

4-1-2007

Brownian super-exponents

Victor Goodman

Follow this and additional works at: <https://repository.lsu.edu/cosa>



Part of the [Analysis Commons](#), and the [Other Mathematics Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Goodman, Victor (2007) "Brownian super-exponents," *Communications on Stochastic Analysis*: Vol. 1: No. 1, Article 11.

DOI: 10.31390/cosa.1.1.11

Available at: <https://repository.lsu.edu/cosa/vol1/iss1/11>

BROWNIAN SUPER-EXPONENTS

VICTOR GOODMAN

ABSTRACT. We introduce a transform on the class of stochastic exponentials for d -dimensional Brownian motions. Each stochastic exponential generates another stochastic exponential under the transform. The new exponential process is often merely a supermartingale even in cases where the original process is a martingale. We determine a necessary and sufficient condition for the transform to be a martingale process. The condition links expected values of the transformed stochastic exponential to the distribution function of certain time-integrals.

1. Introduction

If $\mathbf{X}(t)$ is a d -dimensional progressively measurable process and \mathbf{W} is a Brownian motion under a measure P , the *stochastic exponential* determined by \mathbf{X} is the process

$$Z_{\mathbf{X}}(t) = \exp \left\{ \int_0^t \mathbf{X}(u) \cdot d\mathbf{W}(u) - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \|\mathbf{X}(u)\|^2 du \right\}.$$

The problem of checking whether $Z_{\mathbf{X}}(t)$ is a true martingale is important for the use of Girsanov's theorem. Two well-known sufficient conditions are due to Novikov and to Kazamaki; see for example Revuz and Yor [7]. Examples where the process $Z_{\mathbf{X}}(t)$ is strictly a supermartingale appear in Goodman and Kim [3], Levental and Skorohod [6], and Wong and Heyde [9].

In their recent paper, Wong and Heyde [9] present a necessary and sufficient condition for any stochastic exponential to form a martingale process. Their condition is formulated in terms of an explosion time. We consider a class of stochastic exponentials for which their condition becomes more explicit. We begin with any stochastic exponential and we describe a modification, or transform, of it which generates another stochastic exponential.

The transform involves a *time-integral* of the form

$$\int_0^t \|\mathbf{X}(u)\|^2 Z_{\mathbf{X}}(u) du.$$

We derive a necessary and sufficient condition for the transform to be a martingale. Our condition is formulated in terms of the distribution of time integrals, and we use the relation to obtain bounds on the tail behavior of these distributions.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 60H30; 60J65.

Key words and phrases. Girsanov theorem, stochastic exponential, time-integral.

Definition 1.1. Suppose that $\mathbf{X}(t)$ is a progressively measurable process such that for some $T > 0$,

$$P \left\{ \int_0^T \|\mathbf{X}(u)\|^2 du < \infty \right\} = 1. \quad (1.1)$$

If $Z_{\mathbf{X}}(t)$ is the stochastic exponential generated by $\mathbf{X}(t)$ and $y > 0$, the associated *super-exponent process* $Y_{\mathbf{X}}(t)$, defined for $t \leq T$, is

$$Y_{\mathbf{X},y}(t) = \frac{Z_{\mathbf{X}}(t)}{y^{-1} + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \|\mathbf{X}(u)\|^2 Z_{\mathbf{X}}(u) du}. \quad (1.2)$$

Notice from Equation (1.2) that $Y_{\mathbf{X},y}(0) = y$. In addition, $Y_{\mathbf{X},y}(t)$ is positive so that the random variable

$$\exp(Y_{\mathbf{X},y}(t))$$

is greater than one. We show that this random variable has a finite expected value which is less than or equal to e^y . This result is surprising since $Y_{\mathbf{X},y}(t)$ is used as an exponent here. According to Definition 1.1, $Y_{\mathbf{X},y}(t)$ itself contains an exponential factor $Z_{\mathbf{X}}(t)$. For this reason, we say that the process $Y_{\mathbf{X},y}(t)$ is a *Brownian super-exponent*.

