\$)	12.96	–	–	–	12.96
Repair costs ^e (\$)	11.04	3.19	0.91	–	15.14
Labor costs (\$)	–	–	–	10.00	10.00
Total operating costs (\$)	24.00	3.19	0.91	10.00	38.10
Fixed costs per hour:					
Depreciation (\$)	10.35	4.35	4.08	–	18.78
Interest on investment ^f (\$)	6.33	3.99	2.49	–	12.81
Total fixed costs (\$)	16.68	8.35	6.57	–	31.59
Total costs per hour (\$)	40.68	11.53	7.48	10.00	69.69

^a Salvage value equals 10 percent of purchase price.

^b Estimated grading hours based on 8 cycles per hour, 17 cubic yards moved per cycle, 300 cubic yards moved per acre, and 200 acres precision graded annually.

^c Total repair costs over equipment life as a percentage of purchase price.

^d Fuel consumption is 14.4 gallons of diesel per hour with diesel priced at 90 cents per gallon.

^e Total estimated repair cost divided by total hours of use over the useful life of the equipment.

^f Interest on average investment charged at an annual rate of 10 percent.

Table 2. Estimated costs of precision grading per acre and per cubic yard of soil moved.

	Tractor large 4 wd 300 hp	Scraper 17 cu. yd. capacity	Laser equipment	Labor costs	Total
Total costs per acre ^a : (dollars per acre)					
Operating costs	52.94	7.04	2.00	22.06	84.04
Fixed costs	36.78	18.40	14.50	–	69.68
Total costs	89.72	25.44	16.50	22.06	153.72
Total costs per cubic yard ^a : (dollars per cubic yard)					
Operating costs	0.18	0.02	0.01	0.07	0.28
Fixed costs	0.12	0.06	0.05	–	0.23
Total costs	0.30	0.08	0.06	0.07	0.51

^a Estimated grading hours based on 8 cycles per hour, 17 cubic yards moved per cycle, 300 cubic yards moved per acre, and 200 acres precision graded annually.

costs, including fuel, repairs, and labor, were estimated to be \$84.04 per acre. Fixed costs were an estimated \$69.68 per acre, resulting in an estimate of total costs of \$153.72 per acre to move 300 cubic yards of dirt. On a cost per unit of dirt moved basis, this total cost estimated translates to a total cost of \$0.51 per cubic yard of dirt moved. Operating costs were estimated to be \$0.28 per cubic yard, and fixed costs to be \$0.23 per cubic yard.

The total cost of \$153.72 per acre represents a long-term investment in the land. An estimation of the increased annual returns per acre resulting from precision grading is necessary to determine the number of years required to recover this investment. As an example, suppose the average sugar yield on this farm is 7,000 pounds of sugar per acre before precision grading. If precision grading of sugarcane fields results in a 5 percent increase in productive area, this is equivalent to a 350-pound increase in sugar yield per acre. With raw sugar valued at 18 cents per pound, the producer's share of this increased yield (50 percent or 175 pounds) is valued at \$31.50 per acre. This represents the increase in net returns per acre annually from the graded fields. Dividing this increased returns per year (\$31.50 per acre) into the total investment costs (\$153.72 per acre) results in a payback period of 4.9 years for this example. Therefore, it would require about five years of production to recover the investment costs of precision grading.

Louisiana Agricultural Experiment Station
 LSU Agricultural Center
 P.O. Box 25055
 Baton Rouge, LA 70894-5055

In summary, precision grading of sugarcane fields provides an opportunity to increase production from the same amount of acreage by reducing some of the ditches required to drain the field. A critical decision is whether to buy the laser-leveling and dirt-moving equipment and perform the work or hire it out on a custom basis. Total costs of performing the precision grading operations are estimated to be in the range of 50 to 60 cents per cubic yard of dirt moved, with four to six years of sugarcane production required to recover investment costs.



www.lsuagcenter.com

Louisiana State University Agricultural Center
 William B. Richardson, Chancellor
Louisiana Agricultural Experiment Station
 R. Larry Rogers, Vice Chancellor and Director

The LSU Agricultural Center provides equal opportunities
 in programs and employment.

Produced by LSU AgCenter Communications

<p>Non-profit Org. U.S. Postage PAID Permit No. 733 Baton Rouge, LA</p>
--