

Seminar on Continuity in Semilattices

Volume 1 | Issue 1

Article 10

7-12-1976

SCS 10: Points with Small Semilattices

Jimmie D. Lawson

Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge, LA 70803 USA, lawson@math.lsu.edu

Follow this and additional works at: <https://repository.lsu.edu/scs>



Part of the [Mathematics Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Lawson, Jimmie D. (1976) "SCS 10: Points with Small Semilattices," *Seminar on Continuity in Semilattices*: Vol. 1: Iss. 1, Article 10.

Available at: <https://repository.lsu.edu/scs/vol1/iss1/10>

NAME(S)	Lawson	DATE	M	D	Y
			7	12	76
TOPIC	Points with Small Semilattices				
REFERENCE	SCS Memo of Hofmann and Mislove, 6-28-76.				

(1) First of all I would like to call attention to a pre-print I have just submitted for publication entitled "Spaces which force a basis of subsemilattices." In this paper it is shown that a topological semilattice has small semilattices at a point p if p has a compact, finite-dimensional, "well-fitted" neighborhood, where "well-fitted" is a technical term describing the behavior of components in a neighborhood of a point. It is defined below. Points in X locally connected, totally disconnected, and locally connected X totally disconnected spaces have well-fitted neighborhoods. In fact a rather far-reaching class of finite-dimensional spaces are included.

It is convenient for our purposes to introduce a component operator. Let X be a topological space, $A \subset X$, and $p \in A$. Then $C_p(A)$ denotes the component (i.e., maximal connected set) of p in the subspace A .

Definition. Let S be a topological space. If $A \subset B \subset S$, then A is said to be fitted within B if for each $p \in A$,
 $C_p(A) = C_p(B) \cap A$.

A neighborhood W of a point $p \in A$ is a fitted neighborhood

-
- West Germany: TH Darmstadt (Gierz, Keimel)
U. Tübingen (Mislove, Visit.)
 - England: U. Oxford (Scott)
 - USA: U. California, Riverside (Stralka)
LSU Baton Rouge (Lawson)
Tulane U., New Orleans (Hofmann, Mislove)
U. Tennessee, Knoxville (Carruth, Crawley)

of p if W is compact and p has a basis of compact neighborhoods, each of which is fitted within W .

A neighborhood W of a point $p \in S$ is a well-fitted neighborhood of p if (i) for each q in the interior of W , W is a fitted neighborhood of q , and (ii) for any $A \subset W$, if $C_p(W) \cap (\bigcup_{a \in A} C_a(W))^* \neq \emptyset$, then $p \in (\bigcup_{a \in A} C_a(W))^*$.

(2) Let me at this point throw in a couple of conjectures. First a definition. The space \bar{X} is said to have local component convergence (l.c.c.) at p if for any neighborhood W of p , there exist neighborhoods V and U of p such that

$$(1) \quad V \subset U \subset W,$$

$$(2) \quad \text{If } Q \subset V \text{ and } C_p(U) \cap (\bigcup_{q \in Q} C_q(W)) \neq \emptyset, \text{ then}$$

$$p \in (\bigcup_{q \in Q} C_q(W))^*. \text{ Roughly speaking, we are requiring}$$

that if components approach the component of p locally, then they actually approach p .

Conjecture 1. Let $S \in \underline{CS}$. If $p \in S$, S is l.c.c. at p , and p has a finite-dimensional neighborhood in which components are locally connected, then S has small semilattices at p .

Conjecture 2. Let $S \in \underline{CS}$, S finite-dimensional, and suppose the peripheral points in S are closed. Then $S \in \underline{CL}$.

Proofs or counter-examples are not easily forthcoming on such problems if past experience is any guide.

(3) Let $S \in \underline{CS}$. Let $\Lambda(S) \subset S$ be all elements of S at which S has small semilattices.

Proposition 1. $\Lambda(S)$ is a sup- subsemilattice of S containing 0 closed under arbitrary sup's. Hence in its own order, $\Lambda(S)$ is a complete lattice.

Proof. Let $x, y \in \Lambda(S)$. Then $x = \sup\{a: x \in (\uparrow a)^\circ\}$ and $y = \sup\{b: y \in (\uparrow b)^\circ\}$, and both of these are up-directed sets. Hence $xvy = \sup\{avb: x \in (\uparrow a)^\circ \text{ and } y \in (\uparrow b)^\circ\}$ and $xvy \in (\uparrow a)^\circ \cap (\uparrow b)^\circ = (\uparrow avb)^\circ$. Thus $xvy \in \Lambda(S)$.

Now suppose x_α is an up-directed net in $\Lambda(S)$ and $x = \sup x_\alpha$. If U is open, $x \in U$, $\exists x_\beta \in U$. Since $x_\beta \in \Lambda(S)$, $\exists y \in U$ such that $x_\beta \in (\uparrow y)^\circ$. Hence $x \in (\uparrow y)^\circ$. \square

Note that this proposition applies nicely to some of the considerations of H and M , Memo 6-28-76, e.g. Proposition 11.

Question: Is $\Lambda(S) \in \underline{CL}$?

(4) Definition. Let A be a topological semilattice, $x \in S$. $\{U_n: n=1,2,\dots\}$ is a fundamental system for x if

- (1) Each U_n is open;
- (2) $U_n \cdot U_n \subset U_{n-1}$, $\bar{U}_n \subset U_{n-1}$

(3) $x \in U_n$ for each n .

Proposition 2. (1) If $\{U_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a fundamental system for x , $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} U_n$ is a closed semilattice containing x .

(2) Each neighborhood of x contains a fundamental system for x .

Proposition 3. If $S \in \underline{CS}$, then for $x \in S$ and each fundamental system $\lambda = \{U_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$, let $x_\lambda = \inf(\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} U_n)$. Then if the fundamental systems are ordered by inclusion, x_λ is a net converging upwards to x .

Definition. $y \ll\ll x$ if whenever $\forall A \geq x$, there exists $F^{\text{finite}} \subset A \ni y \ll \forall F$.

Proposition 4. Let $S \in \underline{CS}$. Then $y \ll\ll x \Leftrightarrow x \in (\uparrow y)^\circ$.

Proof. \Rightarrow Straightforward ~~and obvious~~.

\Leftarrow By Prop. 3 $x = \sup x_\lambda$ where λ is a fundamental system. Hence $\exists \lambda = \{U_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \ni y \ll x_\lambda$.

For each U_i in λ , pick $x_i \in U_i \setminus \uparrow y$ (we can do this if $x \notin (\uparrow y)^\circ$). Now

$$\begin{aligned} x_i x_{i+1} \cdots x_{i+j} &\in U_i U_{i+1} \cdots U_{i+j} \\ &\subseteq U_i U_{i+1} \cdots (U_{i+j-1})^2 \\ &\vdots \\ &\subseteq U_i^2 \subseteq U_{i-1} \end{aligned}$$

Hence $w_i = \bigwedge_{j \geq i} x_j \in \overline{U_{i-1}} \subset U_{i-2}$.

Now w_i is an increasing sequence which must converge up to some w . Since $w_i \in U_{i-2}$, $w \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} U_i$. Thus $w \geq x_\lambda$.

Since $y \ll x_\lambda$, $\exists w_j \ni y \leq w_j$.

But $w_j \leq x_j$ and $y \not\leq x_j$, a contradiction.

So $x \in (\uparrow y)^\circ$. \square

Corollary 5.8. If $x \ll y \ll z$, then $x \ll\ll z$. Hence $w \in \Lambda(S)$ if $w = \sup\{x: x \ll w\}$.