2. Transform Properties

Proposition 2.1. *Suppose that a progressively measurable process \mathbf{X} satisfies condition (1.1). Let $Y_{\mathbf{X},y}(t)$ denote the super-exponent process in Definition 1.1. Then for each $t \leq T$,*

$$Y_{\mathbf{X},y}(t) = y + \int_0^t Y_{\mathbf{X},y}(u) \mathbf{X}(u) \cdot d\mathbf{W}(u) - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \|\mathbf{X}(u)\|^2 Y_{\mathbf{X},y}^2(u) du. \quad (2.1)$$

Moreover, the process

$$\exp(Y_{\mathbf{X},y}(t)) \quad (2.2)$$

is a positive supermartingale on the interval $0 \leq t \leq T$. In addition, the process

$$\tilde{Z}(t) = \exp(Y_{\mathbf{X},y}(t) - y) \quad (2.3)$$

is a stochastic exponential for \mathbf{W} . This stochastic exponential is generated by the d -dimensional process

$$Y_{\mathbf{X},y}(t) \mathbf{X}(t). \quad (2.4)$$

Proof. It follows from the definition of $Z_{\mathbf{X}}(t)$ that

$$dZ_{\mathbf{X}} = Z_{\mathbf{X}} \mathbf{X} \cdot d\mathbf{W}, \quad d \int_0^t \|\mathbf{X}\|^2 Z_{\mathbf{X}} du = \|\mathbf{X}\|^2 Z_{\mathbf{X}} dt.$$

Direct calculation shows that

$$\begin{aligned} dY_{\mathbf{X},y} &= \frac{dZ_{\mathbf{X}}}{y^{-1} + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \|\mathbf{X}\|^2 Z_{\mathbf{X}} du} + Z_{\mathbf{X}} d(y^{-1} + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \|\mathbf{X}\|^2 Z_{\mathbf{X}} du)^{-1} \\ &= Y_{\mathbf{X},y} \mathbf{X} \cdot d\mathbf{W} - \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{X}\|^2 Y_{\mathbf{X},y}^2 dt. \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

From this equation we see that $Y_{\mathbf{X},y} - y$ is the sum of the Itô integral of $Y_{\mathbf{X},y}\mathbf{X}$ and the elementary integral of $-\frac{1}{2}\|Y_{\mathbf{X},y}\mathbf{X}\|^2$. This establishes Equation (2.1). It follows immediately from Equation (2.1) that $Y_{\mathbf{X},y} - y$ is the exponent of a stochastic exponential. Therefore, the process

$$\exp(Y_{\mathbf{X},y}(t) - y)$$

is a positive local martingale. It is well known that a positive local martingale is a supermartingale; see, for instance, Karatzas and Shreve [4]. In addition, Equation (2.3) is a direct consequence of Equation (2.1) and the definition of stochastic exponential processes. \square

Theorem 2.2. *Suppose that $\mathbf{X}(t)$ is a deterministic function such that for some $T > 0$*

$$\int_0^T \|\mathbf{X}(u)\|^2 du < \infty.$$

Let $Z_{\mathbf{X}}(t)$ and $Y_{\mathbf{X},y}$ denote the stochastic exponential and super-exponent process generated by $\mathbf{X}(t)$. Then for each non-negative measurable function $G(u)$, $u > 0$, and $t < T$,

$$\begin{aligned} & E[G(Y_{\mathbf{X},y}(t)) \exp(Y(t) - y)] \\ &= E \left[G \left(\frac{Z_{\mathbf{X}}(t)}{y^{-1} - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \|\mathbf{X}(u)\|^2 Z_{\mathbf{X}}(u) du} \right); \int_0^t \|\mathbf{X}(u)\|^2 Z_{\mathbf{X}}(u) du < \frac{2}{y} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

Proof. For $N = 1, 2, \dots$ let τ_N be the stopping time defined by

$$\tau_N = \inf\{t \leq T : Y_{\mathbf{X},y}(t) \geq N\}.$$

It follows from Equation (2.1) that

$$Y_{\mathbf{X},y}(t \wedge \tau_N) - y = \int_0^{t \wedge \tau_N} Y_{\mathbf{X},y}(u) \mathbf{X}(u) \cdot d\mathbf{W}(u) - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{t \wedge \tau_N} \|\mathbf{X}(u)\|^2 Y_{\mathbf{X},y}^2(u) du.$$

From this equation we see that $\exp(Y_{\mathbf{X},y}(t \wedge \tau_N) - y)$ is another stochastic exponential which is generated by

$$Y_{\mathbf{X},y}(u) 1_{\{u < \tau_N\}} \mathbf{X}(u).$$

Since this process is uniformly bounded in $L^2[0, T]$, it satisfies Novikov's condition. It is well known (see Karatzas and Shreve [4]) that the associated stochastic exponential is a martingale. We apply Girsanov's Theorem to change measure using the Radon-Nykodym derivative

$$\Lambda(T) = \exp(Y_{\mathbf{X},y}(T \wedge \tau_N) - y).$$

The probability measure Q_N is given by

$$\frac{dQ_N}{dP} = \Lambda(T).$$

Then with respect to Q_N the process

$$\tilde{\mathbf{W}}(t) = \mathbf{W}(t) - \int_0^{t \wedge \tau_N} Y_{\mathbf{X},y}(u) \mathbf{X}(u) du$$

is a Brownian motion for $t \leq T$. Since $Y_{\mathbf{X},y}(t)$ is a strong solution to equation (2.1), we may consider its SDE with respect to the Brownian motion $\tilde{\mathbf{W}}$:

For $t < \tau_N$

$$\begin{aligned} dY_{\mathbf{X},y} &= Y_{\mathbf{X},y} \mathbf{X} \cdot d\mathbf{W} - \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{X}\|^2 Y_{\mathbf{X},y}^2 dt \\ &= Y_{\mathbf{X},y} \mathbf{X} \cdot \{ d\tilde{\mathbf{W}} + Y_{\mathbf{X},y} \mathbf{X} dt \} - \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{X}\|^2 Y_{\mathbf{X},y}^2 dt \\ &= Y_{\mathbf{X},y} \mathbf{X} \cdot d\tilde{\mathbf{W}} + \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{X}\|^2 Y_{\mathbf{X},y}^2 dt. \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

Now we have an explicit solution to the SDE in equation (2.7):

$$Y_{\mathbf{X},y}(t) = \frac{\tilde{Z}_{\mathbf{X}}(t)}{y^{-1} - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \|\mathbf{X}(u)\|^2 \tilde{Z}_{\mathbf{X}}(u) du}. \quad (2.8)$$

In this equation, $\tilde{Z}_{\mathbf{X}}(t)$ denotes the stochastic exponential (generated by \mathbf{X}) with respect to the Brownian motion $\tilde{\mathbf{W}}$. Now we consider

$$\begin{aligned} &E[G(Y_{\mathbf{X},y}(t)) \exp(Y_{\mathbf{X},y}(t) - y) 1_{\{t < \tau_N\}}] \\ &= E[G(Y_{\mathbf{X},y}(t)) \Lambda(T) 1_{\{t < \tau_N\}}] \\ &= E_{Q_N}[G(Y_{\mathbf{X},y}(t)) 1_{\{t < \tau_N\}}] \\ &= E_{Q_N} \left[G \left(\frac{\tilde{Z}_{\mathbf{X}}(t)}{y^{-1} - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \|\mathbf{X}(u)\|^2 \tilde{Z}_{\mathbf{X}}(u) du} \right) 1_{\{t < \tau_N\}} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

Here we used the identity for $Y_{\mathbf{X},y}$ in Equation (2.8).

Moreover, from Equation (2.8) we also have

$$t < \tau_N \quad \text{if and only if} \quad \max_{s \leq t} \left(\frac{\tilde{Z}_{\mathbf{X}}(s)}{y^{-1} - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^s \|\mathbf{X}(u)\|^2 \tilde{Z}_{\mathbf{X}}(u) du} \right) < N.$$

This allows us to write the last expected value in Equation (2.9) as

$$E \left[G \left(\frac{Z_{\mathbf{X}}(t)}{y^{-1} - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \|\mathbf{X}(u)\|^2 Z_{\mathbf{X}}(u) du} \right) ; \max_{s \leq t} \left(\frac{Z_{\mathbf{X}}(s)}{y^{-1} - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^s \|\mathbf{X}(u)\|^2 Z_{\mathbf{X}}(u) du} \right) < N \right]$$

since the integrand involves only the distribution of a Brownian motion for each choice of N . The limit of this expected value as $N \rightarrow \infty$ is

$$E \left[G \left(\frac{Z_{\mathbf{X}}(t)}{y^{-1} - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \|\mathbf{X}(u)\|^2 Z_{\mathbf{X}}(u) du} \right) ; \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \|\mathbf{X}(u)\|^2 Z_{\mathbf{X}}(u) du < y^{-1} \right].$$

Since the limit of the first expected value in Equation (2.9) is

$$E[G(Y_{\mathbf{X},y}(t)) \exp(Y_{\mathbf{X},y}(t) - y)],$$

the theorem is proved. \square

3. Examples Using the Transform

Proposition 3.1. *Suppose that $\mathbf{X}(t)$ is a deterministic function such that*

$$\int_0^t \|\mathbf{X}(u)\|^2 du$$

is strictly increasing and finite for $t \leq T < \infty$. Let $Z_{\mathbf{X}}(t)$ and $Y_{\mathbf{X},y}$ denote the stochastic exponential and super-exponent process generated by $\mathbf{X}(t)$. Then the process

$$\exp(Y_{\mathbf{X},y}(t))$$

is a strict supermartingale for $t \leq T$. Moreover,

$$E[\exp(Y_{\mathbf{X},y}(t))] = e^y Pr \left\{ \int_0^t \|\mathbf{X}(u)\|^2 Z_{\mathbf{X}}(u) du < \frac{2}{y} \right\}. \quad (3.1)$$

Proof. We apply Theorem 2.2 using the choice $G(u) \equiv 1$. Equation (2.6) becomes

$$E[\exp(Y_{\mathbf{X},y}(t) - y)] = Pr \left\{ \int_0^t \|\mathbf{X}(u)\|^2 Z_{\mathbf{X}}(u) du < \frac{2}{y} \right\},$$

and Equation (3.1) follows. Now since each $Z_{\mathbf{X}}(u)$ is a log normal random variable, the process

$$\int_0^t \|\mathbf{X}(u)\|^2 Z_{\mathbf{X}}(u) du \quad (3.2)$$

has strictly increasing sample paths. It follows that the right hand expression in Equation (3.1) is strictly decreasing. Therefore, $\exp(Y_{\mathbf{X},y}(t))$ is a strict supermartingale. \square

Remark 3.2. Equation (3.1) provides a useful tool for investigating the distribution of a time integral given by Equation (3.2). Since each super-exponent

$$Y_{\mathbf{X},y}(t) = \frac{Z_{\mathbf{X}}(t)}{y^{-1} + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \|\mathbf{X}(u)\|^2 Z_{\mathbf{X}}(u) du}$$

is point-wise increasing as a function of y , it follows from the identity

$$Pr \left\{ \int_0^t \|\mathbf{X}(u)\|^2 Z_{\mathbf{X}}(u) du < a \right\} = \exp\left(-\frac{2}{a}\right) E[\exp(Y_{\mathbf{X},2/a}(t))]$$

that the distribution function is the product of a decreasing function of a and the explicit factor $\exp(-2/a)$.

It is not known whether $\exp(Y_{\mathbf{X},\infty}(t))$ has finite expectation. A finite expected value would produce sharp estimates for the lower tail probability of (3.2). We conjecture that

$$E \left[\frac{2Z_{\mathbf{X}}(t)}{\int_0^t \|\mathbf{X}(u)\|^2 Z_{\mathbf{X}}(u) du} \right] = \infty.$$

Example 3.3. In the case of $d = 1$ the choice $X(t) \equiv \sigma$ specializes the time integral in (3.2) to a time integral of *geometric Brownian motion*:

$$\int_0^t \exp(\sigma W(u) - \sigma^2 u/2) du. \quad (3.3)$$

Expected values involving related time integrals appear in computational problems of financial mathematics. Consequently, distribution properties of these time integrals have been studied by many authors; see Dufresne [1], Geman and Yor [2], Rogers and Shi [8], and Goodman and Kim [3].

Although most works have used analytic techniques to express the distribution in various integral forms, in Goodman and Kim [3] martingales techniques are used exclusively. A special case of Equation (3.1) appears in [3], Theorem 4.1:

$$\begin{aligned} & Pr \left\{ \int_0^t \exp(W(u) - u/2) du \leq a \right\} \\ &= \exp\left(-\frac{2}{a}\right) E \left[\exp\left(\frac{2 \exp(W(t) - t/2)}{a + \int_0^t \exp(W(u) - u/2) du}\right) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

The right hand expression for the distribution can be differentiated with respect to a . Consequently, it is shown in [3] that the density function multiplied by $a^2/2$ equals the difference between two distribution functions of time integrals of slightly different geometric Brownian motions.

Example 3.4. In contrast to deterministic choices for $\mathbf{X}(t)$, where the stochastic exponential

$$\exp(Y_{\mathbf{X},y}(t))$$

is never a martingale, stochastic choices for \mathbf{X} may produce martingales. Of course, the introduction of a stopping time, as we have seen in the proof of Theorem 2.2, may produce a martingale. In other cases, stopping times are not required.

Consider the example of $X(t) = \cos(W(t))$, again in the case $d = 1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{\mathbf{X}}(t) &= \exp\left(\int_0^t \cos(W(u)) dW(u) - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \cos^2(W(u)) du\right) \\ &= \exp\left(\sin(W(t)) + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t [\sin(W(u)) - \cos^2(W(u))] du\right) \end{aligned}$$

is a bounded random variable. Therefore, its super-exponent, $Y_{\cos(W),y}(t)$ is also bounded. Then since the local martingale

$$\exp(Y_{\cos(W),y}(t))$$

is also bounded, it is a martingale. It is of interest then to know when a super-exponent generates a martingale process.

4. The Martingale Condition

Theorem 1 of Wong and Heyde [9] identifies a necessary and sufficient condition for a progressively measurable process $\tilde{\mathbf{X}}$ to generate a martingale stochastic exponential process. For completeness, we state their result here.

Proposition 4.1. ([9], Proposition 1) *Consider a d -dimensional progressively measurable process $\tilde{\mathbf{X}}(t) = \xi(\mathbf{W}(\cdot), t)$. Then there will also exist a d -dimensional progressively measurable process*

$$\tilde{\mathbf{R}}(t) = \xi(\mathbf{W}(\cdot) + \int_0^\cdot \tilde{\mathbf{R}}(u)du, t)$$

defined possibly up to an explosion time $\tau^{M_{\mathbf{R}}}$, where

$$\tau^{M_{\mathbf{R}}} = \inf \left\{ t \in \mathbb{R}^+ : M_{\mathbf{R}}(t) = \int_0^t \|\tilde{\mathbf{R}}(u)\|^2 du = \infty \right\}.$$

Theorem 4.2. ([9], Theorem 1) *Consider $\tilde{\mathbf{X}}(t)$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{R}}(t)$ as defined in Proposition 4.1. The stochastic exponential $Z_{\tilde{\mathbf{X}}}(T)$ satisfies*

$$P(\tau^{M_{\mathbf{R}}} > T) = E_P[Z_{\tilde{\mathbf{X}}}(T)]$$

and hence is a martingale if and only if $P(\tau^{M_{\mathbf{R}}} > T) = 1$.

We apply Theorem 1 of [9] using $\tilde{\mathbf{X}}(t) = Y_{\mathbf{X},y}(t)\mathbf{X}(t)$. That is, our generating process is the one in Proposition 2.1 where the stochastic exponential process is

$$\exp(Y_{\mathbf{X},y}(t) - y).$$

We first show that each generating process \mathbf{X} implicitly defines another process \mathbf{X}' . This allows us to identify the process $\tilde{\mathbf{R}}(t)$.

Proposition 4.3. *Suppose that a d -dimensional progressively measurable process $\mathbf{X}(t)$ satisfies*

$$Pr \left(\int_0^T \|\mathbf{X}(u)\|^2 du < \infty \right) = 1$$

for some $T > 0$. Then there exists another progressively measurable process $\mathbf{X}'(t)$, so that if $\tilde{\mathbf{X}}(t) := Y_{\mathbf{X},y}(t)\mathbf{X}(t)1_{\{t \leq T\}}$ in Proposition 4.1, then the process $\tilde{\mathbf{R}}(t)$ of the proposition satisfies

$$\tilde{\mathbf{R}}(t) = \frac{Z_{\mathbf{X}'}(t)}{y^{-1} - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \|\mathbf{X}'(u)\|^2 Z_{\mathbf{X}'}(u) du} \mathbf{X}'(t)$$

for all $t < \tau^{M_{\mathbf{R}}}$. Moreover,

$$\tau^{M_{\mathbf{R}}} = \inf \left\{ t \in \mathbb{R}^+ : \int_0^{t \wedge T} \|\mathbf{X}'(u)\|^2 Z_{\mathbf{X}'}(u) du = 2/y \right\}.$$

Proof. We follow the proof of Proposition 4.1. Let

$$\tilde{\mathbf{X}}(t) := Y_{\mathbf{X},y}(t)\mathbf{X}(t)1_{\{t \leq T\}}.$$

For each $N = 1, 2, \dots$ we define a sequence of stopping times by

$$\tau_N = \inf \left\{ t \in \mathbb{R}^+ : \int_0^t Y_{\tilde{\mathbf{X}},y}^2(u) \|\mathbf{X}(u)\|^2 1_{\{u \leq T\}} du \geq N \right\}.$$

It follows from Equation (2.1) that

$$Z_{\tilde{\mathbf{X}}}(t \wedge \tau_N) = \exp(Y_{\mathbf{X},y}(t \wedge \tau_N) - y)$$

forms a martingale. As in the proof of Theorem 2.2, we apply Girsanov's theorem using the Radon-Nikodym derivative

$$\Lambda(T) = \exp(Y_{\mathbf{X},y}(T \wedge \tau_N) - y)$$

to obtain the probability measure Q_N where

$$dQ_N = \Lambda(T)dP.$$

With respect to the measure Q_N , the process

$$\tilde{\mathbf{W}}(t) = \mathbf{W}(t) - \int_0^{t \wedge \tau_N} Y_{\mathbf{X},y}(u)\mathbf{X}(u)du$$

is a Brownian motion. Hence, on the set $\{t \leq \tau_N \wedge T\}$ we have

$$\tilde{\mathbf{X}}(t) = \xi(\tilde{\mathbf{W}}(\cdot) + \int_0^\cdot Y_{\mathbf{X},y}(u)\mathbf{X}(u)du, t),$$

that is,

$$Y_{\mathbf{X},y}(t)\mathbf{X}(t) = \xi(\tilde{\mathbf{W}}(\cdot) + \int_0^\cdot Y_{\mathbf{X},y}(u)\mathbf{X}(u)du, t). \quad (4.1)$$

Now the process $Y_{\mathbf{X},y}(t)$ can also be described in terms of the Brownian motion $\tilde{\mathbf{W}}$. The calculations in Equation (2.7) also apply to the stochastic case. Equation (2.8) gives an explicit formula for $Y_{\mathbf{X},y}$:

$$Y_{\mathbf{X},y}(t) = \frac{\tilde{Z}_{\mathbf{X}}(t)}{y^{-1} - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \|\mathbf{X}(u)\|^2 \tilde{Z}_{\mathbf{X}}(u)du}. \quad (4.2)$$

We see that each term of Equation (4.1) is a functional of \mathbf{X} and the Brownian motion $\tilde{\mathbf{W}}$. This demonstrates the existence of a process \mathbf{X} so that (4.1) and (4.2) hold up to a time τ_N defined by the integral of $Y_{\mathbf{X},y}(u)\mathbf{X}(u)$, using the Brownian motion $\tilde{\mathbf{W}}$.

Therefore, using the identical distribution of \mathbf{W} and the (original) measure P , we see that there exists a progressively measurable process $\mathbf{X}'(t)$ so that

$$\frac{Z_{\mathbf{X}'}(t)}{y^{-1} - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \|\mathbf{X}'(u)\|^2 Z_{\mathbf{X}'}(u)du} \mathbf{X}'(t) = \xi(\mathbf{W}(\cdot) + \int_0^\cdot Y_{\mathbf{X}',y}(u)\mathbf{X}'(u)du, t).$$

Here, we have abbreviated the complete expression on the right hand side using (4.2) to provide the notation. That is, $Y_{\mathbf{X}',y}$ denotes the expression in Equation (4.2) but *in the original Brownian motion* and \mathbf{X} is replaced by the process \mathbf{X}' .

As $N \rightarrow \infty$ the stopping time τ_N increases to the stopping time

$$\tau = \inf \left\{ t \leq T : \int_0^t Y_{\mathbf{X}',y}^2(u) \|\mathbf{X}'(u)\|^2 du = \infty \right\}.$$

By construction, the new process \mathbf{X}' satisfies

$$\int_0^T \|\mathbf{X}'(u)\|^2 du < \infty \quad \text{a. s.} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{X}'(u) = 0 \quad \text{for } u > T.$$

Therefore, the process $Y_{\mathbf{X}',y}$ (again, defined as in (4.2)) is bounded along each sample path up to the time where its denominator first hits zero. This defines the stopping time τ^{M_R} of the Proposition. \square

Theorem 4.4. *Suppose that $\mathbf{X}(t)$ and $\mathbf{X}'(t)$ are d -dimensional processes as defined in Proposition 4.3. Then the super-exponent process $Y_{\mathbf{X},y}(t)$ satisfies*

$$E[\exp(Y_{\mathbf{X},y}(t) - y)] = Pr \left\{ \int_0^t \|\mathbf{X}'(u)\|^2 Z_{\mathbf{X}}(u) du < 2/y \right\} \quad (4.3)$$

for $t \leq T$.

Proof. From Theorem 4.2 and Proposition 4.3 we have

$$\begin{aligned} E[\exp(Y_{\mathbf{X},y}(t) - y)] &= Pr \{ \tau^{M_R} > t \} \\ &= Pr \left\{ \int_0^t Y_{\mathbf{X}',y}^2(u) \|\mathbf{X}'(u)\|^2 du < \infty \right\} \\ &= Pr \left\{ \int_0^t \frac{Z_{\mathbf{X}'}(u)}{y^{-1} - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^u \|\mathbf{X}'(r)\|^2 Z_{\mathbf{X}'}(r) dr} \|\mathbf{X}'(u)\|^2 du < \infty \right\} \\ &= Pr \left\{ \int_0^t \|\mathbf{X}'(u)\|^2 Z_{\mathbf{X}}(u) du < 2/y \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

□

References

1. Dufresne, D.: The integral of geometric Brownian motion; *Adv. in Appl. Probab.* **33** (2001) 223-241
2. Geman, H, and Yor, M.: Asian Options, Bessel Processes and Perpetuities; *Math. Finance* **2** (1993) 349-375
3. Goodman, V. and Kim, K.: Exponential martingales and time integrals of Brownian motion *preprint*
4. Karatzas, I., and Shreve, S.: *Brownian Motion and Stochastic Calculus*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1991
5. Kim, K.: Moment Generating function of the inverse of integral of geometric Brownian Motion; *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **132** (2004) 2753-2759
6. Levental, S. and Skorohod, A. V.: A necessary and sufficient condition for absence of arbitrage with tame portfolios; *Ann. Appl. Probab.* **5** (1995) 906-925
7. Revuz, D. and Yor, M.: *Continuous Martingales and Brownian Motion, 3rd edn.* Springer-Verlag, New York, 1999
8. Rogers, L.C.G., and Shi, Z.: The value of an Asian option *J. Appl. Probab.* **32** (1995) 1077-1088
9. Wong, B. and Heyde, C.C.: On the martingale property of stochastic exponentials; *J. Appl. Probab.* **41** (2004) 654-664
10. Yor, M.: On some exponential functionals of Brownian motion; *Adv. in Appl. Probab.* **24** (1992) 509-531

VICTOR GOODMAN: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INDIANA UNIVERSITY, BLOOMINGTON, INDIANA, U.S.A.

E-mail address: goodmanv@indiana.edu